



Bibliographic Standards

Minutes
Bibliographic Standards Committee
ALA Midwinter Conference 2015
Saturday, January 31, 2015 8:30-11:30 AM
Sheraton Chicago Hotel and Towers-Ballroom 7
Chicago, Illinois

1. Introduction of members and visitors
 2. Settlement of the agenda
 3. Approval of Annual 2014 minutes
 4. Consent agenda
 5. Updates from BSC Chair
 6. DCRM(M): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Music)
 7. DCRM(C): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Cartographic)
 8. DCRM(MSS): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Manuscripts)
 9. Controlled Vocabularies Editorial Group (Hildebrand)
 10. Revision of Standard Citation Forms for Rare Book Cataloging
 11. CC:DA Report
 12. DCRM Task Force
 13. BSC-sponsored conference programs
 - a. (2015) – Oakland, CA
 1. Workshop
 2. Seminar
 - b. (2016) – Location TBA
 1. Workshop ideas
 2. Seminar ideas
 15. Announcements from the floor
 16. Adjournment
- Appendix A: Report of CC:DA Liaison
Appendix B: BSC Directory of Internet Resources Changes

1. Introduction of members and visitors

Members present

Nina Schneider (chair), Clark Library-UCLA; Marcia Barrett, UC Santa Cruz; Valerie Buck, Brigham Young University; Jane Carpenter (Ex-Officio: Controlled Vocabularies co-editor), UCLA; Ellen Cordes, Lewis Walpole Library-Yale University; Lori Dekydtspotter, Lilly Library-Indiana University; Christine DeZelar-Tiedman, University of Minnesota; Matthew Haugen (CC:DA liaison), Columbia University; Linda Isaac, California State University, Fullerton; Francis Lapka (Ex-Officio: Descriptive Cataloging Rare Materials Task Force chair), Yale Center for British Art; Deborah J. Leslie, Folger Shakespeare Library; Nancy Lorimer, Stanford University; Michelle Mascaro (secretary), The University of Akron; Allison O'Dell, University of Miami; Audrey Pearson, Beinecke Library-Yale University; Catherine Uecker, University of Chicago.

Liaisons

Athena N. Jackson (Executive Committee), University of Michigan

Visitors

Erin Blake, Folger Shakespeare Library; Morag Boyd, The Ohio State University; Amy Brown, Harry Ransom Center; Brenna Bychowski, American Antiquarian Society; Annie Copeland, Penn State University; Diane Duchame, Beinecke Library-Yale University; Emily Epstein, University of Colorado Health Sciences Library; Todd Fell, Beinecke Library-Yale University; Jane Gillis, Beinecke Library-Yale University; Jessica Grzegorski, Newberry Library; Katelyn Jedro, Proquest; Megan Kelly, Newberry Library; Martha Lawler, Louisiana State University- Shreveport; Kristin Leaman, Lilly Library-Indiana University; Ann Myers, Stanford University; Nancy Nandoian, New York Public Library; Jennifer Nelson, The Robbins Collection-UC Berkeley; Iris O'Brien, The British Library; Katy Rawdon, Temple University; Aislinn Sotelo, UC-San Diego; Bruce Tabb, University of Oregon; Amy Tims, American Antiquarian Society; Leslie Waggener, University of Wyoming.

Members Absent

Nina Mamikunian; Asheleigh Perry, Georgetown University

2. Settlement of the agenda

No changes were made the agenda.

3. Approval of Annual 2014 minutes

The 2014 Annual Minutes were approved, pending minor corrections submitted to the secretary before the meeting.

4. Consent agenda

Since Annual the Bibliographic Standards Committee (BSC) voted online to formalize the ACRL/RBMS Descriptive Cataloging for Rare Materials Task Force and ACRL/RBMS Controlled Vocabularies Editorial Group as official RBMS committees. The consent agenda was ratified unanimously.

