Board I Proceedings

Present: Irene M.H. Herold, Cheryl Middleton, Ann Campion Riley, LeRoy LaFleur, John A. Lehner, John P. Culshaw, Emily Daly, Caroline Fuchs, Julia M. Gelfand, Kim Leeder Reed, Beth McNeil, Lori J. Ostapowicz-Critz, Susan Barnes Whyte, Mary Ellen K. Davis (ex-officio)

Staff: Mark Cummings, David Free, Sharon Mader, Kara Malenfant, Erin Nevius, Allison Payne, Mary Jane Petrowski

Guests: Jose Aguinaga, Elizabeth Berman, Amy Buckland, Alan Carbery, Jeanne Davidson, Mark Emmons, Katie Gibson, Jill Gremmels, Jaime Corris Hammond, Patricia Hswe, Rhonda Kay Huisman, Athena N. Jackson, Thomas Keegan, Lisa Nickel, Lauren Pressley, Annemarie Roscello, Erin Smith, Kristen Totleben

1.0 Call to order (Herold)
ACRL President Irene M.H. Herold called the meeting to order at 1:40 p.m.

2.0 Opening remarks (Herold)
Herold welcomed the ACRL Board of Directors and guests to the meeting. She referred the Board to its ground rules on the agenda, as well as the knowledge-based questions on the Board’s tent cards. Herold asked the Board for agenda changes, and the Board agreed there were no changes.

3.0 Adoption of the Agenda (Herold)

Motion: Susan Barnes Whyte moved that the ACRL Board adopts the agenda as presented.

Action: The Board approved the agenda as presented.
4.0 Reports

4.1 President’s Report (Herold) #1.1
Herold referred the Board to her report, Document 1.1. She thanked the Board and Budget & Finance Committee for their 100% participation of fundraising for the Friends of ACRL to support ACRL 2017 Conference Scholarships.

Herold is looking forward to upcoming travel on behalf of ACRL, including speaking on the President’s Choice Panel on open access publishing at the Art Libraries Society of North America (ARLIS/NA) conference. Herold is also looking forward to speaking on advocacy at the ACRL Kentucky Chapter meeting.

4.2 Vice-President’s Report (Middleton) #1.2
ACRL Vice-President Cheryl Middleton began her report by sharing that she is looking forward to working with the ACRL Appointments Committee this spring to complete division-level committee appointments.

Middleton is also looking forward to speaking by request of the ACRL South Dakota Chapter at the South Dakota Library Association Conference in September.

Middleton is serving on the ALA Division Leadership Cooperation Task Force, and reported that the task force is developing ideas on how divisions can best work together.

4.3 Past-President’s Report (Campion Riley)
ACRL Past-President Ann Campion Riley spoke at an ACRL Arkansas Chapter event this past fall. Campion Riley served on the I Love My Librarian Award Committee, and attended the awards ceremony in New York City with ACRL Executive Director Mary Ellen Davis.

Campion Riley will be co-chairing, with American Association of School Librarians (AASL) member Audrey Church, the Education Innovation session of the Symposium on the Future of Libraries at this Midwinter Meeting in Atlanta.

4.4 Councilor’s Report (LaFleur) #1.4
ACRL Councilor LeRoy LaFleur reported on topics that the ALA Council are considering at the 2017 Midwinter Meeting, including a resolution on gun violence affecting libraries, library workers, and library patrons; ALA Executive Director search and the MLIS requirement; and plans for the ALA conference remodel.

LaFleur announced that ALA will be holding a town hall meeting at Midwinter to discuss the issue of ALA working with the new Trump administration and on whether members want ALA to be making statements on issues. The Board expressed interest in making issues-based statements, and agreed to discuss a potential ACRL Statement at its next meeting on Monday.
Editor’s note: At Midwinter 2017, the ALA Council passed a revised resolution on gun violence affecting libraries, library workers, and library patrons (CD#42.1); and did not pass a resolution on the requirements for future ALA Executive Directors (CD #14), which proposed that the MLS was preferred and not required.

