Minutes
Bibliographic Standards Committee
ALA Midwinter Conference 2014,
Saturday, 25 January 2014, 8:30 a.m.-11:30 a.m.
Pennsylvania Convention Center – 119A
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

1. Introduction of members and visitors
2. Settlement of the agenda.
4. Consent agenda
3. Approval of Annual 2013 minutes
5. Announcements: DCRM(G); Public Hearings for DCRM(MSS) and SCF; Other
6. Controlled Vocabularies Subcommittee
7. DCRM(C) Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials
8. Revision of *Standard Citation Forms for Rare Book Cataloging*
9. DCRM(Music): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Music)
10. DCRM(MSS): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Manuscripts)
11. DCRM(S): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Serials)
12. DCRM2: DCRM for RDA Revision Group
13. CC:DA Report
14. DCRM Steering Group
15. BSC Handbook
16. BSC-sponsored Preconference programs
17. New business?
18. Adjournment
Appendix A: CC:DA report
Appendix B: BSC Directory of Internet Resources Changes

1. Introduction of members and visitors

Members present: Nina Schneider, Clark Library-UCLA (chair); Marcia Barrett, University of California, Santa Cruz; Valerie Buck, Brigham Young University; Lori Dekydtspotter, Lilly Library, Indiana University; Christine DeZelar-Tiedman, University of Minnesota; Emily Epstein, University of Colorado Health Sciences Library; Matthew Haugen, Columbia University; Linda Isaac, California State University, Fullerton; Francis Lapka, Yale Center for British Art Rare Books & Manuscripts, Deborah J. Leslie, Folger Shakespeare Library; Michelle Mascaro, The University of Akron (secretary); Melanie McGurr, Northeast Ohio Medical University; Margaret Nichols, Cornell University; Audrey Pearson, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Aislinn Sotelo, University of California, San Diego; Catherine Uecker, University of Chicago.

Members excused: Ryan Hildebrand, University of Texas-Austin Harry Ransom Center (controlled vocabularies editor).

Liaisons: William La Moy, Syracuse University (RBMS Executive Committee liaison).

Visitors: Anna Arays, Indiana University; John Attig, Penn State University; Colleen Barrett, Indiana University; Erin Blake, Folger Shakespeare Library; Morag Boyd, The Ohio State University; Alison Bridger, Wisconsin Historical Society; Eric Childress, OCLC; Lia Contursi, Columbia Law Library; Annie Copeland, Penn State University; Patrick Crowley, Bryn Mawr College; Diane Ducharme, Yale University; Todd Fell, Yale University; Jane Gillis, Yale University; Martha Lawler, Louisiana State University-Shreveport; Nancy Lorimer, Stanford University; Kate Moriarty, Saint Louis University; Ann Myers, Stanford University; Elizabeth Nelson, Library of Congress; Jennifer Nelson, University of California, Berkley; Iris O'Brien, The British Library; Asheleigh Perry, Georgetown University; Elizabeth Sudduth, University of South Carolina, Columbia.

2. Settlement of the agenda

Agenda items 3 and 4 were switched.

4. Approval of Annual 2013 minutes

The Annual 2013 minutes were approved unanimously.

3. Consent agenda

Bibliographic Standards Committee (BSC) voted online to approve DCRM(G) on August 29, 2013. The consent agenda was ratified.

5. Announcements

a. DCRM(G) Descriptive Cataloging Rare Materials (Graphics) (Blake):
Erin Blake announced that there are two upcoming all day workshops for DCRM(G): one at ARLIS in May and the other at the RBMS Preconference in June. DCRM(G) will also be part of a panel presentation at SAA in August. The source code "dcrmg" should be ratified in the next OCLC MARC update due in June. Blake thanked Eric Childress at OCLC for his help in contacting Glenn Patton to make this happen. Finally, the Library of Congress delayed revision of the BIBCO Standard Record (BSR) in order to incorporate graphic materials. LC wants proposed guidelines from the BSC in February. Blake requested and was granted permission to hold an online discussion on revisions to the BSR to incorporate graphic materials.

b. DCRM(MSS) and Standard Citation Forms Public Hearings (Schneider):
Nina Schneider announced there will be a public hearing for DCRM(MSS) at 7:30 -9 p.m. tonight (Saturday) and for Standard Citation Forms (SCF) at 1-2:30 pm on Sunday. All BSC members are encouraged to attend.

c. Other Announcements:

Schneider opened the floor for other announcements. Ann Myers announced that the RBMS Technical Services Discussion Group is convening Sunday from 10:30-11:30 am. The discussion topic is collaboration and communication between cataloging and other departments within the library.

