

2013 Digital Inclusion Survey: State Report

August 1, 2014



John Carlo Bertot, Ph.D. Co-Director and Professor jbertot@umd.edu

Paul T. Jaeger Co-Director and Professor

Jean Lee Graduate Research Associate

Kristofer Dubbels Graduate Research Associate

Abigail J. McDermott Graduate Research Associate

Brian Real Graduate Research Associate



Acknowledgment

Large-scale national surveys such as this involve substantial effort and support from a number of individuals and groups. While impossible to mention each person or group, we would like to acknowledge the efforts of those who provided substantial assistance.

The study team wishes to express their gratitude to the U.S. Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) for their generous support of this survey through a National Leadership Grant. It would not have been possible to conduct the Digital Inclusion Survey – and related products found at <u>digitalinclusion.umd.edu</u> – without their support. We also would like to specifically thank Carlos Manjarres and Justin Grimes at IMLS for their service, counsel, and assistance.

The study team would also like to recognize the significant efforts of the state librarians, the state data coordinators, and other state library agency staff members. The amount of time, energy, and support that the state library community invested in this study contributed directly to the survey's high response rate – we cannot thank them enough for all of their efforts.

We also extend a debt of gratitude to all the public librarians who completed the survey. We realize that it takes a great deal of time, effort, and commitment to participate in the survey. Without your participation, we simply would not have any data. Without data, this study would have no ability to affect policy, practice, and engagement in discussions surrounding the role of public libraries in building digitally inclusive communities – which spans public access technologies, broadband, digital equity and readiness, and more. The time you take to provide the data in this report offers valuable information for national, state, and local policymakers, library advocates, researchers, practitioners, government and private funding organizations, and others to understand the impact, issues, and needs of libraries providing public access computing. The data also provide public librarians with the opportunity to advocate for the communities that they serve, particularly through new interactive tools developed as part of the study.

We are also in debt to the study's Advisory Committee (see Appendix A). These individuals assisted us in a number of key study areas including issue identification, question development, survey pretesting, pilot testing our interactive mapping and speed test tools, providing perspectives on study findings, and much more. Many thanks to all for their dedication and commitment.

We also want to thank our study partners – the American Library Association (ALA), the International City/County Management Association (ICMA), and Community Attributes International (CAI). Together, each partner enhanced the study in significant ways.

Paragon New Media also deserves mention for their significant efforts in designing, developing, and maintaining the survey website.

Finally, we wish to thank Ting Yan, Brady West and Zhe Wang of the Survey Methodology Program (SMP), Survey Research Center (SRC), and Institute for Social Research (ISR) at the University of Michigan-Ann Arbor for their work on survey design and weighting methodology.

John Carlo Bertot, Paul T. Jaeger, Jean Lee, Kristofer Dubbles, Abigail J. McDermott, & Brian Real.



Table of Contents

Acknowled	gements	i
List of Figu	res	iii
Introduction	n	1
A Note on N	Nethodology	1
State Data A	Analysis	2
State Summ	nary Pages	3
Alab	pama	3
	ska	
	ona	
	ansas	
	fornia	
	prado	
	necticut	
	aware	
	ida	
	/aii	
	10	
	Dis	
	ana	
	3	
	Sas	
	tucky	
	isiana	
	yland	
	sachusetts	
	nigan _,	
	nesota	
	sissippi	
	souri	
	raska	
	ada	
	/ Hampshire	
	/ Jersey	
New	/ Mexico	30
New	<i>y</i> York	31
Nort	th Carolina	32
Ohio)	33
Ore	gon	34
Pen	nsylvania	35



Rhode Island	36
South Carolina	37
South Dakota	38
Texas	39
Utah	40
Vermont	41
Virginia	42
Washington	43
Washington, DC	44
West Virginia	45
Wisconsin	
Wyoming	47
State Tables	48
Public Access Technology & Infrastructure	
Digital Literacy & Training Related to Public Access Technologies	
Library Programs, Information Sessions & Events	110
Challenges and Opportunities	130
Appendix A. Advisory Committee	
Appendix B. Detailed Weighting and Adjustments for Non-Response	132
Appendix C. Copy of 2013 Digital Inclusion Survey	148



