

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

June 2, 1920  
5:00 P.M.

Mr. Hadley: The Executive Board may as well begin its session.

The first thing is the approval of the minutes of the meeting of March 12th, April 20th, 29th and 30th of this year. Miss Marvin, do you have anything in regard to the minutes of March 12th?

Miss Marvin: I sent it to you and I don't have it with me at present. I think, Mr. Hadley, that it was relative to the reports which should be given out to the Association and I think that motion was made and carried anyway. The correspondence I had in the matters covered reports to the Association of the Enlarged Program Committee. I see the same motion was made and carried at the next meeting, so it is quite all right.

It was moved, seconded and unanimously carried that the minutes of March 12th and April 20th, 29th and 30th be approved.

Mr. Hadley: The next is the report of the, on the enlarged program.

Mr. Milam: I have only a copy of the tentative report.

Chairman: Don't that come before the Association?

Mr. Hill: It usually comes before the Executive Board.

Chairman: I had forgotten. We will defer consideration of that report. The next is the appointment of the committee on resolutions, of three members.

Miss Marvin: I move that the President be authorized to appoint them. Is not that correct?

Chairman: I do not remember.

Motion made that the President be authorized to appoint a committee of three, seconded, carried.

Chairman: The next is the appointment of two tellers of election -

with the notation that Wm. Teal is generally one teller. Are there any other suggestions for tellers?

Why not leave it to the President?

Chairman: It has been suggested that the President appoint one teller besides Mr. Teal. Taken by consent.

Chairman: The next is the presentation of the ALA first and second war services funds from Jan. 1st to March 31st.

Secy. I have a statement from Mannick Mitchell & Co. dated April 30th which can be read or left for examination at your pleasure.

Mr. Hill:

Chairman: I suggest we postpone that until the next meeting until we have a report from the Finance Committee.

Chairman: This item of business will be deferred until the financial committee reports as Mr. Hill suggests.

Chairman: The next is the report on the opinion from counsel. (This report was read by Pres. Hadley) An attempt has been made to present a report which can be considered here.

Mr. Strohm: Was it not officially stated that the money was needed in the second campaign and that we should continue our effort of getting money - that it was much more essential after the armistice than during war time?

Chairman: The chair is not able to say as to that.

Mr. Hill: Does it state a committee of eleven?

Chairman: The chairman of the committee of eleven.

Mr. Martin gave that as his personal opinion?

Chairman: The chair was trusting to his own memory. It was done personally, not officially and in regard to the uses of the funds, I think it was said by Mr. Martin at the time that the committee of eleven didn't want to go into the matter for the reason that they were not quite sure how the money had been spent and it might make some difficulty.

Mr. Hill: What value is the note which you have just read? It is not an opinion from the counsel; it is merely a statement which you have prepared?

Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Hill: It does not seem to me that it ought to be a matter of record.

Chairman: The committee was not given any means to employ counsel. We were trying out what the Executive Board asked us to submit at the <sup>so do</sup> March meeting.

Mr. Hill: I don't see what value that report is.

Chairman: That is for the Executive Board to decide.

Mr. Hill: You were to obtain the opinion of counsel.

Chairman: Well, then, the thing would be for the Executive Board to provide some sort of means of securing counsel. We couldn't get a written opinion in a offhand decision as we had to get.

Mr. Hill: Then it should be a statement of the committee and not the opinion of counsel and it does not seem to be of any value to make a record of it.

Miss Marvin: As I recollect that motion the president and secretary were authorized to employ counsel. I think I made the motion. I assumed of course the association would pay for the services. We must have some funds available for that purpose. As I remember it also that motion was not to ask the advice of counsel upon the use of this fund but it was to cover the whole matter legally, especially to say that this Executive Board had acted properly under the laws of Massachusetts as custodian of funds. It was my impression that any injured party might bring suit against us and that it would protect us to have the opinion of counsel. It seems to me this has value and at least is a relief to our minds. I believe we should employ counsel.

Mr. Hill: I don't see how you can go ahead and spend any more of this \$200,000 on the report you have submitted. I would agree with Miss Marvin's employment of counsel and make it payment of counsel and get a written opinion from that counsel. Now I don't see how that protects us at all. I think on the other hand that we should not spend any more of that money. I don't think we have any right to do it - and there you are.

Miss Tobitt: A written opinion could be obtained from this attorney?