4.5 Executive Director’s Plan for Excellence Activities Report (Davis) #1.5, #1.6
ACRL Executive Director Mary Ellen Davis referred the Board to her two reports: Doc 1.5 Executive Director’s Plan for Excellence Activities Report (PEAR); and Doc 1.6 Enabling Programs and Services: Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). She thanked ACRL Visiting Program Officer for Information Literacy Sharon Mader for her leadership on the launch of the Framework for Information Literacy Sandbox.

Davis shared additional highlights, including the appointment of new curriculum designers for six licensed workshops, the progress in hiring a new organizational development specialist, acceptance of an application to host an American Council of Learned Societies (ACLS) fellow for a two-year funded position, and the draft action-oriented research agenda.

Davis gave an update on the ACRL Diversity Alliance, which currently has twenty member institutions. Davis met with human resource directors at Big 10 institutions regarding the Diversity Alliance. Additionally, there is interest from some vendors in becoming involved.

Davis reported that Choice has launched a new app for users to have access to Choice reviews, collection development essays, and ACRL announcements.

5.0 Consent Agenda Executive Committee (Herold)
The following item was placed on the Executive Committee consent agenda:

- 5.1 Confirmation of virtual vote 2016 Fall Executive Committee Minutes. #2.0, #2.0a

Motion: Middleton moved that the Executive Committee approves the consent agenda.

Action: The Executive Committee approved the consent agenda.

6.0 Consent Agenda Full Board (Herold)
The following items were placed on the Board’s consent agenda:

- 6.1 Confirmation of virtual vote 2016 Fall Board minutes #2.1, #2.1a
- 6.2 Confirmation of virtual vote 2016 Annual Conference minutes. #2.2, #2.2a
- 6.3 Confirmation of virtual vote CLS Innovation Award criteria #2.3, #2.3a
- 6.4 Confirmation of virtual vote Standards Presenter Coordinator #2.4
- 6.5 Approval of Institutional Research Interest Group #2.5, #2.5a

The Board agreed to remove from the consent agenda item 6.5 Approval of Institutional Research Interest Group. The Board will discuss the interest group’s name during the Open Microphone agenda item.
Motion: Emily Daly moved that the Board’s consent agenda be approved without item 6.5 Approval of Institutional Research Interest Group.

Action: The Board approved the consent agenda without item 6.5 Approval of Institutional Research Interest Group.

7.0 Board Engagement with Strategic Goal Committees (Herold)

7.1 Research and Scholarly Environment (Amy Buckland, Patricia Hswe) #6.0, #6.1
Herold welcomed Research and Scholarly Environment Committee (ReSEC) Chair Amy Buckland and Vice-Chair Patricia Hswe to the meeting. Buckland reported on highlights, including the launch of the new toolkit, scholarly communication road show, and creation of a subcommittee that helps ACRL respond to statements on issues within ReSEC’s purview.

Buckland and Hswe are looking forward to their presentation, “ACRL's Open Access (OA) Policy Statement: How Does This Help/Hinder Your Work?” at the upcoming Library Publishing Coalition’s Library Publishing Forum in March 2017. The committee recommends that ACRL explore making a statement on the issue of open research.

The Board thanked the committee for its work on the toolkit, and for the committee’s expertise in helping ACRL draft timely response statements.

The Board asked ReSEC for an update on libraries and vendor relationships, and the committee advised that it was working on a draft statement and that it had been delayed. The Board expressed interest in receiving talking points for vendor relationships.

7.2 Student Learning and Information Literacy (Rhonda Kay Huisman, Elizabeth Berman) #5.0, #5.1
Herold welcomed Student Learning and Information Literacy Committee (SLILC) Chair Rhonda Kay Huisman and Vice-Chair Elizabeth Berman to the meeting. Huisman referred the Board to the SLILC Midwinter report, Doc 5.0, and shared some highlights, such as SLILC’s support of the Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education, Global Perspectives white paper, accreditation resources, and Keeping Up With contributions on ACRL Insider.

The Intersections Road show, “Two Paths Converge: Designing Educational Opportunities on the Intersections of Scholarly Communication and Information Literacy,” planning is on-track, and curriculum designers have been appointed.

SLILC had a discussion forum this morning, “How do you SoTL? The Scholarship of Teaching & Learning in Academic Libraries.” The committee is planning two virtual forums in the spring to feature authors of the Global Perspectives white paper. SLILC submitted a book proposal on the scholarship of teaching and learning.