5. Updates from BSC Chair (Schneider)

Chair Nina Schneider gave the following updates:

a. RBMS CC:DA liaison

On October 25, 2014 the ACRL Executive Committee approved the establishment of permanent representation from RBMS to the Committee on Cataloging: Description and Access (CC:DA). This liaison position had been approved by the RBMS Executive Committee after former BSC Chair, Jane Carpenter, petitioned for it. It was later discovered that the position needed to be approved by ACRL. Matthew Haugen is our current RBMS liaison to CC:DA.

b. Randal Brandt, the BSC web liaison, has migrated all our files to the new RBMS.info platform. If any additional updates are needed, please contact him.

c. The update of Directory of Web Resources for the Rare Materials Cataloger will be appended to these Minutes. Many thanks to Larry Creider for his continuing work on keeping us current.

d. Manon Thérout, a member of the PCC Standing Committee on Standards, is working on a project to convert many of the instructions in the BSR and CSR to LC-PCC Policy Statements in the RDA Toolkit. Some instructions concern rare materials and make reference to DCRM rules. These updates should be available in the next round of updates slated for February. [N.B. The revised LC-PCC Policy Statements were released on Feb. 17, 2015. They are freely available as part of the RDA Toolkit and are also available via paid subscription to LC's Cataloger's Desktop.]

e. ALCTS/LITA Metadata Standards Committee has published a draft document "Checklist for Evaluating Metadata Standards." The committee will be having an informal open forum on Sunday, Feb. 1 at 1 pm at the Convention Center. There will be opportunity to comment on the draft after Midwinter. See <http://metaware.buzz/2015/01/20/draft-checklist-for-evaluating-metadata-standards/>

f. Welcome to new BSC members: Jane F. Carpenter as Controlled Vocabularies co-chair; Ellen Cordes, Nancy Lorimer, Nina Mamikunian, Allison O'Dell, and Asheleigh Perry. Welcome back for a second term: Christine DeZelar-Tiedman, Linda Isaac, and Audrey Pearson.

6. DCRM(M): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Music) (Lorimer)

Nancy Lorimer reported that the text was submitted to the Library of Congress Policy and Standards Division for review a while back. LC's review should be done in February. After that, there will be one final proofreading by the MLA Bibliographic Control Committee and BSC. Upon approval of the MLA and RBMS boards, DCRM(M) will be ready for publication as a PDF on the RBMS website. There were questions from the floor about whether DCRM(M) will be available in Cataloger's Desktop with cross-links to AACR2. Schneider reported that after the DCRM(M) PDF is uploaded, she will contact Bruce Johnson about getting it added to Cataloger's Desktop.

7. DCRM(C) Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Cartographic) (Fell)

Todd Fell reported that the editorial group turned in their text to Library of Congress in December. They are also soliciting comments on the text from CC:DA and select individuals of Map and Geospatial Information Round Table (MAGIRT)

with a comment deadline of April 1. The editorial group is hoping to receive comments back from LC this spring. The revised text will be distributed for review to the BSC.

8. DCRM(MSS): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Manuscripts) (Ducharme, on behalf of Nichols)

Diane Ducharme, reported on behalf of Margaret Nichols, that the editorial group has revised the text based on comments received at the public hearing at last year's Midwinter and additional comments from the BSC. The revised text has been submitted to Kate James at Library of Congress, and the editorial group is waiting for her comments. Schneider commented that James is also reviewing the DCRM(M) text, so it may be awhile before she gets to DCRM(MSS).

9. Controlled Vocabularies Editorial Group (Carpenter)

Jane Carpenter reported that the Controlled Vocabularies Editorial Group will be meeting Saturday afternoon, versus on Friday as they have in the past. BSC voting on terms will take place through ALA Connect after the conference. Carpenter reminded everyone that Controlled Vocabularies is now a free standing committee with official membership.

Francis Lapka asked now that the Library of Congress will shortly be rolling out new genre term controlled vocabularies (including literature and general terms), what impact will this have on RBMS Controlled Vocabularies, specifically how do we envision our terms fitting in since there is a lot of overlap with the forthcoming LC genre terms? Carpenter responded that those issues will be discussed at the Controlled Vocabularies meeting, and they will keep the BSC informed.