Chairman: I suppose it could.

Mr. Hill: If you were to obtain a written opinion there is no question but what it would agree. Now if you want such an opinion and don't want to use any more money, go ahead and get it but I should say you ought not to use this report in any way, at least publicly, unless you are ready to stop further expenditures.

Chairman: Of course it has not been used publicly in anyway.

Mr. Hill: Well, or any other way. I don't see anything to do but stop your campaign expenses if you want to act favorably on that report of this committee.

Miss Tobitt: If we ask advice of an attorney we would take that advice. If we are of the opinion we should take that advice, we should stop expenses.

Mr. Dana: Mr. Hill says you haven't got the advice of counsel. He has not sent you a signed opinion?

Chairman: Not at all.

Mr. Dana: You didn't pay him anything for it; if you were to take action on it he would not be in anyway responsible, would he?

Mr. Hill: That is why I say if you want to go on with the expenditure, you oughtn't to get the advice of counsel.

Mr. Strohm: Is there anyone present who knows if we continued to

spend the money after the armistice was declared?

Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ I think we only feel that money has been spent for that purpose and it was not deemed advisable by those who knew more about the committee of eleven, than I do, to have that thing brought before the committee of eleven.

Mr. Strohm: There are so many complications involved, it seems to me that the \$70,000 that the secretary reported a month or two ago that had been sent in - that couldn't be accepted either.

Secretary: Referring to the latest \$70,000 that has been paid by the Committee of Eleven to the A.L.A. ?

Mr. Strohm: We cannot receive it according to that.

Mr. Hill: I am sure we can only spend it for the purpose for which the money was originally given. Personally, I think it very unfortunate that opinion was asked for. I think it doubly unfortunate if you make that report a matter of record. If you insist on doing that, I don't see how you could do anything after than other than to say to the committee on enlarged program - we have no more money to spend.

Miss Marvin: I understand that report to convey the idea, this opinion, that if there is proper security the money may be used. I was very much interested in having a legal opinion. It seems to me there is no reason why this association could not find itself capable of proceeding within the law to conduct its business. If any person felt injured in the expenditure of this money it would be well to have protected ourselves and to have acted legally and I felt as a member of the Board that I would like to know exactly what our responsibility was. We are organized as a corporation and it seems to me members of our association would expect us to ascertain the manner of doing business for a corporation and that we should always have legal

opinion, and always be willing to pay for it. Of course it is not in the form of a formal opinion but it seems to me we could hardly disregard his advice if he had given proper attention to it, even though not in proper form. It seems to me very important that we should have legal advice and act upon it. Everybody else does and I understand this report to mean that we might have proper security and proceed. I did not understand, as Mr. Hill did, that we should have to discontinue immediately. It seems that we should have proper counsel.

Mr. Hill: Go back a moment. When we raised the first \$50,000 to put on the \$1,000,000 campaign that amount was underwritten by certain people for certain libraries with the understanding that that money would be paid back when we had our \$1,000,000. That money was paid back. When we put on our second campaign we couldn't go out and ask people to underwrite it. We borrowed it. We did the same thing practically for this fund. There was no one on the committee of the second and third campaign willing to stand sponsor, for the note of \$200,000 and so we took counsel, or tried to, with the committee of eleven. The chairman of that committee didn't feel that he wanted to bring that before the committee of eleven but he said to <sup>go</sup> ahead and use this. I think, myself, it is all right for you to do it. We brought that letter to the attention of the committee. The committee didn't feel that individually it wanted to guarantee the payment of that \$200,000 but we took the word of the Chairman of the Committee of eleven and borrowed the money without the personal security and went ahead and spent it and that is the situation today. It seems to me that if anybody now should be responsible for that \$200,000 it should not be the committee of the enlarged program, but the twelve opponents of that plan who have prevented at least in a measure the security

of the \$2,000,000. I am sure, as a member of the committee, I would not be willing to sign the note for that amount.

Chairman: It seems to me that the Association has always made the mistake of doing without legal advice. We do not have any rules in our bylaws providing for that. As I look back, I think the Association, the Committee of Eleven and the Committee on the Enlarged Program should have taken up more definitely this matter in the start. When this came to me the money had already been spent. Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ agreed that the thing to do was to go ahead with the campaign and pay the money when we could. The money has been spent, and this opinion was not submitted except at the request of the Executive Board and I quite agree that nothing will avail at this late --

Mr. Hill: If you consider that as a report of the committee, well and good.

