

MARC Advisory Committee (MAC) Liaison report, regarding the 245 \$t Discussion Paper
Submitted for the RBMS Bibliographic Standards Committee meeting, October 30, 2023

The draft committee has met to revise the Discussion Paper (DP) to be submitted at the next cycle of MAC meetings in January.

What's new:

1. Renamed the new subfield and changed it from a \$i to a \$t—LC did not want this subfield in the 245 to mimic what is already in the 246 field. They wanted this subfield to have its own very specific meaning.
2. Refined the meaning and purpose of the new subfield—LC wanted to ensure we limit the scope of the subfield to its intended purpose only.
3. Rewrote the examples to focus on items where the title is not readily apparent.

Please review the draft and feel free to share your thoughts and comments.

Also, there will be an additional paper for consideration by BSC. OCLC would like to get our input, and possible co-sponsorship, for adding a \$5 to the 773, 774, 787 fields.

Thank you.

Jackie Parascandola on behalf of the draft team:

Helena Zinkham

Jamie Cumby

Noah Sheola