10. Revision of Standard Citation Forms for Rare Book Cataloging (Barrett/Myers)

Marcia Barrett reported that Standard Citation Forms (SCF) has gotten permission to publish and it is now available on RBMS website under cataloging tools <http://rbms.info/scf/>. Please use the "Contact us" link on the SCF website to report issues, versus individually contacting team members. Ann Myers listed some minor technical issues that still need to be worked on, including streamlining the "contact us" link to directly email a team member and indexing of diacritics. A link to SCF also needs to be added to the BSC webpage. Schneider and Barrett will be discussing strategies for promoting SCF. Barrett closed with a reminder that the SCF editorial team will be meeting on Sunday, but only in their first time block. Schneider formally congratulated the editorial team on a job well done.

11. CC:DA Report (Haugen)

Matthew Haugen reported CC:DA will be meeting this afternoon and all are welcome to attend. He thanked everyone who commented on the various CC:DA proposals of interest he has posted to the DCRM-L listserv. More detailed

information about the outcomes of these proposals from the CC:DA meeting will be appended at the end of the minutes. Haugen reminded people that proposal documents, along with gathered feedback and comments, are posted on DCRM wiki <http://dcrmrda.pbworks.com/>.

Haugen also reported that BSC is leading a follow-up proposal on behalf of ALA and CC:DA to revise RDA to relate resources to published descriptions in RDA. Recent changes to include subject relationships in RDA restricted descriptive relationships to the effect that only a work can describe another resource. This is problematic for catalogers wanting to use SCF, since SCF instructs catalogers to refer to specific descriptive expressions (particular editions of a bibliography), or even manifestations (specific URIs or page numbers). Haugen has a few recruits to help him with the proposal, but any other BSC or SCF members interested in co-authoring or reviewing the proposal would be welcome and are encouraged to contact Haugen. The timeline is to have a final draft for CC:DA to review by Annual 2015. If CC:DA accepts it at Annual, the ALA representative will submit it to the Joint Steering Committee of RDA in July, for comment by other RDA constituencies, revision, etc. and vote by the JSC in November.

CC:DA is also currently reviewing DCRM(C), with a deadline for comments by March 1. [N.B. After the BSC meeting, CC:DA chose to establish a formal review task group to review DCRM(C), as was done for DCRM(B) and (S). As a result, the deadline has since been extended to April 1.]

12. DCRM Task Force (Lapka)

The DCRM Task Force met all day on Thursday and Friday at the conference. Lapka reported that since the BSC last met, the task force has transitioned from talking about doing stuff to doing stuff. Lapka then formally thanked those who have hosted the task force throughout the year: the Lewis Walpole Library, especially Ellen Cordes (November-East Coast meeting); the Clark Library, especially Schneider (December-West Coast meeting); The Newberry Library, especially Jessica Grzegorski (Thursday meeting before Midwinter); and the Walpole Library again for hosting their upcoming meeting in March along with the Beinecke Library for providing financial support for meals.

The task force is methodically going through RDA—reserving work on section 0 until end. The task force is drafting new instructions where there is a rare materials reason to vary from RDA or to provide additional guidance on topics with no equivalent in RDA. The plan is to provide end users with two experiences: a DCRM2 workflow within the RDA Toolkit (subscription required), and a set of DCRM2 guidelines similar to the LC-PCC-PS. Like the LC-PCC-PS, the DCRM2 guidelines will be freely available and also available as a tab within the Toolkit.

Lapka summarized the task force's decision on punctuation (a previously outstanding issue). The transcription default will be to follow the DCRM1 tradition to normalize capitalization and punctuation. There will also be an alternative to take what you see (TWYS) (i.e., transcribe capitalization and punctuation as you see on

the piece) that if followed, must be applied throughout entire the cataloging description.

During their discussions on Thursday and Friday, the task force identified a couple issues that they wished to discuss with BSC.