Chairman: The Chairman tried to make clear that it was not an opinion, but a report. It does not make any recommendation.

Mr. Hill: Then I don't see what it is good for.

Miss Marvin: We have not yet finished business in the A.L.A. My reading of that motion was not at all that the employment of counsel was to consider this matter of \$200,000 alone. My idea was to employ counsel and have counsel in all Association matters and have counsel permanently. I think no one asked that we employ counsel just for this particular point but that we were, to know that we were proceeding legally and would in the future.

Motion under discussion which was made by Miss Marvin was here read.

Mr. Dana: Nothing whatever has been done under that motion. I don't see why we should consider that any further.

Chairman: Is there anything before us? There is the submission of the informal report.

\_\_\_\_\_ It is certainly a mighty good way out, Mr. President.

Mr. Dana: Mr. President, I would like to call your attention to the fact that while it is desirable for a body like this to have legal advice, we have known ever since this scheme was started that if we went to a lawyer, and paid him a fee for advice on the subject, opinion, he would give almost surely this opinion, and we were almost sure that if he were a man friendly and not hostile, he would say "I advise you, privately, to go ahead." This was a very peculiar situation and it seemed wise, as the matter was taken up, to meet it as a peculiar situation and not a normal one. If now we proceed to get advice and an opinion for which we pay it would be absolutely essential that that be made public and that would be unfortunate. Yet in spite of that fact, as an association I think the opinion of all of us was the opinion that we have done the right thing.

Miss Marvin: I understand if we do nothing with this, the original motion still stands?

Mr. Hill: Not for this particular purpose.

Miss Marvin: I think it covers all the matters of a legal nature.

(Motion again read.)

Mr. Strohm: Is there anything in the constitution or proposed revision that covers that motion?

Chairman: Not in the chair's memory there isn't.

Mr. Hill: It seems to me that resolution covered everything that was in Miss Marvin's mind. She want the Executive Board to employ counsel in all matters which relate to the work of the Board and the work of the Association, whether financial matters or anything else.

Miss Marvin: You will remember that was sort of a cooperative affair.

Miss Eastman also contributed to this motion. My idea was that we should always be sure in all financial transactions that we were proceeding legally.

Mr. Hill: Then that would apply to any transaction of the Executive Board.

Miss Tobitt: It seems to me it could apply to later transactions. Simply remove the word "immediately" and you could postpone this and take it up at some later time.

Mr. Hill: I would like to ask of what use the word "permanent" is?

Miss Tobitt: Do all the affairs of this board remain public?

Chairman: The chair is not informed.

Secretary: The secretary in the past has used his discretion.

Miss Tobitt: If we employed counsel and did not accept his opinion --

Mr. Hill: We are beginning to realize that we are something more than a plaything organization and that we ought to proceed along proper and legal grounds. I should think that if you rescinded that resolution, merely passed on it, authorizing the president and secretary to employ counsel whenever necessary that we would place the executive board in the proper position and yet it does not quite cover what Miss Marvin had particularly in mind. There ought to be at the service of the board legal opinion and paid opinion. It ought to be someone who would have the confidence of enough members of the board so that his services would be retained continuously and not changed with each administration, because you can get a lawyer to give any opinion that the presiding officer wants. He will always find some loophole to get through.

Chairman: The presiding officer didn't have any such pleasant experience this time. It may have been because he didn't

pay him for it. The committee may be at fault but it made an honest effort, attempt. I said we regarded ourselves as an organization of ladies and gentlemen, that we didn't speak of motives. Unfortunately he said the law didn't so construe such things. The committee tried to represent the Executive Board in this particular thing. The Chairman of the committee felt extremely fortunate that a written opinion was not submitted.

Mr. Hill: We knew exactly what we were working on.

Chairman: The present chairman of the association didn't have that information which he might have got earlier until it was too late, as the President felt that the statements made before the Executive Board were entirely adequate for our procedure, and he still thinks so, as representing the intent of the association in trying to carry out the spirit of the original gift.

Mr. Dana: What do you want to have done?

Chairman: The chairman feels that the special committee has done what it was asked to do and is automatically discharged. Perhaps Miss Marvin could remodel her motion.