The Board asked Huisman and Berman for SLILC’s preference on next steps the Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board (FAB) presented in Doc 14.0 Board action form: Future of
Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board. SLILC is in support of FAB’s second alternate, which supports adding some FAB members to SLILC.

7.3 Value of Academic Libraries (Jaime Corris Hammond, Alan Carbery) #4.0, #4.1, #4.2, #4.3
Herold welcomed Value of Academic Libraries Committee (VAL) Chair Jaime Corris Hammond and Vice-Chair Alan Carbery to the meeting. Corris Hammond reported that VAL members will be hosting two sessions at the ACRL 2017 Conference in Baltimore: “Changing Tack: A Future-Focused ACRL Research Agenda,” and “Assessing and Communicating Library Contributions to Student Learning and Success through Action Research.”

Other highlights include VAL support for the Action-Oriented Research Agenda, next steps for the Assessment in Action (AiA) program, road shows, and engaging with community colleges.

The Board discussed with VAL leaders potential ways VAL could liaise with non-library higher education organizations. VAL is planning to provide liaisons with registration and travel money to attend non-library conferences.

VAL is continuing to explore activities in support of objective four, Support libraries in advancing issues of equity, access, diversity, and inclusion in higher education.

The research agenda has been a huge project, and Vice-Chair Alan Carbery will continue work on the research agenda during his term as chair. Future work includes working with research agenda leaders to find viable venues to promote the agenda when it is finalized.

The Board asked for more detail on projects pertaining to community college libraries. Corris Hammond reported that VAL is looking for virtual opportunities and funding for travel and registration. VAL is also working with the ACRL Community College Engagement Task Force on how to best engage community college librarians.

7.4 New Roles and Changing Landscapes Committee (Jill Gremmels, Mark Emmons) #7.0, #7.1
New Roles and Changing Landscapes Committee Chair Jill Gremmels and Vice-Chair Mark Emmons were welcomed to the meeting. Gremmels began the discussion by sharing that the committee is exploring current activities to see what plans and initiatives the committee should undertake.

Some potential projects include an ACRL book series on new roles, development of a matrix using IPEDs data, collaboration with the Research Planning and Review Committee on top trends, and turning the President’s Program “Leadership Development for New Library Roles” into a webinar.

The Board offered some suggestions for the committee to explore: adding initiatives surrounding diversity, creating a licensed workshop, writing an ACRL Insider column, developing a toolkit, and developing competencies for other new roles.

Herold thanked Gremmels and Emmons for their update on the New Roles and Changing Landscapes Committee.
Adjourn to Executive Session

8.0 RBM Editor Recommendation (Herold) #24.0, #24.1, #24.2, #24.3

End of Executive Session

9.0 Report out of Executive Session (Herold)

Action: The Board voted to approve the Publications Coordinating Committee’s recommendation of Richard L. Saunders as editor of RBM.

10.0 Standards for Proficiencies for Assessment Librarians and Coordinators Task Force (Emmons) #13.0, #13.1, #13.2, #13.3

The Board was joined by Standards for Proficiencies for Assessment Librarians and Coordinators Task Force Chair Mark Emmons.

Emmons shared that the task force has completed a best practices literature review, reviewed other standards, completed a card sorting program, presented at a library assessment conference in November 2016, and has potential plans to write a book chapter.

After discussing if the document should be titled a standard or a guideline, the Board agreed that the document should be titled Proficiencies for Assessment Librarians and Coordinators. The Board agreed that the removal of “Standards” better reflects the content of the document and aligns with ACRL definitions.

The Board discussed the use of teams or individuals, and the Board agreed it would like the introduction to be updated to reflect that proficiencies could apply to a team or an individual. The Board agreed that this change could allow for smaller institutions to use the document, as there may not be support for one librarian devoted to only assessment.

Motion: Susan Barnes Whyte moved that the ACRL Board approves the Proficiencies for Assessment Librarians and Coordinators Task Force, with editing to reflect the updated title and to include teams in addition to individuals.

Action: The Board approved the Proficiencies for Assessment Librarians and Coordinators Task Force, with editing to reflect the updated title and to include teams in addition to individuals.