First issue... should DCRM2 follow RDA guidelines on handling misprints and typographical errors in transcription? Unlike DCRM1, RDA does not permit the correction of typos and misprints within transcribed elements (i.e., using "sic" or denoting the corrected text after i.e. in brackets), but stipulates that corrections should be made elsewhere in the description, such as in notes. In a straw poll of task force members, ten favored following RDA, four favored continuing the DCRM1 approach, and two abstained. All acknowledged that it is not a clear cut issue and both approaches have merits.

Lapka began the discussion by summarizing the arguments for taking the RDA approach. Transcription elements are there to represent the element on the piece; interpolations deviate from that approach. RDA does provide means for handling misprints, via notes. Christine DeZelar-Tiedman added that interpolations in access points, such as the title, can mess up the search process, and conventions such as "sic" and "i.e." are not as clear to users as they used to be. In short, is there a strong rare materials to differ from RDA?

Deborah J. Leslie then presented the arguments for continuing the DCRM1 approach. Misprints and typos come up more frequently in rare materials, and recording them is necessary for identification. The conventions of using "sic" and "i.e." are not unique to cataloging and are understood by scholars. In MARC, notes are tied to the element they refer to within the same record. This may not be the case in other platforms, and notes may not carry over when data is reused.

The floor was opened for discussion. There was no clear consensus on what would be the best approach to take in DCRM2. The question was raised whether there was an encoding solution to the problem, and Lapka clarified that it was helpful not to get bogged down in the limitations of the MARC environment for this discussion. Several people expressed concern that the inclusion of interpolations within title transcriptions was problematic for indexing and felt that using the variant title element for corrections was better for searching. However, as others pointed out, title proper is not the only transcribed element where misprints occur. For example, the publication element is also transcribed and has no equivalent to the variant title to correct access. In these cases, the correction would appear in a note.

In the course of discussion the question of who are our rare materials users and if the base has broadened from traditional scholars was raised. Allison O'Dell suggested that perhaps the task force should survey and create personas to help better define the audience. Morag Boyd responded that the task force had done an exercise on mapping FRBR user tasks that for our generalized rare materials user.

As the time allotted for discussion drew to a close, a straw poll was conducted; 21/22 voted for following RDA for typos and misprints, and 11/12 voted for continuing with the DCRM1 approach.

[Interlude: announcement from Executive and break.]

At this point, Athena N. Jackson, the BSC's liaison from the RBMS Executive Committee, asked to make a brief announcement about Monday's Executive Committee meeting. Schneider then gave the committee a fifteen minute break.]

Following the break, Lapka gave an update on the task force's latest discussion with Jamie Hennelly from the RDA Toolkit. The Toolkit editor should be available to the task force in April at which time Audrey Pearson (keeper of the text) will begin entering drafted sections of DCRM2. Additional development will be needed in the Toolkit to support images. DCRM seems to be the main community pushing for this functionality.

Lapka laid out the second issue the task force wanted to present to the committee. RDA instruction for resources with no title does not say anything about recording the first line of text as the title. Equivalent DCRM1 rules encourage recording the first line of text as the title, when it reasonably distinctive, and for single sheet publications DCRM(B) requires it. During the task force's meeting on Friday, the question was raised whether DCRM2 should promote the use of devised titles for items that have no title. DCRM(G) already gives catalogers more latitude to devise a title over choosing the text on the piece as the title, but even there the default is to prefer transcribable text. As allowing more devised titles is a significant policy shift, the task force wanted to solicit input from the broader committee. There was little discussion. Lorimer did point out that in music the first line of text is often how the piece is known by; Leslie said that this was true for poetry generally; in that context, the first line could be considered a title. As no one expressed opposition to the concept, the DCRM2 team will bring the discussion to the larger community.

13. BSC sponsored conference programs

a. (2015) – Oakland, CA

1. Workshop

Schneider reported that due to an unprecedented amount of workshop proposals, there will not be a BSC sponsored workshop at the 2015 RBMS Conference.