Miss Marvin: I still feel the association should know that we are attempting to act as other associations and their Executive Boards and that every point covered in the resolution at the Chicago meeting should be covered and I don't feel this covers it all. I can see no reason why the members of the association should not know all that we know about its affairs. I think they trust the committee sufficiently. I still feel the resolution of the Chicago meeting should be carried out. I couldn't feel that it was carried out in this report, which is only partial.

Mr. Hill: And not have that a matter of record.

Chairman: The chair thinks it is a matter of record.

Mr. Dana: If we left the matter where it stands now, we would be under obligations to find a man and pay him for it. Either accept this or go and pay for another and proceed to dig up \$200,000 or so much of it as we have spent. Once again I want to call your attention to the fact that we knew six or eight weeks ago that a legal opinion on this matter would probably be couched very much in terms as you have given us but that the private opinion would be to proceed with a very good enterprise. It would be necessary for us to stop; work in view of the legal opinion and not continue to do the work.

Chairman: It was not that he approved, legally or any other way, the action of the Executive Board in spending without security but so long as it had been spent he saw nothing we could do but go ahead and raise money and repay it.

Mr. Dana: The suggestion that we rescind this motion is a good one, on the understanding that we immediately pass another one to the effect that the President and secretary be authorized to secure counsel for the association, get some discreet person with the understanding that that person will familiarize himself with the affairs of the association, keep himself familiar and answer the questions that come up. The motion would simply authorize the president and secretary to employ counsel, to retain a lawyer to act on all proper occasions for this association. We might add on legal and financial matters, but that would seem unnecessary.

One other suggestion occurs to me, that might not be wise at all, however, and that is that we ask the association to tell the executive board to employ counsel from now on. It

could be done at this meeting with a three-fourths vote. I question the advisability of it because I am of the opinion that we have done the proper thing in this matter. We are attempting, to the best of our ability, to use funds that we have used and shall use for the welfare of mankind in the United States of America. I make a motion that we reconsider this motion and then pass another one.

Chairman: I believe --

Miss Marvin: May I once more explain that that motion of mine was for the proper conduct of future as well as past business? I did not have just this one point in mind. I believe our Association should always have counsel. I sit on a great many boards and this is the only one in which such action is not taken. I agree with Mr. Dana that it should be permanent. It would be perfectly useless to go back of this because it is now done. I think the Board would like to feel that the thing being done is properly done. That was my understanding. I think the motion does not exactly say the present situation - it says that you shall employ counsel.

Mr. Dana: This says that the president and secretary shall immediately employ counsel and straightway get a written opinion from that counsel - that is the effect. If that motion stands it is obligatory upon the committee to get a legal written opinion on our present status which will be adverse, undoubtedly adverse, and it will say things that having been presented to the Executive Board and the committee on the enlarged program will give rise to questions. Now as we have all agreed, if this is not done, we have done nothing. The changed motion will simply instruct the president and secretary to engage counsel and ask his opinion.

Mr. Strohm: I want to express myself. My only hesitation about this is - have we the right to assume obligations of the expense of an attorney without having the consent of the finance committee.

Chairman: <sup>Strohm</sup> John believes that it would be very unwise for the Executive Board to take any steps to ask the Association to employ counsel. If it wants to take the initiative, and not ask permission to make its affairs businesslike and, Mr. Strohm has brought up that question but it does seem if the Executive Board has power it ought to do it on its own initiative. I would not be surprised, before this session is ended, that this question may be brought up. I hope it will not. We should bend our efforts in this campaign and not divert our attention or feelings about some question of legality when the money is spent. That ought not to come up on the floor of the assembly with newspaper reporters present. It seems to the chair that if this discussion gets to the newspapers we might as well have the Supreme Court sit on us and pronounce us dead.

Mr. Hill: There is no provision this year for the employment of counsel, so that, unfortunately, you have got to secure from the finance committee its consent to spend money for that purpose.

Mr. Dana: After having rescinded this vote and voted again authorizing and instructing the President and Secretary to secure a written opinion from an attorney for the association, we don't say immediately, it will then be for the president and secretary to obtain an attorney when they think best and if they can't obtain an attorney without going through the finance committee, then in due course, proceed in the usual manner. It seems that it would take this immediate burden off our hands.

Chairman: It seems to the chair that the executive board ought to engage counsel so if the question is raised they can say they already have engaged counsel and that it does not have to pay for counsel until the occasion arises and then go before the financial committee.