List of Figures

Figure 1: Public Library Outlets and Survey Responses, by Locale	49
Figure 2: Number of Public Access Internet Workstations (Including Laptops) by Average Age,	
by State	. 49
Figure 3: Public Library Outlets Reporting Daily Wait Times for Public Access Computers, by State	
Figure 4: Public Library Outlets Offering Public Wireless Internet Access (WiFi), by State	
Figure 5: Public Library Outlets Subscribed Download Speed, by State, in Kilobits Per Second	
Figure 6: Public Library Outlets Subscribed Upload Speed, by State, in Kilobits Per Second	
Figure 7: Public Library Outlets Reporting Fiber Optic Internet Connection, by State	
Figure 8: Public Library Outlets Reporting a Desire to Increase Broadband Connectivity, by State	. 61
Figure 9: Factors that affect the ability of Public Library Outlets to Increase Broadband Connectivity,	•
	. 64
Figure 10: Technologies that Public Library Outlets Make Available to Patrons, by State	
Figure 11: Technology Services and Resources that Public Library Outlets Make Available to Patrons, b	
State	70
Figure 12: Public Library Outlets Offering Technologies and Resources that Comply with	71
the Americans with Disabilities Act, by State	. 74
Figure 13: Public Library Outlets Reporting Access to Information Technology Support Staff, by State	. //
Figure 14: Adequacy of Public Library Outlet Buildings for Providing Public Access Technology-Related	70
Services to Patrons, by State (0 = Poor, 3 = Excellent)	. 79
Figure 15: Public Library Outlets Reporting Upgrades to Public Access Technology-Related	00
Infrastructure in the past 24 Months, by State	. 82
Figure 16: Public Access Technology Infrastructure Upgraded by Public Library Outlets within	84
the past 24 Months, by State	. 04
Outlete by Otata	87
Figure 18: Public Library Outlets Offering Formal or Informal Technology Training	01
(e.g., General Computer Skills) to Patrons, by State	91
Figure 19: Public Library Outlets Reporting Conducting Any of its TechnologyRelated Training	5
Sessions In Languages Other than English in the Last Twelve Months, by State	93
Figure 20: Technology Training Offerings by Topic, by State	95 95
	98
Figure 22: Individual Help by Appointment Technology Training Offerings, by State	. 10
Figure 23: Informal Point of Use Technology Training Offerings, by State	104
Figure 24: Online Technology Training Materials Offerings, by State	
Figure 25: Public Library Outlets Offering Education and Learning Programs to Patrons, by State	
Figure 26: Education and Learning Programs offered to Patrons, by State	
Figure 27: Public Library Outlets Offering Economy and Workforce Development Programs	
to Patrons, by State	115
Figure 28: Economy and Workforce Development Programs offered to Patrons, by State	
Figure 29: Public Library Outlets Offering Community, Civic Engagement, and E-Government	
	120



Figure 30: Community, Civic Engagement, and E-Government Programs offered	
to Patrons, by State	122
Figure 31: Public Library Outlets Offering Health and Wellness Programs to Patrons, by State	125
Figure 32: Health and Wellness Programs offered to Patrons, by State	127

Recommended report citation:

Bertot, J.C., Jaeger, P.T., Lee, J., Dubbels, K., McDermott, A.J., Real, B. (2014). 2013 Digital Inclusion Survey: State Report. College Park, MD: Information Policy & Access Center, University of Maryland College Park. Available at http://digitalinclusion.umd.edu/.



Introduction

The Digital Inclusion Survey addresses the efforts of a particular set of community-based institutions – public libraries – to address disparities and provide opportunity to individuals and communities by providing free access to broadband, public access technologies, digital content, digital literacy learning opportunities, and a range of programming that helps build digitally inclusive communities. Whereas previous research emphasized access to infrastructure, the Digital Inclusion Survey addresses emergent dimensions of the digital equity, and the response of libraries to these challenges.

Funded by the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), and conducted by the American Library Association (ALA), the Information Policy & Access Center (iPAC) at the University of Maryland, and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA), this study conducted a national survey of public libraries that explored the digital inclusion roles of public libraries in four key areas:

- Public access technology infrastructure resources and capacity (e.g., public access workstations; broadband connectivity).
- Digital content, services, and accessibility.
- Digital literacy (including languages in which instruction is offered).
- Domains-specific services and programs (civic engagement, education, health and wellness, and workforce/employment).