11.0 Request for an Information Literacy Competency Standard (Barnes Whyte) #22.0

Barnes Whyte began the discussion by providing some context. At the 2016 ALA Annual Conference in Orlando, the Community College and Junior Libraries Section (CJCLS) discussed its displeasure with the rescission of the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education. CJCLS would like the Standards to be permanently archived, and that a new companion document be created for the Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education.

The Board advised that the Standards are archived online in C&RL News. The Board agreed for the Standards to be added to the American Library Association Institutional Repository (ALAIR). The
Board also advised that CJCLS should reach out to the ACRL Standards Committee regarding the process for creating a companion document to the Framework. If CJCLS creates a companion document, a liaison from the Student Learning and Information Literacy Committee (SLILC) will be assigned to CJCLS. SLILC leadership advised that the current liaison from CJCLS to SLILC should not also fulfil the potential standards liaison, as the CJCLS liaison is already working on a project between the two membership groups.

**12.0 Revised Ground Rules (Herold) #12.1**

**Motion:** LaFleur moved that the ACRL Board approves its revised Ground Rules as presented in Document 12.1.

**Action:** The Board approved its revised Ground Rules as presented in Document 12.1.

**13.0 WESS/SEES Merger (Leeder Reed, McNeil) #11.0, #11.1, #11.2**

The Western European Studies Section (WESS) and Slavic and East European Section (SEES) leadership were welcomed to the meeting. The Board commended both groups on their work and cooperation to ensure a smooth transition for the merger.

The Board asked if the Asian, African, and Middle Eastern Section (AAMES) was invited to participate in the merger, and were advised by WESS and SEES leadership that AAMES declined when asked in 2015.

**Motion:** John Lehner moved that the ACRL Board approves the WESS/SEES merger to form the European Studies Section (ESS).

**Action:** The Board approved the WESS/SEES merger to form the European Studies Section (ESS).

**14.0 Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (Herold)**

The Board began its discussion on Diversity, Equity and Inclusion by discussing if the Board should release a statement affirming ACRL core values. The Board agreed that if a statement were to be released, the Diversity Committee, Professional Values Committee, RBMS Diversity Committee, and Diversity Alliance Task Force should be consulted.

Prior to Midwinter, the Diversity Committee shared that it supports ACRL issuing a statement, and also expressed interest in creating diversity resources, such as a LibGuide, for academic librarians.

The Board was joined by RBMS Diversity Committee leadership, and was advised that RBMS supports a diversity statement by ACRL.

The Board agreed to draft a statement affirming ACRL’s commitment to diversity, equity and inclusion.

**Next steps:** A Board working group, comprised of LeRoy LaFluer, Beth McNeil, and Lori Ostapowicz-Critz, will work on a draft diversity statement, and share with the full Board and stakeholders.
15.0 Libraries Transform Task Force (Campion Riley) #17.0
Campion Riley referred the Board to the Libraries Transform Task Force’s report included in the Board packet. She gave an overview of the report, and shared that the task force has completed an informational survey and included the survey results in the report.

Some of the preliminary recommendations include creation of materials for academic librarians to use for the ALA Libraries Transform campaign, an updated toolkit, talking points for academic librarians, and communication plans.

The Board suggested that Assessment in Action and Value of Academic Libraries materials could be used in conjunction with the Libraries Transform Campaign.

6.5 Institutional Research Interest Group (Herold) #2.
The approval of the Institutional Research Interest Group was pulled from the consent agenda, so the Board could discuss the request.

The Board began the discussion by reviewing the background documents included in the packet. The Board agreed that the interest group proposal is timely, as there have been recent presentations on the topic. Also, ACRL has recently submitted a letter of support on learning analytics.

The Board discussed if “Institutional Research” is too broad of a term with multiple meanings. The Board suggested “Institutional Learning Analytics” as an alternate name. In the documents, the proposal included that the name was purposefully chosen to encompass a broader audience.

The Board agreed that the name of the interest group should stand as submitted, as there was ample support from membership through the petition and the background explanation.

Motion: Emily Daly moved that the ACRL Board approves the establishment of the Institutional Research Interest Group.

Action: The Board approved the establishment of the Institutional Interest Group.