6. Controlled Vocabularies Subcommittee (Schneider for Hildebrand)

The following new relationship designator was approved:

Indexer
SN   Use for the entity responsible for compiling an index.

The following change in hierarchy was approved:

Thesaurus Printing & Publishing Evidence
     Printing Terms
     + Pressman's work (Gathering term; do not assign)
     ++ **Accidental impressions**
     SN: Use for unintentional impressions made during the printing process.
     ++ Locking up
     ++ Make-ready
     +++ Slurs
     Delete current UF "Double printing"

The following new terms were approved:

Abaca fibers
Thesaurus Paper terms
BT   Paper fibers
SN: Use for paper made from abaca (Musa textilis) fibers.
UF: Manila hemp fibers
UF: Manila fibers

Clamshell boxes
Thesaurus Binding terms
Hierarchy Protective housing
SN: Use for box structures that include two three-sided trays (one made to fit inside the other when closed) attached to a case.
UF: Boxes, clamshell
UF: Double tray boxes
UF: Drop spine boxes

The following revised scope notes were approved:

Printer
SN: Use for the entity responsible for the production of printed matter. For a person who physically operates a printing press, use Pressman.

Pressman
SN: Use for a person who physically operates a printing press. For the entity responsible for the production of printed matter, use Printer.

7. DCRM(C) Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Cartographic) (Fell)

Todd Fell announced that DCRM(C) editorial group is in the process of finalizing the text. They hope to publish by the end of spring.

8. Revision of *Standard Citation Forms for Rare Book Cataloging* (Barrett)

The *Standard Citations Forms* (SCF) revision working group distributed a written report prior to Midwinter. Marcia Barrett reported the group updated the introduction and working principles based on the feedback they received from December's close read. The team wants to have another close reading of the introduction, based on the revisions. The SCF sandbox is available at http://www.rbms.info/scfsandbox/, and the working group is very interested in feedback on presentation. Schneider encouraged all BSC members to look at the sandbox.

The working group also wants BSC feedback on the following editorial questions:
1) How closely do online publications need to follow the formatting specified in the DCRM editorial guidelines? The editorial team received feedback from close reading volunteers that this resource should conform to the editorial style used by the DCRMs. However, the prescribed fonts may not be available in WordPress, the platform the SCF is using, and may not be optimal for an online resource.
2) Is hiring a professional indexer still needed?   BSC had made a formal request for Section funds to cover the cost of having Standard Citation Forms professionally indexed. However, RBMS Web Team members Melissa Hubbard and Kelli Hansen have been enormously helpful in creating author, title, and subjects indexes for this resource. Between these indexes and the site search mechanism, a professional indexer may no longer be needed.

Barrett reported the team is aiming to finish SCF by Annual and will need to have a close read of the citation forms before then. Barrett closed by reminding everyone of the public hearing on Sunday.

9. DCRM(Music): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Music) (Lorimer for Fletcher)

Before Nancy Lorimer gave the DCRM(M) update, Schneider announced that Jain Fletcher will be retiring at the end of June and Nancy Lorimer has agreed to steer DCRM(M) through the final stages of publication. The Bibliographic Standards Committee formally thanked Fletcher, in absentia, for her work as DCRM(M) editor.

Lorimer reported that DCRM(M) has completed making changes to the text that stemmed from close reading comments. A PDF of the entire document has been sent to Kate James, LC's Policy and Standards Division (PSD), who will review the document during an upcoming Voyager shutdown at LC. The new source code, "dcrmm", has now been requested. The DCRM(M) is working on designing the cover image and have chosen teal as their manual color. Following PSD's review, the document will need to be approved by RBMS and MLA and then it will be ready for publication.