National data analysis, and a summary of key study findings are available at: http://digitalinclusion.umd.edu.

This report serves as a supplemental report focused on state-level findings. The report includes state summaries as well as more in-depth state data tables based on selected survey data. The report does not include a summary discussion of the results. Interactive mapping tools at the national and state levels, as well as other data products, are available at http://digitalinclusion.umd.edu.

A Note on Methodology

The Digital Inclusion Survey collected data from libraries at the branch/outlet level. The 2013 survey used the FY2011 Public Library Survey file released in June 2013 by the U.S. Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), available at:

http://www.imls.gov/research/public_libraries_in_the_united_states_survey.aspx) as the sample frame for the survey, modified by:

- Removing bookmobiles;
- Removing libraries designated as closed in the file;
- Removing branches that did not have a LOCALE (urban, suburban, town, rural) designation; and
- Removing territory libraries (e.g., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands), but included the District of Columbia.



These modifications left a total of 16,715 service outlets (branches) from which to draw a sample.

The goal of the survey was to be able to provide state and national estimates of the survey data. To do this, the study team drew a sample that considered three factors: 1) National distribution of public library branches; 2) State distribution of public library branches; and 3) Locale (aggregated into Town, Rural, Suburban, and City) status of public library branches.

Using this approach, we drew a sample using SPSS Complex Samples of 4,840 outlets/branches.

The survey was open to all public libraries to participate. However, the national analysis conducted and presented in this report used data only sampled libraries. The survey received 3,392 responses from sampled libraries, for a 70.1% response rate. Weighted analysis was used to present state estimates (see Appendix B for additional detail).

Self-Reported Data

It is important to note that the data reported in the ensuring pages are self-reported by libraries. To the extent possible (i.e., checking for outliers, seeking corrections from libraries for outlier data), the study team sought to ensure valid and reliable data for analysis purposes. A copy of the survey is available in Appendix C.

State Data Analysis

In terms of analysis at the state level, weights were determined for libraries within each state as described in Appendix B. The exceptions were for the analysis conducted for the District of Columbia, Delaware, Hawaii, and Rhode Island. In these cases we received surveys from all or near all of the libraries in the state/District. As a result, we did not weight the data for the analysis presented.

Additionally, we did not receive enough and/or representative participation from libraries in six states to conduct state level analysis: Georgia, Maine, Montana, North Dakota, Oklahoma, and Tennessee. Data from libraries in these states, however, were used in national data analysis.



ALABAMA

	AL	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	31,124 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,024 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	235,520 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	93.7%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	76.5%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	16.2	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	24.8%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	89.3%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	93.2%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	84.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	52.1%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	85.3%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	36.5%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	36.2%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	36.1%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	38.3%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	92.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	78.3%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	54.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	61.2%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	44.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	28.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	70.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



ALASKA

	AK	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	5,944 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	308 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	25,600 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	95.2%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	78.3%	66.1%
Management of subtiness and subtiness that the subtiness of subtiness	12.0	40.0
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	12.9	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	33.7%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	63.9%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	83.2%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	14.1%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	95.2%	98.0%
General computer skills	82.6%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	43.5%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	41.2%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	98.4%	99.5%
Basic literacy	47.9%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	43.1%	26.5%
Summer reading	92.5%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	84.9%	99.6%
Applying for job	69.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	52.1%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	60.0%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	86.5%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	48.6%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	21.7%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	79.5%	98.6%



ARIZONA

	AZ	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	82,249 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	307,200 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	48.8%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	27.1	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	46.8%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	100.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	93.5%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	93.5%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	54.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	96.7%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	35.1%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	43.9%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	96.7%	99.5%
Basic literacy	17.8%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	22.2%	26.5%
Summer reading	96.8%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	96.7%	99.6%
Applying for job	87.3%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	17.1%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	79.6%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	92.2%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	59.7%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	3.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	88.8%	98.6%