16.0 Open Microphone (Herold)

16.1 Emily Sheketoff FYI-9
Due to last-minute scheduling conflicts, Emily Sheketoff was unable to attend the Board meeting. The Board was advised to read her written report, FYI-9.

17.0 Adjournment (Herold)
Herold reminded the Board that the next meeting will be the Joint Board of Directors and Budget & Finance Committee Meeting on Monday morning. Other upcoming activities at Midwinter include the ACRL/Harvard LIAL Alumni Information Session after the Board meeting and the ACRL Presidential Forum on Sunday.

Herold adjourned the meeting at 5:07 p.m.

-Submitted by ACRL Program Officer Allison Payne
Board II Proceedings

**Present:** Irene M.H. Herold, Cheryl Middleton, Ann Campion Riley, LeRoy LaFleur, John A. Lehner, John P. Culshaw, Emily Daly, Caroline Fuchs, Julia M. Gelfand, Kim Leeder Reed, Beth McNeil, Lori J. Ostapowicz-Critz, Susan Barnes Whyte, Mary Ellen K. Davis (ex-officio)

**Staff:** Margot Conahan, Mark Cummings, David Free, Sharon Mader, Kara Malenfant, Erin Nevius, Allison Payne, Mary Jane Petrowski

**Guests:** Emily Asch, Lynn S. Connaway, Trevor A. Dawes, Blane Dessy, Karen Downing (virtual), Loida Garcia-Febo, Terri Grief, Rhonda Huisman, Vanessa Kitzie, Stephanie Mikitish, Michael Miller, John Overholt, Lauren Pressley, Scott Walter

**18.0 Call to order (Herold)**
ACRL President Irene M.H. Herold called the meeting to order at 1:32 p.m.

**19.0 Opening remarks (Herold)**
Herold reminded the Board of its ground rules and guidelines.

**20.0 Adoption of the Agenda (Herold)**
Herold asked the Board if there are any changes to the agenda. The Board requested that a discussion on ACRL’s draft diversity statement to be added to the agenda during item 30.0 New Business.

*Motion:* Ann Campion Riley moved that the ACRL Board approves its Board II agenda as amended.

*Action:* The Board approved its Board II agenda as amended.

**21.0 ALA Candidate Forum**
The Board heard from Candidates for ALA President Loida Garcia-Febo, Terri Grief, and Scott Walter. Each candidate was allotted up to three minutes.
22.0 ALA Board Liaison Update (Karen Downing)
The Board was joined virtually by ALA Board Liaison Karen Downing. Downing began her oral report by sharing that the ALA Council approved adding a fourth Strategic Direction: Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion.

Downing reported that as of January 1, 2017, the ALA Libraries Transform Campaign has over 4,300 participating libraries. She advised the Board to read the recently released Libraries Transform Year One Report.

The ALA Office for Library Advocacy and the ALA Office for Intellectual Freedom have partnered to create an Advocacy Bootcamp. Minnesota and Virginia held successful boot camps, and the offices are looking to increase participation.

Downing referred the Board to the draft ALA conference remodel proposal, and asked for the Board to send her comments on the proposal. The proposed changes are based on member feedback, and aim to make the conference campus easier to navigate and to better align programming. The Board expressed concern from ACRL member groups regarding the potential for fewer committee meetings and the proposed gap between committee meetings and programing.

The ALA Executive Director is retiring at the end of July 2017, and the search committee has been finalized. The search committee will begin its work after Midwinter Meeting.

ALA Washington Office Executive Director Emily Sheketoff is also retiring, and a search committee is being formed. Sheketoff will be leaving at the end of May 2017, and ALA Human Resources hopes to have a new WO executive director in place by the time she retires.

Herold thanked Downing for attending, and for her flexibility in connecting virtually.

23.0 Budget and Finance Committee (Lehner)

23.1 FY16 year-end report #8.0
ACRL Budget & Finance Committee Chair John Lehner referred the Board to the FY16 year-end report, which was also published in C&RL News. The FY16 report was simplified compared to previous years, and included a link to an online supplement with more detailed charts.

The FY16 budget included spending down some of the net asset balance, and FY17 was also budgeted with a negative net. Lehner reported that the Choice budget is spending down its net asset balance to invest in strategic initiatives.