2. Seminar

Lori Dekydspotter and Morag Boyd had a seminar accepted on collaboration between technical and public services. Dekydspotter gave the following synopsis of the program:

Ladies and Gentlemen, Put Your Hands Together: Successful Technical Services and Public Services Collaboration

Have you ever wondered how to enhance cross-departmental collaboration and enhance the dynamics of the workplace by the sharing of common interests—high quality cataloging, reduction of backlogs, efficient access/retrieval, outreach and education, and patron services? This seminar is designed for a variety of attendees in mind—public and technical services staff, curators, and directors—or anyone interested in facilitating a fruitful collaboration between departments. Three speakers will share their experiences on making the most of interdepartmental cooperation and communication—Morag Boyd, Head of Special Collections Cataloging at The Ohio State University; Erika Dowell, Head of Public Services at the Lilly Library; and, Margaret Nichols, Rare Materials Cataloging Coordinator (LTS) & Head of Collection Management (RMC) at Cornell University.

b. (2016) – Coral Gables, FL.

1. Workshop ideas

Schneider stated that is she is hoping that either DCRM(M) or DCRM(C) workshop will be on the 2016 schedule. Workshop committee chair, Jennifer MacDonald, and Schneider will be having a discussion about strategies for making sure there will be a workshop slot for a DCRM module.

2. Seminar ideas

Schneider followed up on some potential seminar ideas that had been proposed at previous meetings.

Authority control for rare materials (proposed by Leslie). Leslie suggested that this topic might make a better forum (i.e., discussion session) at this time. There was a general support for this approach. Jane Gillis is chairing the discussions session subcommittee of the RBMS Conference Program Planning Committee and will be in touch with Leslie.

Cataloging to help scholars. (proposed by Jennifer Nelson). Nelson had a rough idea to do a seminar about scholars who get really excited about using the catalog and how their perspectives can help shape cataloging practice. In the course of discussion of how cataloging can transcend the boundaries of the library, Aislinn Sotelo stated that she would be interested on a seminar about library metadata specialists who have become metadata consultants for the larger campus

community. O'Dell recommended put out a listserv call for further development.

Schneider recommended that if anyone had additional ideas to please contact her. If you propose an idea, you do not necessarily have to be the one to put together the seminar.

14. Announcements from the floor

Schneider opened the floor for announcements. Myer gave a reminder that the Technical Services Discussion Group is convening tomorrow. There were also several announcements of open positions.

15. Adjournment

Schneider adjourned the meeting at 11:11 AM.

--Submitted by Michelle Mascaro, secretary Bibliographic Standards Committee

Appendix A: Report of CC:DA Liaison November 2014 JSC meeting Outcomes:

The outcomes of the meeting will be reflected in the April 2015 release of RDA Toolkit. Following are highlights on proposals which received BSC/DCRM input:

6JSC/ALA/33 Clarifying instructions for Sequences of Plates (RDA 3.4.5.9):

This proposal was supported in principle by the JSC, but with some significant differences from ALA's suggested wording. The JSC decided to: Remove footnote text in 3.4.5 explaining what constitutes a sequence of plates, that text will be incorporated into the 1st paragraph of the instruction instead. Create two new sub-instructions, one for numbered sequences and the other for unnumbered sequences. Add text that specifically addresses: Plates that are lettered inclusively. Plates that are numbered in words. How to record unnumbered leaves or pages of plates. Modify Glossary entry for plate.

6JSC/BL rep/1: Simplification of RDA 2.7-2.10 This discussion paper raised questions about the value of continuing to construct aggregated statements for publication, distribution and manufacture information. An alternative approach could be to simply transcribe what is on the resource and to record the relationships in the sub-elements for Place, Name, and Date. The JSC acknowledged that related issues will arise in the FR consolidation, with the anticipated new entities for Place and Time-Span. The JSC observed that RDA needs to be more consistent throughout about the four ways to record relationships: as identifiers, as authorized access points, as structured descriptions, and as unstructured descriptions. Some of these issues were referred to the JSC Technical Working Group for further investigation. As a follow-up, the British Library will prepare a proposal for 2015.