Mr. Strohm: It seems to me that the honorable thing to do is to assume the responsibility we have already entered into and approve it without any advice from anybody.

Mr. Hill: It occurs to me that if you rescind that resolution that we are going to get into more hot water than we are now. That resolution is on the floor of the association. If you rescind that resolution and ask another one, even covering the same point, we are going to have some of the opposition get out and say why and wherefore. It may make a lot of trouble. I think we better let it stand and let the President of the Association merely make a report of the progress - not that report but consider the report of progress has been made and go on. Get another opinion if that same committee want to, later.

Mr. Dana: Unfortunately, this in the first place says immediately. It strikes me you can get out of this by saying that in view of the difficulty of getting individual opinion on a specific point and the cost<sup>and labor</sup> of laying the affairs before someone, the Executive Board decided on second thought, it would retain an attorney who would familiarize himself in due course for a proper fee with the status of this association in all respects. It would not <sup>be</sup> necessary then for you to explain the past history before you got an opinion from him and you could write a letter and tell him the proposition. Really we have had experience several times in recent years in getting from one man a specific

opinion on a specific point. It has been extremely difficult.

Mr. Hill: There is another point that arises. What is the use of an opinion on matters which have already been settled? We have borrowed this money. We have used this money, we are using it. If you specify as to the future use of that money, that is one thing. But what has been done can't be undone and must be endured.

Mr. Dana: That is just the reason why I advocate rescinding it. We can say we found it quite expensive and so we decided to in due course engage an attorney for the A. L. A.

Mr. Hill: If you take that report given to the Executive Board, what are you going to do; spend this money or take the opinion for what it is worth and stop spending money?

Mr. Strohm: Can't we ignore his opinion and take his advice?

Secretary: Putting in the minutes that after a discussion there was found to be a misunderstanding of the purpose of the vote of the Executive Board on March 12th authorizing the president and secretary to obtain an opinion from an attorney.

Miss Tobitt: If the Executive Board is authorized and instructed to go ahead and employ counsel, if there is not money with which to employ counsel and such can only come through the action of the finance committee, it would delay any action for almost any definite time.

Miss Marvin: Miss Eastman, the chairman, was just as eager as I. Isn't it possible for the finance committee to make such provision? When does it make its budget?

Secretary: The first of the year.

Is there no general fund? Is it the finance committee solely that authorizes this?

Miss Marvin: The Association by vote could not in view of this motion, change the budget in anyway to allow money for the employment of counsel?

Chairman: <sup>Strohm</sup> John does not believe that it could. The finance committee can do this during the year, that is can be asked to make certain transfers for unexpended balances; is not that correct Mr. Secretary?

Secretary: I believe so.

Miss Marvin: So it could if it desired to do so?

Chairman: I believe so. The committee believes it simply made an informatl report.

Mr. Hill: <sup>now</sup> Now if we want to take this action, we must make a motion that we reconsider it.

Miss Marvin: I think I am looked to to make the motion. I believe that this corporation, organized under the laws of Massachusetts, for a particular purpose, and about to handle two or three hundred thousand dollars should proceed legally always in the future as well as in the past. I can't see why this informal opinion is embarrassing the board. I don't know that the people on this committee knew that it had not acted legally. I, as a member, felt I might have a personal obligation. Why not allow the motion to stand and allow the new president to secure counsel? I see only one object to that. This motion was passed in March some time. This is now June. Immediately has been given no attention whatsoever. The resolution as does not amount to anything as it stands now.

Chairman: Will the withdrawal of the word "immediately" satisfy you?

Mr. Hill: If not, you hve to reconsider and make a new motion with that word out/

I think Mr. Dana's motion would give the president

and secretary power --

Miss Marvin: It is quite all right --

Secretary: The effort was made on April 9th and that was the second attempt so that it was probably within a few days.

Mr. Dana: I want to say something about Miss Marvin's misunderstanding as to the feeling of the committee on the enlarged program; as to this committee, we never could have known that we did it illegally unless we met up with one of the judges or secured the written opinion of a lawyer. Furthermore, even if we had a written opinion in relation to the \$200,000 being illegal, that opinion, if he had been a friend of the association, would have been accompanied by a statement concerning the fate of the subscription to funds like that of the A.L.A. which would have shown that the legal procedure ought to have gone into the hands of the committee of eleven. We had that thrashed out among ourselves and the whole thing is exceedingly complicated.