23.2 FY17 first-quarter report #9.0, #9.1, #9.2
Lehner referred the Board to the FY17 first-quarter report, and advised that first quarter revenue is below budget, mainly due to timing. Some revenue was mistakenly budgeted for the first quarter, when the revenue will be received in the second quarter. Sales of books are below budget and staff are researching factors that may have contributed to a decrease in sales.
The Budget & Finance Committee discussed the Dashboard Metrics at Midwinter, and agreed that no changes to the current charts are needed. There is a new Budget & Finance Committee working group, which is developing a “Your ACRL Dollar” infographic, and plans to have a draft image for Board review at Annual Conference 2017.

23.4 FY18 Draft Budget #10.0, #10.1, #10.2, #15.0, #15.1
Lehner referred the Board to the draft FY18 budget. Additional expenses added to the budget since the Board reviewed the budget assumptions at its Fall 2016 meeting include a new program coordinator position and an ACLS fellow (although a salary is provided, ACRL includes benefits).

23.5 Brief report out of Joint Board/B&F meeting
Lehner reported that the Board approved the use of $275,000 from ACRL’s net asset balance to help fund a CHOICE Open Educational Resources (OER) review service over two years, tentatively split as $175,000 in one year and $100,000 in another.

Joint meeting motion: That the ACRL Board approves the use of $275,000 from ACRL’s net asset balance to help fund a CHOICE Open Educational Resources (OER) review service over two years, tentatively split as $175,000 in one year and $100,000 in another.

Joint meeting action: The Board approved the use of $275,000 from ACRL’s net asset balance to help fund a CHOICE Open Educational Resources (OER) review service over two years, tentatively split as $175,000 in one year and $100,000 in another.

Lehner also reported that the Board approved a proposal for research on non-member community college librarians.

Joint meeting motion: That the ACRL Board approves to fund a community college engagement survey in FY17 at a cost of approximately $25,000.

Joint meeting actions: The Board approved approves to fund a community college engagement survey in FY17 at a cost of approximately $25,000.

ACRL Diversity Statement (LaFleur)
The Board continued its discussion from its Board I meeting, and considered if the Board is interested in issuing a statement reaffirming ACRL values, such as diversity. The Board working group shared the draft statement it created with the Rare Books and Manuscripts Section’s (RBMS) Diversity Committee.

There was discussion about whether the statement should be released during conference, or shortly after conference. While there was some support for acting in a timely manner, the Board agreed that it would like to give the Diversity Committee and Committee on Professional Values an opportunity to review and comment before the statement is shared publicly.

The Board began discussing edits on the draft statement, and requested that it mention ACRL’s core values. The Board discussed the perception of using “patron privacy,” and if this would be perceived as not supporting learning analytics. Confidentiality/Privacy is in ALA’s core values, and the Board
agreed that patron privacy does not need to conflict with learning analytics, as student research can still be confidential. The Board also discussed if net neutrality and open access should be added, and there was some support for including “intellectual freedom.”

**Motion:** Lehner moved that the ACRL Board shares for review and comment the draft Diversity Statement with the Diversity Committee and Committee on Professional Values.

**Action:** The Board approved sharing for review and comment the draft Diversity Statement with the Diversity Committee and Committee on Professional Values.

The Board next discussed the process for approval, and if the Executive Committee should be authorized to approve the final version after all stakeholders have been consulted.

**Motion:** Culshaw moved that the ACRL Board authorizes the Executive Committee to approve the final version after stakeholders are consulted.

**Action:** The ACRL Board authorized the Executive Committee to approve the final version after stakeholders are consulted.

24.0 Councilor’s Update (LaFleur)

LaFleur reported that after lively debate, the ALA Council narrowly voted to approve continuing the requirement that the ALA Executive Director hold a master’s in library and information science.

LaFleur shared that Council passed a resolution establishing family/caregiver status as a protected class in ALA volunteer work, which allows ALA committee volunteers to maintain committee status while on leave.

Council will continue to monitor the new Trump administration, and how this will impact libraries and related organizations. The Board was asked if it would like to pursue ACRL issuing a statement on NEA and NHA funding, and the Board agreed it will discuss this topic at the end of the meeting during 30.0 New Business.