10. DCRM(MSS): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Manuscripts) (Nichols)

DCRM(MSS) editorial team sent out an email to BSC with draft text and suggestions on what to focus on for the public hearing this evening, prior to Midwinter. Margaret Nichols reported that the DCRM Steering Group had requested some changes in the draft text, but the DCRM(MSS) editorial team had decided to leave the text as is for comments. The deadline for submitting comments on the draft text is February 14.

11. DCRM(S): Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Serials) (Copeland)

Annie Copeland reported that the DCRM(S) Editorial Team submitted some language on rare serials to be included the RDA CONSER Standard Record. Once approved by the Program for Cooperative Cataloging (PCC), rare materials serials catalogers will be able to use the CONSER standard. However, Copeland does not know what PCC's timeline is. Copeland announced that Jane Gillis has been named the DCRM(S) liaison to the DCRM2 Editorial Group and Randy Brandt has been named the liaison to the DCRM Steering Group. Erin Blake asked if the DCRM(S) Editorial Team was still working on an appendix for Manuscript Serials, and Copeland confirmed that they are.

12. DCRM2: DCRM for RDA Revision Group (Lapka)

Francis Lapka clarified that the working title for the project is now DCRM2, since DCRM for RDA Revision is a bit of mouthful. However, DCRM2 is unlikely to be the final title of the revised DCRM.

The DCRM2 working group has met twice during the conference and has another meeting scheduled for Sunday morning, 8:30-10:15 or so, in Convention Center 118a. Lapka thanked the Library Company of Philadelphia, for providing meeting room space to the DCRM2 group on Thursday. In their meetings in Philadelphia, the group finished going through all of the RDA introduction (Chapter 0)--noting portions of RDA that are out of scope of DCRM2 or will require modification by DCRM2-- to create a baseline for DCRM2 revisions, based on DCRM's stated scope, objectives, and principles. The group plans to do

the same with RDA chapter 1 and 2.0-2.2 in the weeks to come, hoping to have established the baseline principles for DCRM2 by March. When this task is complete, the group will make their work available to the DCRM community, via DCRM-L, for review and comment. Once the baseline is confirmed, the area 1 and area 4 subgroups will be able to begin their work.

In the fall, the DCRM2 Group posed two discussion questions to DCRM-L. One question was what should be the future of *Descriptive Cataloging of Ancient, Medieval, Renaissance, and Early Modern Manuscripts* (AMREMM) in light of the DCRM revision. Based on DCRM-L discussion (and meetings in Philadelphia), it has been resolved that AMREMM will eventually be brought into DCRM2, but that revision will be part of a later phase of DCRM2 development. The initial draft of DCRM2 will consider manuscript resources that are within scope, regardless of date of creation (which is to say, the scope will overlap with AMREMM). DCRM2 will, however, alert a cataloger to employ AMREMM instead of DCRM2 for such material if they should wish to do so. A later version of DCRM2 should endeavor to incorporate a more complete set of guidelines treating AMREMM-scoped resources. This undertaking will require participation of suitable experts in the field. In response to a question from Schneider, Lapka confirmed the revision group is looking at all DCRM manuals, including those not yet published.

The second question posed to DCRM-L was on transcribing punctuation. There was lively discussion on this topic, both on DCRM-L and at the revision group meetings in Philadelphia. At the end discussion on Friday, the group took a straw poll on what default for punctuation transcription best matches DCRM principles:

> 1) Follow RDA (you can add, but you can't subtract or change punctuation) (0 votes)
> 2) Transcribe exactly what you see (Basically, follow RDA except that you can't add anything, and can't drop between elements) (18 votes)
> 3) Follow current DCRM guidelines for punctuation (3 votes)

The next step will be to draft a discussion paper based on this rough consensus. Deborah J. Leslie clarified that whatever is established as the baseline for transcription, there will also be alternative rules.

The DCRM2 group has also started discussion with ALA Publishing about incorporating their finished work into the RDA-Toolkit. The DCRM2 implementation subgroup has had a couple conference calls with Jamie Hennelly of ALA publishing, and Hennelly joined the revision group on Friday for one and a half hour of discussion of DCRM2 implementation scenarios. As in previous discussions, ALA publishing seems very supportive of integrating DCRM2 with the Toolkit. It now looks like adding DCRM2 to the Toolkit would not result in ALA Publishing having to raise the price for subscriptions.