ARKANSAS

	AR	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	12,238 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	51,200 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	95.7%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	73.5%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	9.8	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	27.7%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	64.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	61.4%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	61.4%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	48.9%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	95.7%	98.0%
General computer skills	86.5%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	20.8%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	8.9%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	93.7%	99.5%
Basic literacy	21.7%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	22.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	93.7%	99.6%
Applying for job	72.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	66.8%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	58.8%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	79.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	16.2%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	14.5%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



CALIFORNIA

	CA	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	34,122 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,229 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,024,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	97.8%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	75.1%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	25.9	19.8
	63.7%	35.9%
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	03.7%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	96.7%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	97.5%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	58.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	99.3%	98.0%
General computer skills	92.9%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	54.3%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	39.0%	58.5%
	22.272	22.071
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	42.1%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	18.4%	26.5%
Summer reading	97.8%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	90.9%	99.6%
Applying for job	82.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	44.3%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	61.2%	56.1%
Accessing and using chime susiness information resources	01.2/0	30.170
Civic Engagement	75.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	51.3%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	23.6%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	94.4%	98.6%



COLORADO

	со	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	32,949 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,024 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	132,608 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	97.4%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	74.7%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	26.2	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	30.8%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	82.6%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	90.7%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	94.1%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	54.6%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	95.5%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	57.9%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	52.9%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	36.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	25.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	97.4%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	93.3%	99.6%
Applying for job	80.2%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	46.6%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	66.1%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	70.7%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	39.3%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	26.2%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.1%	98.6%



CONNECTICUT

	СТ	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	322,452 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,203 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,024,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	96.9%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	64.2%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	27.1	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	23.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	93.1%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	94.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	97.4%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	48.4%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	91.5%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	60.2%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	48.4%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	39.9%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	19.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.2%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	91.2%	99.6%
Applying for job	75.5%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	60.6%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	58.1%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	65.4%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	48.7%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	19.0%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	97.5%	98.6%



DELAWARE

	DE	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	102,400 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	66.7%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	25.7	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	22.2%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	100.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	66.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	100.0%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	55.6%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	44.4%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	33.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	44.4%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	88.9%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	44.4%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	88.9%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	88.9%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	50.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	37.5%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



FLORIDA

	FL	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	40,064 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	99.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	52.1%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	30.8	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	38.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	94.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	94.9%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	66.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	92.0%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	51.9%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	62.3%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	46.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	31.5%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	97.2%	99.6%
Applying for job	90.2%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	63.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	69.6%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	77.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	60.7%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	8.6%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	94.2%	98.6%



HAWAII

	н	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	10,015 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	84.0%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	11.1	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	62.5%	35.9%
rations experience wait time for public access computers	02.370	33.370
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	100.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	94.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	55.1%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	98.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	61.2%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	40.8%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	16.3%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	98.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	10.2%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	14.3%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	12.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	83.3%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	50.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	66.7%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	46.0%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	47.8%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	4.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	43.5%	98.6%



IDAHO

	ID	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure	1	
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	13,100 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	68.5%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	14.5	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	23.8%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	80.5%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	96.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	15.6%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	98.1%	98.0%
General computer skills	94.0%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	36.3%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	39.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
	4.00.00/	00.50/
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	19.9%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	8.5%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	96.2%	99.6%
Applying for job	77.9%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	44.2%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	61.6%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	52.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	26.8%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	14.2%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



ILLINOIS

	IL	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	41,744 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,024 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	95.8%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	69.3%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	19.9	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	25.2%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	90.7%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	95.6%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	92.8%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	39.2%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	94.1%	98.0%
General computer skills	89.1%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	59.1%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	40.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	99.5%	99.5%
Basic literacy	27.5%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	29.3%	26.5%
Summer reading	99.1%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	94.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	76.6%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	55.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	55.6%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	67.5%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	34.4%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	19.2%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	96.2%	98.6%



INDIANA

	IN	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	33,452 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	100 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	512,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.7%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	72.6%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	18	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	26.8%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	94.8%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	97.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	97.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	26.4%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	85.8%	98.0%
General computer skills	90.4%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	65.8%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	70.5%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	33.9%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	25.2%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.7%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	93.6%	99.6%
Applying for job	77.5%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	44.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	55.8%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	68.6%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	29.3%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	17.6%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