25.0 Request for an Information Literacy Competency Standard (Barnes Whyte)

#22.0

Herold welcomed Community and Junior College Libraries Section (CJCLS) Chair Michael Miller to the meeting. Miller requested that the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education be archived, so it is permanently available. The Board advised that the standard is available in the C&RL News archive, and it can be posted to the American Library Association Institutional Repository (ALAIR).

Miller requested guidance on a potential Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education companion document that the Community and Junior College Libraries Section (CJCLS) may develop. The Board encouraged CJCLS to move forward with a companion document, and advised that the Information Literacy Frameworks and Information Literacy Frameworks and Standards Committee (ILFSC) can help with the official process. If CJCLS creates a companion document, a liaison will be assigned between CJCLS and the ILFSC.
Next steps: The Standards will be archived on ALAIR, and CJCLS will be updated on the official process for creating a Framework companion document.

26.0 Framework Advisory Board: Next steps (Mader) #14.0, #14.1, #25.0, #25.1, #25.2, #25.3

Herold welcomed ACRL Visiting Program Officer for Information Literacy Sharon Mader to the Board meeting. Mader referred the Board to the recommendations included in Document 14.0 Board action form: Future of Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board.

Mader reported that her term as Visiting Program Officer for Information Literacy will end in February 2017. Projects that are currently underway or in development include the Framework curriculum, sandbox, and virtual toolkit. There are two suggestions for the successful transition of projects: extend Framework for Information Literacy Advisory Board (FAB) or have Student Learning and Information Literacy Committee (SLILC) subsume some FAB members.

While discussing the first alternative, the Board asked who would lead FAB, as Sharon’s term is ending. Mader stated that the current Chair Donna Witek will be on maternity leave, so two co-chairs would be appointed during this time. Since Sharon would no longer be the staff liaison, ACRL Associate Director Mary Jane Petrowski would become the staff liaison.

The Board shared that SLILC is open to taking on some FAB members if alternative two is approved. The Board suggested that alternative two would be a more permanent option, as the Board would need to revisit the issue again next year if alternative one is approved.

Herold shared the Board’s sincere appreciation for Sharon’s leadership and the accomplishments of FAB. The Board commended FAB on its development of the Framework sandbox, and leading a smooth transition.

Motion: Campion Riley moved that the ACRL Board approves alternative two:

1) That the responsibilities of the Framework Advisory Board be transitioned to the ACRL Framework Support Working Group of SLILC as of July 2017.
2) That the scope of the SLILC Framework Support Working Group be expanded to address the integration of ACRL’s Framework-related professional development resources and assets into the work of SLILC and other relevant ACRL committees, sections, and units, by so doing implement the integration of the Framework into ACRL’s strategic priorities related to student learning.
3) That the composition of the expanded SLILC Framework Support Working Group include a team leader to be appointed from FAB’s current membership, as well as additional appointments to be made from the current Framework Advisory Board to take advantage of existing experience and commitment to these projects. It is also suggested that the Framework Curriculum Presenter Coordinator be a member of this working group. This team could be renamed as the Framework Integration Working Group.

Action: The Board approved alternative two:
1) That the responsibilities of the Framework Advisory Board be transitioned to the ACRL Framework Support Working Group of SLILC as of July 2017.

2) That the scope of the SLILC Framework Support Working Group be expanded to address the integration of ACRL's Framework-related professional development resources and assets into the work of SLILC and other relevant ACRL committees, sections, and units, by so doing implement the integration of the Framework into ACRL's strategic priorities related to student learning.

3) That the composition of the expanded SLILC Framework Support Working Group include a team leader to be appointed from FAB's current membership, as well as additional appointments to be made from the current Framework Advisory Board to take advantage of existing experience and commitment to these projects. It is also suggested that the Framework Curriculum Presenter Coordinator be a member of this working group. This team could be renamed as the Framework Integration Working Group.

27.0 Standards for Libraries in Higher Education Review Task Force (Gelfand) #19.0

The Board began its discussion on the report from the Standards for Libraries in Higher Education Review Task Force. The Board confirmed that student learning outcomes would be included. The Board asked the task force to ensure that CJCLS will be consulted on the document. The Board was reminded that a community college librarian is serving on the task force.