Hennelly discussed options for updating DCRM2 text in the Toolkit. It is likely that the finished text of DCRM2 will be encoded in a structural mark-up to parallel the guidelines of RDA text; in this sense, it would follow the model of the Library of Congress-Program for Cooperative Cataloging Policy Statements (LC-PCC-PS). ALA Publishing is working on

improving the editing tools for supplementary Toolkit resources, including developing a WYSIWYG editor for groups to edit their content. The update cycle for DCRM2 would be once-a-year, tying into the Joint Steetrng Committee (JSC) update schedule. Schneider asked about who would have responsibility for updating DCRM2 content. Blake answered that following completion of the initial text, the revision group will need to morph into a something like the Controlled Vocabularies to maintain and update content.

Lapka reported that ALA Publishing is not interested in adding DCRM2 piecemeal into the Toolkit and will wait until a complete version of DCRM2 is ready. Blake interjected that Hennelly is willing to create a section on rdatoolkit.org wiki where we can put information about the current status of the work, for instance, an "RDA in Special Collections" heading to parallel the "RDA in Translation" heading. The wiki is accessible without a Toolkit subscription. Blake asked if the revision group needs BSC approval to do this, and Leslie replied they did not need approval for basic steps in the revision process, like this.

One outstanding issue is whether ALA Publishing will permit the reuse of RDA language verbatim in DCRM2, especially if a complete PDF version of the standard is made available for free on rbms.info. Lapka and Blake will be getting back in touch with Hennelly, who will be meeting with ALA Publishing on the issue, for further clarification. To aid his discussions with ALA Publishing, Hennelly has asked the revision group to conduct a survey of the rare materials cataloging community to gauge expectations for DCRM2. The survey response will help to justify RDA Toolkit development decisions. The implementation group will continue the discussion with Henelley. Schneider requested that the text for the survey is run by the BSC before being distributed.

Several questions were asked about possible Toolkit implementation scenarios and whether the DCRM2 text would only be available in the RDA Toolkit. Lapka stated his personal hope is that DCRM2 functionality in the Toolkit parallels the functionality that existed between AACR2 and DCRM(B) in Cataloger's Desktop. Blake clarified that the revision group has not moved away from the idea of providing a flat file version of DCRM2, but the feasibility of doing so depends on the outcomes of their discussions with ALA Publishing on reusing RDA text.

13. CC:DA Report (Lapka)

Lapka reported that he is serving on a Taskforce on Machine-Actionable Data that is looking at extent and dimensions for machine action-ability. He expects this work will be of interest to the BSC. A report of CC:DA activity at Midwinter, of interest to the BSC, is appended to these minutes.

14. DCRM Steering Group (Leslie)

Leslie reviewed the charge of DCRM Steering Group and how it is different from DCRM2. DCRM Steering Group's work focuses on maintaining consistency between the published DCRM manuals and the ones in progress. The Steering Group's record is a PBWorks Wiki, which is publically visible to the world. In order to comment on a DCRM Steering Group

proposal, a person needs to be a registered reader. Users can request reader access through a button on the wiki.

 A lot of matters that used to be presented as DPCs (Discussion of Proposed Changes) for discussion on DCRM-L and vote by the BSC are now being discussed within the Steering Group. When wishing to solicit outside comment, the Steering Group had been sending a notice to DCRM-L to check the wiki for discussion, but that has not worked out very well. In the future, the Steering Group will use DCRM-L as the main platform for soliciting outside discussion.

15. BSC Handbook (Leslie, Pearson)

Leslie and Audrey Pearson reported that they are continuing to make progress on documenting BSC procedures and history on the BSC Handbook wiki. They plan in the near future to send out the wiki link on DCRM-L and invite all former and current BSC members to register and contribute to the handbook.

In the course of their work a question came up on when to use DCRM-L versus the BSC listserv. Leslie presented the following proposal that DCRM-L should be used for all matters of content, including being the starting point for BSC discussions. The BSC listserv would be then limited to committee-specific matters. Since the membership of the BSC listserv is limited to current committee members, past chairs, and DCRM editors; holding content discussions on DCRM-L would reach a larger consistency.