6JSC/ALA/Discussion/4 Transcription issues associated with the Production Statement (RDA 2.7): ALA's discussion paper argued for recording Production Statements, instead of transcribing them, since many unpublished resources do not contain identifying information about their production. During the discussion, the JSC recommended that instead of making a record vs. transcribe decision based on whether the resource is unpublished, it would be better to make a distinction between self-identifying and non-self-identifying resources. It was noted that this distinction also applies to the title and statement of responsibility. This discussion paper is closely related to 6JSC/BL rep/1, and the next steps may be dependent on actions related to that paper. Additionally, the ALA paper noted the inconsistent use of the term "record" in various Chapter 2 sub-instructions, when "transcribe" is really meant. The JSC asked ALA to followup on this concern in 2015, by reviewing this problem in greater detail and making general suggestions for clarity, focusing on a particular subset of instructions. Outcomes of the BL follow-up proposal to 6JSC/BL rep/1 (regarding 2.7-2.10, see above) will impact the direction of any follow-up to this proposal.

6JSC/LC/30 Works without titles: The JSC agreed to multiple aspects of this proposal. Delete the current 6.2.2.7, Manuscripts and Manuscript Groups. Untitled

works in manuscript will thus be treated identically to untitled works in other formats. Future work may be warranted to include instructions in RDA for determining preferred titles and access points for physical manuscripts as works.

There was significant discussion on this topic, and it is clear that future work will be needed in order for RDA to accommodate titles for physical manuscripts (as opposed to the works contained in them), but the specific direction of this work will depend on the outcomes of other proposals.

6JSC/TechnicalWG/3: High-level subject relationship in RDA:

<http://www.rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-TechnicalWG-3.pdf> The paper presented a series of recommendations dealing with the “subject” relationship in RDA. With the move of the Appendix J descriptive relationship designators to the new Appendix M, and the restriction of these relationships to the domain only of works, there will be a need to develop new attributions, relationships and/or relationship designators for the non-subject relationship between a work, expression, manifestation, or item and a work or expression that contains a citation to it. (These would reflect the current use of the MARC 510 Field, Citation/References Note. RBMS will be leading this proposal. The CC:DA liaison, along with the ALA representative and other interested parties will be developing a proposal for new instructions to accommodate citations and references in RDA to be submitted in time for CC:DA review prior to the next JSC meeting in November. Input from the DCRM community and especially the Standard Citation Forms and Controlled vocabularies groups will be solicited during this process.

Curator relationship designators (ARLIS-UK fast-track proposal): BSC weighed in on this proposal, but JSC has yet to review it. Depending on the outcome, ARLIS-US may respond with other proposals, and if warranted, BSC and or CV may be invited to collaborate in these proposals. Meanwhile, ALA and CC:DA will likely be preparing substantive proposals regarding relationship designators, and feedback from DCRM and the CV group will be especially useful in that process.

Upcoming CC:DA actions and JSC Meeting, November 2015: As with past proposals, the liaison will solicit feedback on current and future proposals to be considered by CC:DA and eventually the JSC for the November 2015 meeting in Edinburgh, Scotland.

Related Manifestations: Two discussion papers regarding the recording of structured relationships of related manifestations and accompanying material in RDA.

Multiple Sources for Statements of Responsibility: this report points out an ambiguity in RDA 2.4 instructions regarding use of multiple sources of information for statements of responsibility. RDA already differs from DCRM practice regarding SORs not taken from the same source as the title proper; if any changes to RDA are pursued, what would the rare materials community like to see?

<http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/KPG201501.pdf>

Additional Resources:

CC:DA Meetings at ALA Annual, 2014 Minutes: <http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/min201406.pdf>

CC:DA Meetings at ALA Midwinter 2015, Agenda: <http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=1738>

ALA Representative's report on the November 2014 JSC Meeting: <http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/JSCrep-kpg-2015-2.pdf>

Full JSC proposals and constituency responses <http://www.rda-jsc.org/workingnew.html>

DCRM responses: <http://dcrmrda.pbworks.com/>

---Submitted by Matthew Haugen, RBMS liaison to CC:DA

Appendix B: BSC Directory of Internet Resources Changes

Additions--February, 2015

ADDITIONS:

