ACRL Publications Coordinating Committee
Minutes -- Draft
ALA Annual Conference 2016
June 26, 2016 10:30-12:00
Hilton Orlando, Florida Ballroom 5-6

Present: Burroughs, Free, Nevius, Miller, Dugan, Ford, Seiden, Cummings, Baker, Kvenlid, Kaspar, Kendrick

Agenda
1. Call to order, introductions and welcome
2. Appointment of a recorder
3. Approval of the agenda
4. Adoption of the minutes of the 2016 Midwinter Virtual Meeting
5. Announcements
   a. PCC/RSEC program, “Peeling Back the Layers of Publishing Opacity: Open Editorial and Peer Review”; Sunday, June 26th, 1:00-2:30pm; OCCC W208
6. Action items
   a. Editorial board appointments and PCC voting process review
   b. Work plan and annual report review
      i. Open peer review pilot
   c. 2016-2017 Work plan discussion
   a. Questions about editors’ reports
   b. Editor searches
      i. PIL
   c. Chairperson
   d. Vice Chairperson
   e. Staff liaisons
8. Other Business
9. Adjournment

Minutes
1. Call to order, introductions and welcome
2. Appointment of a recorder

   Emily Ford appointed
3. Approval of the agenda

   Agenda approved
4. Adoption of the minutes of the 2016 Midwinter Virtual Meeting

Minutes adopted

5. Announcements
   a. PCC/RSEC program, “Peeling Back the Layers of Publishing Opacity: Open Editorial and Peer Review”; Sunday, June 26th, 1:00-2:30pm; OCCC W208

6. Action Items
   a. Editorial Board Appointments/ PCC Voting Process Review
      Editorial Board Appointments
      • Discussion: Nominations process—Can editors be on more than one board or not? Decision: only one.
      • **Action items:** David and Erin will edit instructions for appointing board members and try to verify in conversations whether individuals have been approached by others for Editorial Board volunteers.

      PCC Voting Process Review
      • Discussion: How’s it going? How can we improve it? Should we ask for CVs as well as the slate form? Reminder: everyone on the committee except Mark, Erin, and David can vote.
      • Discussion: Can we have just one day that we vote and that is streamlined? Yes, we can do that where we have a deadline for slates and then uploading and having a voting deadline all at the same time. We can include the slate form and the CVs. Do we just submit two names for two empty slots or do we submit 4 names for 2 slots? Question whether we have gotten rid of the maximum number of appointees to an editorial board or not. David will check. Editors do a really good job of vetting and putting forward good slates.
      • Deadline for appointments is around the end of March.
      • **Action items:** David checks on max number of appointees to editorial boards.
      • **Decisions:** Post all slates at the same time and having voting happen within a designated 1-2 week period.

   b. Work Plan and Annual Report Review
      • Open Peer Review Pilot – PIL series moving toward investigating OPR, etc. Daniel Mack was appointed as editor of PIL series. Editorial Board is currently being formed. This board will be working on the OPR process, for the pilot it needs to be opt-in for the author, etc.

   c. 2016-2017 Work Plan Discussion
      Discussion
• Continue to monitor the OPR pilot—Erin and Dan can try to identify authors from current proposals.
• Erin has some publications in the pipeline that are ready to move forward once the editorial board is in place.
• Invite Dan to be on the agenda for PCC at Midwinter (he will be a voting Ex-Officio member next year anyway)
• Opportunities for member outreach on open review? Could do a webinar on what would be required of authors and reviewers.
  o Might be nice to journal the process so that you come out with a white paper about the issue, or something like that.
• Webinar for the coming year might be too early.
• How to tell rigor in digital scholarship, particularly in the library discipline? → could talk about what peer review in digital scholarship looks like, and think about impact in that way.
• Do we have concerns about the data behind publications, do we want to mandate that data is available? ACRL is able to house data technically.
  o This could be a good program if we rolled out a policy. ** EF thoughts—policy is maybe too restrictive. Just a recommendation?—Would need to talk to editorial boards. Could also just be a statement. It could also just be instituted in particular publications. Could be steps toward a recommendation.
  o Discussion: Concern about those at small colleges who do not have IRB boards, etc. This could be disenfranchising.
  o Timeline should be realistic and provide support. There could be different approaches
• What do editorial boards look like? Should we look at this question? Need a holistic view? Librarians touch more students, and we hear students saying that faculty don’t look like us. Having info about Editorial boards. Only possible way would be to do a survey of the Ed boards. Could start small—who is leading in this profession? Is an imp. Question to ask. Are we giving opportunities to underrepresented groups to lead within the association.—What can PCC accomplish or do? What is our constituency and who should we be representing? What do we look like? What do we want to look like?
• Action Items: Based on the conversation Emily drafts and gets feedback from committee

   a. Questions on Publication Reports:
      CHOICE
First survey released last year—42% of population submitted answers so far. Approved 2016 survey earlier today, will go out first of Sept. Aligned with IPEDS. There is a joint ACRL-ARL-ALA task force that advises IPEDS on their survey. IPEDS is changing question concerning library collections from physical volumes to physical titles. ACRL will continue to collect information about volumes for historic trend application. IPEDS is going to be collecting e-serials usage data in a future survey, maybe as early as 2017-2018. The ACRL annual survey is dynamic, and can change data elements annually as needed. The Joint Task Force will be talking about COUNTER at its July 28 webinar including a presentation from EBSCO from a vendors’ perspective to help inform librarians on COUNTER data collection and use. IPEDS is considering a new question about institutional repositories; ACRL is already collecting IR data. Currently there is no standard, and since it’s in our ACRL survey, NISO will be considering ACRL’s definition for future inclusion in NISO data dictionary. Incoming chair is Ted Mulvey, and Vice-Chair Georgie Donovan. Trends survey will be on staffing in 2016. Next year the Board’s intent is to get 1500 respondents.

b. Editor Searches
PIL search was successful – Daniel Mack was appointed.

c. Staff Reports
RBM is OA now
Send ideas to Erin!

8. Other Business
9. Adjournment