Valerie Buck asked whether the subject line of BSC discussion posted to the DCRM-L listserv should carry a uniform tag to differentiate them from cataloging questions submitted to the listserv. After discussion, a rough consensus was reached that no uniform label would be required, but members are encouraged to use good subject name conventions, including renaming a discussion if the thread has morphed significantly from its original topic.

It was also discussed and recommended that ALA Connect versus the BSC listserv be used for official committee votes. When using ALA Connect for voting, BSC chairs should be mindful about setting the view to public, so that non-members can see the vote results.

16. BSC-sponsored Preconference programs

> a. 2014 Preconference Las Vegas
> Aislinn Sotelo reported on the seminar she and Schneider are arranging for the 2014 preconference, "Back to the Future: Reinventing the Library Catalog Yesterday, Today, Tomorrow." The seminar will have two speakers: Eric Miller of Zepheira will talk about BIBFRAME, and Sotelo will discuss how BIBFRAME will affect rare book cataloging.
>
> Erin Blake reported on the DCRM(G) workshop that will be offered at the preconference. The workshop presenters will be Erin Blake, Folger Shakespeare

Library; Ellen Cordes, The Lewis Walpole Library; and Helena Zinkham, Prints & Photographs Division, Library of Congress. All participants will get a spiral bound copy of DCRM(G). The workshop has a minimum registration of 21 and a maximum registration of 24.

b. 2015 Preconference (Oakland, California)
Lori Dekydtspotter and Morag Boyd had proposed a workshop on rare book cataloging for non-catalogers that was not selected for the 2014 preconference. The Workshop Committee suggested they repitch the idea as a seminar. Dekydtspotter and Boyd are open to revamping their proposal as seminar for 2015, and there was general support from the BSC in doing so.

Schneider stated that with the forthcoming publication of DCRM(C) and DCRM(M) forthcoming that hopefully there could be preconference workshops on the standards in the near future. Lorimer felt that 2015 would be a good time for a potential DCRM(M) workshop.

Schneider then opened the floor for brainstorming of additional ideas for BSC seminars. Several ideas were proposed:

- Succession planning in rare material cataloging (proposed by Christine DeZelar-Tiedman)
- Authority record formulation (proposed by Leslie)
- Cataloging to help scholars/ how scholars are interested in catalog records (proposed by Jennifer Nelson)
- Collecting and creating access to rare materials to distinguish libraries for others who have the same general materials (proposed by Sotelo)
- Manuscript cataloging; while DCRM(MSS) will not be ready for a workshop in 2015, it should be in good shape for a seminar (proposed by Alison Bridger)

Schneider encouraged members to keep thinking of possible preconference programming ideas.   The committee will look at all proposed BSC sponsored seminars during ALA Annual.

17. New business

Schneider announced that due to changing professional obligations Melanie McGurr is stepping down from the committee. This leaves an opening. Anyone interested in this slot, should contact Schneider. The term would start after Annual 2014.

Schneider then welcomed new members to the committees and those starting a second term. These include: Nina M. Schneider, Marcia H. Barrett, Valerie M. Buck, Lori Dekydtspotter (2nd term), Matthew C. Haugen, Deborah J. Leslie, Michelle Mascaro (2nd term), Margaret F. Nichols, and Catherine Uecker (2nd term).

18. Adjournment
The meeting adjourned a little after 11:30.

Respectfully submitted by Michelle Mascaro; rev. March 4, 2014

Appendix A: CC:DA report

The full agenda for the CC:DA meeting at Midwinter, with related reports and documents, is available on the CC:DA website:
http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/?p=979

The following selections from the agenda and reports may be of particular interest to the Bibliographic Standards Committee.

1. From the Library of Congress Report to CC:DA
(Full Report) http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/LC201401.pdf

> Cataloger's Desktop: "Library of Congress staff are currently working with our Cataloger's Desktop contractor to overhaul and simplify Desktop's user interface. Later this year the interface will migrate to a 'search first' approach that should align much more closely with how catalogers and metadata librarians do their work. All current functionality will be retained, but the user interface should be easier and more intuitive to use. The Library is always eager to hear from subscribers to know how we can improve Cataloger's Desktop. Suggestions for new content or improved features should be sent to Bruce Johnson at LC at <bjoh@loc.gov>. Subscribe to the free Cataloger's Desktop discussion list at URL <www.loc.gov/cds/desktop/ugroup.html>."

