**Standards Committee**

ALA Midwinter Conference

Sunday, January 10, 2016 | 1:00-2:30 PM

Westin Copley Place, Great Republic

**Present**: P. Bracke; J. Davidson; D. Free; M. Peppers (Chair)

**Regrets**: K. Eccles; A. Lim; R. Ottoson

**Guests**: Shan Sutton, Amy Buckland (ReSEC); Jack Fitts (DLS)

**Meeting notes**

1. Introductions
2. Discussion/Review: Research & Scholarly Environment Committee (ReSEC) Proposed Policy Statement on Open Access to Scholarship by Academic Librarians – A. Xu, Shan Sutton and Amy Buckland (ReSEC).

* ReSEC committee, representatives S. Sutton (chair) and A. Buckland (vice chair)
* Xu commented on welcoming OA, also on process that relies on the policy
* Xu commented that she modified language to policy
* Buckland and Sutton discussed why no embargo,
  + It is a statement of principle, not binding
  + There was discussion on whether or not should be standard or guideline
  + Committee wants to have a general statement on academic library authors
  + Statement does not say immediately accessible, but leaves open to interpretation that when applying to a repository, information usually is immediately accessible
  + Though 12 months may be standard in terms of federal guidelines, they are not written into a policy because there needs flexibility
  + ReSEC believes that having something as specific as recommending 12 months may be counterproductive; feels too granular to have that language
  + ReSEC may consider adding language that it is the author’s responsibility to determine when article is accessible, not ACRL

1. Annual Work Plan for the ILFSC – J. Davidson
   * SC to come up with process for how the IL framework is maintained, but there are questions:
     + What is SC need to do?
     + What standing does the IL framework have?
     + How do we move forward?
     + How to integrate with what SC does?
     + What is the definition of “framework” that gets embedded per [Chapter 14](http://www.ala.org/acrl/resources/policies/chapter14) of the ACRL Standards & Guidelines?
     + What is the process for how things get proposed/added in to a statement?
     + What happened to the ILCS (IL competency standards) from 2000?
     + If the SC requests building IL standards based on the framework, how to make it more fluid process?
   * **ACTION ITEMS**:

**1**. Make proposal for edits and send to ACRL Board for approval

**2**. Suggestion by D. Free to appoint a joint working group on developing drafts for reviewing framework.

**2**. J. Davidson will develop DRAFT ILCS framework.

**3**. If ACRL Board rescinds IL standards, what does that mean for other committee IL standards and framework?

1. Updates to liaison duties – All SC Members

**ACTION ITEMS:**

1. Since not everyone on google docs, members will send updates to M. Peppers to update statuses on various groups
2. D. Free will look into ACRL Dropbox
3. M. Peppers will send current version to D. Free
4. M. Peppers will make changes to liaison updates, namely mine and Amanda’s
5. New Liaison Assignment?: The Task Force Developing New Standards for Proficiencies for Assessment Librarians And Coordinators
   * M. Peppers will get in touch with Mark Emmons, Chair
6. Discussion/Review: Distance Learning Services Proposed Standards for Distance Learning Library Services – M. Peppers, Jack Fitts (DLS)

* SC members agreed that DLS performed due diligence and got feedback from various constituencies
* **ACTION ITEMS**: M. Peppers will look for transmittal form and post in ALA connect and via email; will include deadline for review and comment, and voting
* Post transmittal in ALA connect, post deadline for review and comment, and voting

Meeting adjourned, 2:25 PM

Respectfully submitted by M. E. Peppers, ACRL SC Chair