<http://www.loc.gov/catdir/cpso/dcmz1.pdf> Descriptive Cataloging Manual Z1.
Name and Series Authority Records

<http://www.loc.gov/catdir/cpso/lcmarcsuppl.pdf> LC Guidelines Supplement To The
MARC 21 Format For Authority Data

<http://rbms.info/scf/> Standard Citations Forms for Rare Materials Cataloging—New
4rd edition from the RBM BSC

<http://www.uni-mannheim.de/mateo/camenahtdocs/camenaref.html> Thesaurus
Eruditionis der Projekte CAMENA - Corpus Automatum Multiplex Electorum
Neolatinitatis Auctorum TERMINI – Vernetzter Wortschatz lateinischer
Wissensliteratur der Frühen Neuzeit Gefördert von der Deutschen
Forschungsgemeinschaft--from Marcus Winiarczyk. Digitizations of early modern
dictionaries

<https://research.wsulibs.wsu.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/2376/2614/?sequence=1>
Kyle Bannerjee's Cataloging Calculator

<http://www.aurochs.org/mashcat/pages.html> A Script to find the number of pages
in a 300 \$a subfield

[http://opac.vatlib.it/iguana/www.main.cls?v=9b21bf8c-18c4-11e1-9e67-
5056b2001200&locProfile=INC](http://opac.vatlib.it/iguana/www.main.cls?v=9b21bf8c-18c4-11e1-9e67-5056b2001200&locProfile=INC) Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana Incunabula Catalogue

http://bookbindingdictionary.com/wiki/index.php?title=Main_Page Multilingual
Bookbinding and Conservation Dictionary—by Suzy Morgan and Peter Verheyen
Added in August, 2014

<http://allisonjai.com/abt/vocab/index.php> ARLIS/NA Artists' Books Thesaurus

<http://bibsocamer.org/wp-content/uploads/CORAL2.pdf> British Book Auction
Catalogues 1801-1900: A Preliminary Version of Munby-Coral 2

[http://gawainweaver.com/images/uploads/Photographic%20Process%20Controlled
%20Vocabulary_v1.pdf](http://gawainweaver.com/images/uploads/Photographic%20Process%20Controlled%20Vocabulary_v1.pdf) Photographic processes controlled vocabulary

[http://gawainweaver.com/images/uploads/Process%20ID%20Chart_19th%20Cent
ury%20Photo.pdf](http://gawainweaver.com/images/uploads/Process%20ID%20Chart_19th%20Century%20Photo.pdf) Process ID Chart: 19th century photographic prints

http://gawainweaver.com/images/uploads/Process_ID_Chart_Photomechanical.pdf
Process ID Chart: Photomechanical prints

https://www.vatlib.it/home.php?pag=inc_digitalizzati Digitized incunabula at the Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana

<http://ciham-digital.huma-num.fr/enigma/index.php> Enigma: Unpuzzling difficult Latin readings in medieval manuscripts

<https://script.byu.edu/Pages/German/en/intro.aspx> Online Tutor in German Script—from Brigham Young University

<http://www.bl.uk/maps/georeferencingmap.html> British Library geo-referenced maps online gallery

www.cartomundi.fr/site/ CartoMundi

<http://archiv.twoday.net/stories/453138863/>

Lists of digitized manuscript catalogs and multi-library medieval manuscript databases

<http://www.manuscriptonline.org/> Manuscripts Online: Written Culture 1000-1500

<http://opac.vatlib.it/iguana/www.main.cls?sUrl=homeMSS> Catalogues of Vatican Manuscripts

<http://www.uni-kassel.de/ub/historisches-erbe/sondersammlungen/handschriftenkataloge.html> Digitized Catalogs of Manuscripts

<http://bibsocamer.org/wp-content/uploads/Conway-Davis-Directory-11.2014.pdf>

Melissa Conway, Lisa Fagin Davis, "Directory of Institutions in the United States and Canada with Pre-1600 Manuscripts," 2008; updates: 2009, 2010, 2011, 2014

--Submitted by Laurence S. Creider