> Bibliographic Framework Initiative: "After an active year of experimentation with the high level model published by the Library in November 2012 and working with a group of "Early Experimenters" (George Washington University, National Library of Medicine, Princeton University, OCLC, British Library, and Deutsche Nationalbibliothek, in addition to LC), a new phase of the project has begun. This phase, scheduled to last a year, is for test implementation by organizations in the community. The testers will use the vocabulary that is published on the BIBFRAME site and experiment with the model against various environments, exchanging issues and information. This group will be open to all who show that they are actually engaging in test implementations. The Library continues to maintain the BIBFRAME electronic discussion list; subscribe from the web site at URL <http://www.loc.gov/marc/transition>."

2. From the Report of the ALA Representative to the Joint Steering Committee
(Full Report) http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/jsc201401.pdf

Issues of possible BSC interest, from the November 2013 JSC meeting:

> **6JSC/CCC/13: Revision of RDA 1.7.3 (Punctuation)**
> This proposal suggested clarifications to the instructions on handling punctuation in transcribed elements, stating a general instruction to transcribe punctuation as it

appears on the source, but specifying exceptions for punctuation separating different elements and for punctuation separating different instances of the same element. The proposal was approved with some additional examples, and wording for explaining the examples suggested by LC.

6JSC/CCC/11: Revision of RDA 2.3.1.7 (Titles of parts, sections, and supplements) and RDA 2.3.2.6 (Collective title and titles of individual contents)
This proposal sought to clarify two instructions that deal with common or collective titles vs. titles of parts, sections, etc.
- RDA 2.3.1.7: The JSC generally agreed with the approach taken by the ALA response to the CCC proposal; however, additional changes were suggested to avoid introducing the concept of dependent/independent titles. The CCC and ALA reps worked together to present a revised proposal by the end of the week; however, the JSC felt this revision needed additional work. An improved version of the proposal will be considered by the JSC in December/January.
- RDA 2.3.2.6: The JSC agreed with the proposal, with the addition of references to the guidelines for comprehensive and analytical descriptions in chapter 1. An additional issue relating to similar instructions at 6.27.2.2 was determined to be a separate issue that will be dealt with later.

6JSC/LC/24: Revisions to instructions for production, publication, distribution, and manufacture statements (2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10)
This complex proposal attempted to resolve a number of issues in these elements: One of these issues dealt with the concept of "grammatically separable" information included in an element; these proposals were withdrawn by LC.
- The JSC agreed to move the optional omission of levels of corporate hierarchy from the general instructions for the aggregate statement to the specific instructions for the producer, publisher, distributor, manufacturer element.
- The JSC agreed to add references to the chapter 21 instructions for recording relationships to the producer, publisher, distributor, manufacturer elements (using wording suggested by CCC).
- The JSC agreed to add a missing general instruction to 2.8.1.4 (but without the proposed "e.g." statement).
- The JSC agreed to remove the conditional clause from 2.10.1.4 that would allow a manufacturer statement to be recorded only if there were no publication or distribution information available.
- The JSC agreed to add a guideline in each chapter that the name may be "represented by a characterizing word or phrase."
- The JSC agreed to defer action on further proposals relating to the statement of function (2.7.4.4, etc.); the British Library will prepare a discussion paper on how to deal with statements of function that are associated with the name of the producer, etc.

6JSC/ALA rep/6: Note on Manifestation and Item
The JSC agreed to the following structure for notes on manifestation and item in chapters 2 and 3:

      2.17 Note on Manifestation [subsequent instructions renumbered]
      2.21 Note on Item

      3.21 Note on Carrier
      3.22 Note on Item-Specific Carrier Characteristics [currently 3.21]

A definition will be drafted for 2.17, 2.21, and 3.21; the definition of the new 3.22 will be revised for consistency with the other definitions.