IOWA

	IA	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	12,848 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	512 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	62.9%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	9.2	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	30.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	83.8%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	99.1%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	23.8%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	89.9%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	25.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	22.3%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	36.4%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	26.5%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	90.7%	99.6%
Applying for job	75.9%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	55.9%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	55.8%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	63.5%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	27.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	13.0%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



KANSAS

	KS	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	25,580 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	512 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.8%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	64.8%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	10.8	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	32.6%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	67.8%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	96.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	94.9%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	30.6%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	88.7%	98.0%
General computer skills	87.5%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	41.5%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	49.3%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	44.8%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	37.5%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	98.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	74.5%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	57.3%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	57.1%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	73.8%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	34.7%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	16.2%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.7%	98.6%



KENTUCKY

	KY	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	18,684 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	676 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	51,200 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	59.9%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	20.78	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	16.5%	35.9%
rations experience wait time for public access computers	10.5%	33.370
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	93.5%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	13.0%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	97.7%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	78.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	78.5%	58.5%
Journal (E.g., Biogging, Pwitter, Pace Book, Pour abe)	70.570	30.370
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	22.7%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	36.9%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	85.6%	99.6%
Applying for job	87.0%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	36.9%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	58.0%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	89.8%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	66.8%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	51.6%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



LOUISIANA

	LA	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	45,726 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	512,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.9%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	78.2%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	19.0	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	41.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	91.1%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	96.6%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	96.6%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	42.8%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	96.7%	98.0%
General computer skills	97.6%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	36.3%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	41.3%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	26.8%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	19.2%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	79.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	53.4%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	62.6%	56.1%
Civio Engagoment	02.40/	74.60
Civic Engagement	93.4%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	37.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces) Completing government forms online	26.9%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.7%	98.69



MARYLAND

	MD	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	195,584 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	8,192 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	2,097,152 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	86.2%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	25.5	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	25.9%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	96.6%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	75.9%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	89.7%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	60.3%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	37.9%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	15.5%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	8.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	98.3%	99.6%
Applying for job	75.4%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	64.9%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	54.4%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	98.3%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	54.4%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	54.4%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	96.5%	98.6%



MASSACHUSETTS

	MA	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	23,655 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	647 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.7%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	63.8%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	14.8	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	17.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	95.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	95.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	95.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	35.0%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	97.5%	98.0%
General computer skills	76.9%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	53.9%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	35.9%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	32.4%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	29.9%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	87.6%	99.6%
Applying for job	72.9%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	51.4%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	57.1%	56.1%
<u> </u>	21.270	22.270
Civic Engagement	72.6%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	81.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	32.8%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.3%	98.6%



MICHIGAN

	MI	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	49,924 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	586 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	3,072,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	57.6%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	18.6	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	23.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	97.1%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	94.5%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	94.2%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	35.0%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	99.2%	98.0%
General computer skills	92.3%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	62.7%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	62.7%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	20.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	14.1%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.2%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	96.6%	99.6%
Applying for job	70.4%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	43.3%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	61.4%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	75.8%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	50.1%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	19.1%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	96.5%	98.6%



MINNESOTA

	MN	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	24,385 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	687 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	409,600 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.7%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	46.9%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	14.4	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	14.7%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	99.1%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	97.4%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	98.9%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	43.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	97.5%	98.0%
General computer skills	81.6%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	38.2%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	19.1%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	34.5%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	29.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.7%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	95.9%	99.6%
Applying for job	73.3%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	60.9%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	54.4%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	61.4%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	44.4%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	20.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	97.7%	98.6%



MISSISSIPPI

	MS	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	7,445 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	256 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	204,800 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	95.5%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	82.5%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	13.6	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	43.3%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	76.4%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	93.7%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	93.7%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	22.3%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	92.6%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	21.7%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	28.0%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	24.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	31.0%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	74.5%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	51.4%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	54.7%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	86.6%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	30.6%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	20.5%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



MISSOURI

	МО	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	37,191 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,025 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,024,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	80.1%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	47.3%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	16.8	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	13.4%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	81.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	97.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	98.5%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	35.8%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	89.8%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	52.2%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	41.7%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	98.5%	99.5%
Basic literacy	35.0%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	45.0%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	65.7%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	55.5%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	54.2%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	84.2%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	44.5%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	34.7%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