Secretary: No. 7 does not seem to need to be done immediately.

No. 8 was acted upon. No. 9 would seem to be better for the new Executive Board rather than the retiring board.

Chairman: I think that is correct.

Mr. Hill: That is my opinion.

I move that the President be authorized to select delegates.

Chairman: That ought to be the new president.

Mr. Hill: It would probably be someone who is right in England at that time.

Chairman: Motion seconded. If there is no objection the president will be asked to appoint the delegates.

The chairman is anxious to submit something about the present status of nominations and we will have to decide some-

thing about this before we have our next meeting. At the Atlantic City meeting you will remember that Mr. Hill withdrew as a candidate for the Executive Board and Mr. Root was substituted. The Chairman has been travelling for two weeks and didn't know anything was placed in print. Immediately after the conference several members of the association heard of the action at Atlantic City and questioned the authority to fill the vacancy, making the statement that the president took the position that while the constitution make no provision, that all rules of order were that when a committee is discharged on making its report and therefore with the filing of the nominating committee's report, it went out of existence and the Executive Board was entirely justified in making the nomination. But the Executive Board cannot appoint anyone to an elective office. Therefore, can the Executive Board nominate anyone to an elective office? Also, the Executive Board acted in an emergency quite justified but the Executive Board did not get its nomination printed thirty days prior to this convention which the constitution provides for. Therefore, in the chair's opinion there is no official ballot this year, and it seemd to the chairman the only thing to be done would be for a ballot to be printed, and- and luckily Mr. Root has not been notified of the action at Atlantic City. There may be other nominations made, general statements made, so those nominations can be added to the one made by the Committee on Nominations.

Mr. Dana: Another case where the President is extremely liable to act illegally and with the best intentions.

Miss Marvin: I read my minutes that the report of the nominating committee approved --

Chairman: Yes, but too late to get printed before this convention. It has not been done at all yet. The chair is only giving his own opinion. There seems to be no precedent which we can go by but it seems to the chair, however, that there would be no official ballot this year.

Miss Tobitt: I can't see why there is no official ballot, except with the one vacancy.

Chairman: It seems to the chair that no official ballot can be made with a vacancy. The board voted for Mr. Root at the same time it voted for the other members of the ticket.

Miss Tobitt: We approved it and then Mr. Hill withdrew his name. Then I think the rest of the report of the nominating committee would hold.

Miss Bogle: It was mailed during the Atlantic City conference. That was thirty days prior to this meeting. It would have to be done one month prior to this meeting.

Mr. Dana: Wouldn't be economical and best if the board went ahead <sup>it</sup> and said nothing about it?

Chairman: If the nominating committee would approve it. It may be because it has not had a chance to meet.

Mr. Dana: Can't we ask to get this done and see if you can't get Mr. Root's approval?

Mr. Hill: Can't the secretary attend to that?

Chairman: I would be glad if he could but he is overworked.

Mr. Hill: I would very much rather see that report go in with Mr. Root's name in there and if the secretary takes it up with the nominating committee I think there will be no trouble. Then if the house wants to put in three or four other names, let them.

Chairman: The nomination was made with such good reason, we ought

not to consider localities but that is almost sure to be brought up.

Mr. Dana: Let the association decide that.

Mr. Strohm: I am quite sure that point was raised at Atlantic city and the feeling was that the Executive Board would meet the desires of the A.L.A. by determining for the coming year to meet at least once a month to confer with the secretary about the very vague enlarged program and it would be much more practical to have a man that lived in speaking distance of the president.

Chairman: Is it the opinion of the board that the secretary will try and arrange with the nominating committee?

Mr. Dana: We can't vote on this.

Chairman: But the ballot will have to be printed.

Mr. Dana: I will express myself as approving anything the president and secretary may do.

Secretary: It seems to me that the ballot should appear with the statement that Dr. Hill having refused to accept the nomination the Executive Board chose Dr. Root and give the date. Then if there are nominations from some other sources for that same position, they would be printed there.

Chairman: If Mr. Milam's suggestion meets the approval of the Board, that will be acted on in the printing of the ballots.

Mr. Hill: Are we going to have a report of whoever acted on the publishing board activities? I would like to know if we are going to have a report of the joint committee?

Secretary: That is made to the association.

Meeting adjourned.