6JSC/ALA/Discussion/1: Machine-Actionable Data Elements in RDA: Discussion Paper (2013)
This discussion paper was a follow-up from a similar paper considered by the JSC in 2012 and contained four recommendations:
1. Add an element for Extent of expression. The JSC supported this recommendation, although there's some uncertainty about exactly what would be proposed. ALA was encouraged to bring forward a proposal in 2014.
2. Add an element for Extent of item. After some discussion, the JSC agreed that there was no need for a separate Extent of item element. Instead, RDA should offer the ability to provide annotations indicating differences from the extent of the manifestation (e.g., an indication of imperfections in, or additions to, the copy being described).
3. Extend the RDA/ONIX Framework to flesh out further sets of categories for content and carriers. There was general agreement, and the JSC is in the process of forming a working group to address maintenance and development of the Framework.
4. Modify the Aspect-Unit-Quantity model. The JSC responses were supportive of the work on this model done during the past year and encouraged the ALA Task Force to complete its work on the model and present revision proposals.

6JSC/CCC/14: Revision of RDA 3.5.3 (Dimensions of still images)
This proposal was withdrawn; the proposal and responses were referred to the ALA Task Force on Machine-Actionable Data Elements, to be folded into their work.

6JSC/ALA rep/1/rev: Revision to Categorization of Content and Carrier
This proposal contained a draft revision of an outdated document that related the RDA Carrier Type, Media Type, and Content Type categories to the RDA/ONIX Framework; it also contained a list of issues relating to current RDA categories, and a list of further revisions to the RDA categories or the RDA/ONIX Framework (for future consideration). The JSC agreed to review the draft document and the issues

relating to current RDA categories (by May 1, 2014), after which it is hoped that the revised specifications can be published on the JSC website.

6JSC/ALA/26: Colour content (RDA 7.17)
This proposal was to merge the instructions for recording color content of various types of resources into a single set of instructions. After some discussion of the issues raised, the proposal was withdrawn. Instead, the CILIP representative will develop a proposal that models color as two distinct elements:
> (a) identification of monochrome vs. polychrome (and the identification of the actual colors)
> (b) the description of various production processes (such as tinting and toning) that can modify the color content of a resource.

Any future solution needs to take primary vs. secondary illustrative content into consideration.

3. From the MAC (MARC Advisory Committee) Representative
(Preliminary report) http://alcts.ala.org/ccdablog/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/marbi201401prelim.pdf

Discussion Paper 2014-DP04: Recording RDA Relationship Designators in the MARC 21 Bibliographic and Authority Formats
http://www.loc.gov/marc/mac/2014/2014-dp04.html

The MAC representative noted that Option 1 (from the discussion paper) will be pursued.

"Option 1: Issue RDA best practices for display text and rely on existing MARC 21 coding

RDA makes clear in the examples of displays of relationship information in chapters 24-28 that the parenthetical qualifier specifying the WEMI entity which is the range of the relationship is not intended to be displayed to end-users. Thus, a best practice or policy statement for a library RDA profile could specify that only the basic designator text from Appendices I or J is to be recorded in the relevant designator term subfield. This would ensure appropriate public display of the relationships at a level of granularity which is considered relevant for the end-user.

The advantage of this option is that it requires no change in MARC 21 nor in existing systems while still providing user-friendly labels for relationship types. The relationship types can still be mapped to the unconstrained RDA relationship namespaces.

The disadvantage of this option is that the WEMI aspect of the resource taking part in the relationship is not recorded for those relationships which share display labels at different levels. The relationships cannot then be automatically mapped to the constrained RDA relationship namespaces during a migration to a FRBRized catalog.

In some cases, this information can be recovered using other aspects of the coding, but not in all cases. However, the cataloger is generally aware of this information at the time of cataloging and could provide it, were there a coding mechanism available for it."