NEBRASKA

	NE	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	36,799 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	94.8%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	40.7%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	9.5	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	12.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	62.5%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	93.8%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	98.6%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	18.2%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	97.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	88.2%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	43.4%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	42.4%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	98.6%	99.5%
Basic literacy	21.7%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	31.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	97.5%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	78.0%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	46.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	55.1%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	63.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	17.8%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	4.8%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.1%	98.6%



NEVADA

	NV	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	44,567 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	86.7%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	33.4%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	18.1	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	26.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	88.2%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	42.1%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	81.7%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	42.1%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	26.2%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	45.7%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	29.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	93.4%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	74.6%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	59.1%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	59.3%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	73.9%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	55.9%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	36.0%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



NEW HAMPSHIRE

	NH	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	15,820 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,526 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	117,043 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.9%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	65.3%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	6.3	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	26.7%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	93.2%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	98.3%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	96.6%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	27.4%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	89.8%	98.0%
General computer skills	90.8%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	42.7%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	43.8%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	21.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	20.4%	26.5%
Summer reading	94.9%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	93.2%	99.6%
Applying for job	76.6%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	52.9%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	54.4%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	61.8%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	40.6%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	13.7%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	97.3%	98.6%



NEW JERSEY

	NJ	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure	1	
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	56,233 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	3,072 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	71.7%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	26.5	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	50.4%	35.9%
Tutions experience wait time for public access computers	30.470	33.570
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	89.8%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	94.5%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	98.2%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	53.8%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	85.8%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	38.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	47.4%	58.5%
L'han Barra de la Carrie de G. E. and		
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	98.2%	99.5%
Basic literacy	40.0%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	24.7%	26.5%
Summer reading	95.1%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	89.2%	99.6%
Applying for job	88.3%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	57.8%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	63.1%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	80.5%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	49.8%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	30.4%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



NEW MEXICO

	NM	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	7,814 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	512 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	30,720 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	93.5%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	64.1%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	15.3	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	29.3%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	75.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	96.6%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	45.8%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	90.5%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	45.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	32.4%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
	400.00/	00.5%
Education Pagin library and the second secon	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy CED or agriculant advisation	42.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education Summer reading	38.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	87.2%	99.6%
Applying for job	70.1%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	40.1%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	49.0%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	65.0%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	35.1%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	5.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	95.2%	98.6%



NEW YORK

	NY	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	28,490 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	768 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	99.6%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	68.9%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	17.3	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	40.8%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	93.7%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	99.2%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	98.4%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	47.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	98.5%	98.0%
General computer skills	92.2%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	64.2%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	53.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	36.9%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	26.5%	26.5%
Summer reading	96.9%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	93.4%	99.6%
Applying for job	81.4%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	44.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	57.3%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	78.6%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	53.2%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	24.9%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	95.4%	98.6%



NORTH CAROLINA

	NC	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	32,545 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,024 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	256,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	96.4%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	73.0%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	22.7	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	38.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	92.9%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	97.3%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	98.2%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	36.5%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	98.2%	98.0%
General computer skills	92.6%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	56.8%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	45.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	35.1%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	25.2%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	99.1%	99.6%
Applying for job	76.0%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	45.4%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	55.4%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	76.9%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	23.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	17.5%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.9%	98.6%



OHIO

	ОН	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	34,377 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	512,000 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.5%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	53.3%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	19.4	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	34.6%	35.9%
Tutions experience wait time for public access comparers	34.070	33.370
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	94.9%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	97.2%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	92.3%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	39.1%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	94.4%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	68.4%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	67.3%	58.5%
Journal (e.g., biogging, rwitter, racebook, rourabe)	07.370	30.370
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	22.8%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	15.9%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	95.8%	99.6%
Applying for job	60.9%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	48.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	42.4%	56.1%
- Lacetoning and doning dualities information resources	72.470	30.170
Civic Engagement	60.0%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	48.2%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	33.5%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



OREGON

	OR	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure	1	
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	142,294 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	97.6%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	66.8%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	18.0	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	52.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	90.6%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	97.6%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	97.6%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	53.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	91.7%	98.0%
General computer skills	92.9%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	45.9%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	35.0%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	26.2%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	23.1%	26.5%
Summer reading	97.6%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	94.1%	99.6%
Applying for job	75.4%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	51.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	62.9%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	58.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	46.2%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	17.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