28.0 Action-Oriented Research Agenda (Silipigni Connaway) #23.0, #23.1

The Board was joined by Action-Oriented Research Agenda leaders Lynn Silipigni Connaway, Vanessa Kitzie, and Stephanie Mikitish. The team has received good feedback from advisory groups, and has already made revisions based on the input.

The group is working on a data visualization tool that organizes more than 500 pieces of data. A software engineer is working on the interface, and the team is working on making the tool interactive. The group also discussed whether individuals would be able to add their own work or visualizations to the visualization tool. The Board suggested that a tool, similar to the AiA database, could also be developed.

The research agenda leaders asked the Board for input on dissemination after the final document is completed, and reviewed the options included in Document 23.0 Cover letter and Board discussion form: Action-oriented research agenda. The Board agreed with the proposed activity for there to be presentations at higher education conferences to reach provosts and administrative leaders. The Board also suggested sending information to the Chronicle for Higher Education, Inside Higher Education, and official ACRL channels.

The Board suggested that the agenda could be an evolving document kept up by the Value of Academic Libraries Committee.

The Board discussed the content of the draft research agenda. The project leaders advised that diversity will be added to the agenda. Other suggested feedback of topics to include are undergraduate research and digital scholarship as priority areas added to teaching and learning. The
The group is looking to develop an executive summary of what was learned, and tools for “parking lot” speeches with administrators.

29.1 Awards Task Force #18.0, #18.1 (Ostapowicz-Critz)
ACRL Director-at-large Lori Ostapowicz-Critz referred the Board to the Awards Task Force’s report in the packet. The task force has been meeting frequently and has conducted two surveys—one for past winners and one for ACRL leaders. The Board shared that ACRL completed a gap analysis membership survey, which found that awards was ranked low compared to other ACRL services. The Board suggested that perhaps the survey results reflect affinity towards other services, as opposed to not valuing the awards program.

The task force is still in its preliminary stages, but is planning to have its final report submitted by the due date of Annual Conference 2017.

After analyzing the survey findings, the task force believes that ACRL should continue to support its awards program. The task force may recommend in its final report for a membership unit to be created to oversee awards and to guide the process for units looking to create new awards. If an oversight committee is not created, the task force will recommend when the awards program should next be reviewed.

Funding has been one issue the preliminary findings have found, with some awards receiving less sponsor support in recent years. Sections are allotted basic services funding, which is based on membership levels. Some sections are choosing to use a portion of its basic services funding to help fund awards. If an award loses funding, there are still fees for staff support to market and process the award. The task force is exploring if the practice of using basic services funding is sustainable. In addition to using basic services money, membership groups are also welcome to fundraise for an award, following the established ACRL fundraising procedures.

Another issue the task force is looking to address is what happens when there are not enough nominations, and how this impacts sponsorship support.

30.0 New Business/ Parking Lot (Herold)

30.1 Potential ACRL Statement on Governmental Funding
The Board continued its earlier discussion on whether or not ACRL should issue a statement of support for the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) and National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH). While there has been an indication that NEA and NEH may be at risk, the Board agreed to wait to issue a statement. The Board will monitor if ALA or the ALA Washington Office (WO) chooses to take action. The Board requested ACRL Councilor LeRoy LaFleur to contact ALA Washington Office Executive Director Emily Sheketoff at the Council meeting the next day to get a better understanding on when or if the WO will issue a statement.

Editor’s note: After Midwinter, the ALA Washington Office issued a press release, “ALA affirms support for NEH, NEA.”
The Board agreed it should be prepared to quickly issue a statement if needed, and that there is a need for ACRL to speak up, especially for members who may not be able to advocate per their institutions’ policies. The Board suggested that if a message is drafted, the message could be sent via the chapters’ listserv in addition to regular ACRL marketing channels.

30.2 ACRL Spring Board Meeting
Herold shared that the Board will be contacted after Midwinter to schedule the upcoming Spring Board Meeting, which will take place virtually in April.

31.0 Board Meeting Evaluation (Herold)
The Board was reminded to complete the online Board meeting effectiveness survey that will be sent shortly following the meeting.

32.0 Adjournment (Herold)
Herold adjourned the meeting at 4:26 p.m.

-Submitted by ACRL Program Officer Allison Payne