4. From the report from ALA Publishing Services

- Subscriptions to the RDA Toolkit rose steadily in 2013. Approximately 8400 users are subscribed, or about 3.2 users per unique subscription. Information on the updated Toolkit pricing structure is available here: http://www.rdatoolkit.org/pricing
- Page views in the Toolkit increased by 300% in 2013.
- 30% of Toolkit subscriptions are from outside of the USA.
- "Best Practices for Music Cataloging using RDA and MARC 21," prepared by the RDA Music Implementation Task Force, Bibliographic Control Committee, Music Library Association, will be included in the Toolkit later in 2014. A draft version of the best practices may be viewed here: http://bcc.musiclibraryassoc.org/BCC-Historical/BCC2013/RDA_Best_Practices_for_Music_Cataloging.pdf
- *Maxwell's handbook for RDA*, published by ALA editions, is now available: http://www.alastore.ala.org/detail.aspx?ID=3738
- Work on *Essential RDA*, though behind schedule, will begin soon. It will be created under review of the JSC and will be similar in spirit to Michael Gorman's *The Concise AACR2.*

5. Presentation from Gordon Dunsire, Chair of the JSC

"RDA for Machines": http://www.gordondunsire.com/pubs/pres/RDAForMachines.pptx

Submitted by Francis Lapka, RBMS Liaison to CC:DA

Appendix B: BSC Directory of Internet Resources

Additions—January, 2014

NOTE: I have added a few resources dealing with RDA. I would appreciate having more resources on both RDA and on the DCRM2 Project.   Please e-mail them to me at lcreider@lib.nmsu.edu.

ADDITIONS:

http://www.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/our-work/cataloguing Bodleian RDA documentation

http://digitalcommons.unf.edu/library_facpub/13/ RDA Training Booklet (200 p.) by Marielle Veve, University of North Florida

http://rbms.info/dcrm/rda/index.html DCRM and RDA from the RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee

http://rbms.info/dcrm/dcrmg/ DCRM(G)--Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Graphics)--NEW Published version

http://rbm.acrl.org/content/by/year   Archives of RBM and its predecessor Rare Books and Manuscripts Librarianship. 12-month moving wall.

http://www.scotlandsplaces.gov.uk/news/scotlands-place-names-revealed   Ordnance Survey 19th century Scottish place names

http://www.cityoflondon.gov.uk/things-to-do/visiting-the-city/archives-and-city-history/london-metropolitan-archives/the-collections/Documents/business-a-to-z-listing.pdf London Metropolitan Archives A-Z list of businesses

http://tw.staatsbibliothek-berlin.de/ Typenrepertorium der Wiegendrucke Database

http://www.orbistypographicus.com/ Zapf's Orbis Typographicus a digital facsimile

http://www.booksellerlabels.com/ Bookseller labels

http://sevenroads.org/Bookish.html Seven Roads Gallery of Book Trade Labels

http://www.bookplatesociety.org/gallery.htm Bookplate Society

http://expobus.us.es/fondos/index.htm Exhibition of books from the Biblioteca de la Universidad de Sevilla

http://webapp1.dlib.indiana.edu/inharmony/welcome.do IN Harmony, Sheet Music from Indiana

http://people.ischool.berkeley.edu/~mkduggan/neh.html 19th Century California Sheet Music from Mary Kay Duggan

http://www2.lib.unc.edu/dc/sheetmusic/ 19th Century American Sheet Music—University of North Carolina

http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/sheetmusic/ Sheet Music Consortium—UCLA

http://sheetmusic.library.sc.edu/Default.asp Digital Sheet Music Project in the University of South Carolina Music Library

http://levysheetmusic.mse.jhu.edu/ Levy Sheet Music Collection—Johns Hopkins

http://popmusic.mtsu.edu/Broadsides/broadsides.htm Goldstein Collection of American Song Broadsides—Middle Tennessee State University

http://libraries.mit.edu/music/sheetmusic/ Inventions of Note Sheet Music Collection—MIT

http://ustc.ac.uk/index.php/search Universal Short Title Catalogue search page

http://web.natur.cuni.cz/~bayertom/detectproj/det_sw.html Estimation of project and parameters—downloadable software detectproj from Tomas Bayer

http://www.kb.nl/bladerboeken/atlas-ortelius Online Ortelius atlas

http://guides.lib.umich.edu/islamicmss/digitized Digitized Islamic MSS from University of Michigan

http://www.internetculturale.it/opencms/opencms/it/collezioni/collezione_0006.html Inventory of Italian medieval libraries click on "Accedi alla Collezione"

CHANGES:

Will be included in the June, 2014 report.

Submitted by
Laurence S. Creider