PENNSYLVANIA

	PA	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	187,309 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	768 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	53.6%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	13.4	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	36.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	96.6%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	98.7%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	93.3%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	32.6%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	91.3%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	61.1%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	47.5%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	45.6%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	31.9%	26.5%
Summer reading	99.3%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	95.4%	99.6%
Applying for job	75.5%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	51.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	59.5%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	74.9%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	60.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	17.0%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	99.0%	98.6%



RHODE ISLAND

	RI	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	12,504 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	5,120 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	27,648 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	61.7%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	21.5	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	30.4%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	100.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	92.3%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	53.7%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	100.0%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	54.3%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	46.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	49.5%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	15.2%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	84.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	61.9%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	60.5%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	73.4%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	58.2%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	5.1%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



SOUTH CAROLINA

	SC	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	33,089 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	55.4%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	24.4	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	49.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	97.3%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	27.2%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	95.8%	98.0%
General computer skills	98.1%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	53.4%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	37.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	28.4%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	16.1%	26.5%
Summer reading	97.7%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	85.6%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	25.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	43.1%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	67.0%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	30.3%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	14.0%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



SOUTH DAKOTA

	SD	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	11,400 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	481 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	55,296 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	76.4%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	41.7%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	7.7	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	19.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	68.5%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	93.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	95.5%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	17.0%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	98.8%	98.0%
General computer skills	87.9%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	32.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	26.7%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	38.8%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	33.0%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.9%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	79.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	51.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	67.5%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	61.8%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	30.7%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	8.0%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



TEXAS

	TX	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	40,355 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	256 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	95.4%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	71.3%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	26.5	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	34.7%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	76.9%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	91.2%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	92.6%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	55.1%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	93.1%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	40.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	38.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	98.2%	99.5%
Basic literacy	42.2%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	40.8%	26.5%
Summer reading	97.2%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	99.1%	99.6%
Applying for job	77.9%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	49.4%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	57.5%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	69.9%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	35.7%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	9.8%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.6%	98.6%



UTAH

	UT	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure	1	
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	42,033 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	800 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	437,555 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	47.2%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	20.2	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	25.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	100.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	94.4%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	38.9%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	97.2%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	44.4%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	33.3%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	41.7%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	30.6%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	77.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	50.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	69.4%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	72.2%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	53.8%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	15.4%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



VERMONT

	VT	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	20,753 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	2,437 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	58.5%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	6.6	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	24.3%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	84.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	96.7%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	96.5%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	17.8%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	98.2%	98.0%
General computer skills	87.5%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	42.4%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	44.6%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	35.7%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	27.4%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.2%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	88.2%	99.6%
Applying for job	76.2%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	57.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	61.1%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	48.2%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	64.2%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	32.5%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



VIRGINIA

	VA	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	51,430 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	768 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	1,048,576 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	97.3%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	75.6%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	21.3	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	51.2%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	99.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	96.6%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	92.5%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	64.4%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	96.1%	98.0%
General computer skills	87.8%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	55.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	26.7%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	33.3%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	40.0%	26.5%
Summer reading	97.1%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	95.7%	99.6%
Applying for job	81.6%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	51.7%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	58.3%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	83.4%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	41.3%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	19.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



WASHINGTON

	WA	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	46,530 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	100 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.6%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	56.8%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	18.3	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	36.9%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	96.2%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	98.8%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	98.8%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	56.2%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	96.9%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	63.7%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	31.1%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	9.6%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	6.2%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	94.1%	99.6%
Applying for job	80.3%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	37.1%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	69.0%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	84.7%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	26.2%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	15.1%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	98.4%	98.6%



WASHINGTON, DC

	DC	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	51,200 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	51,200 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	51,200 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	100.0%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	33.1	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	100.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	100.0%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	100.0%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	100.0%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	100.0%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	100.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	100.0%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	100.0%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	100.0%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	100.0%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	100.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	100.0%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	100.0%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	100.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	100.0%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



WEST VIRGINIA

	wv	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	2,299 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,536 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	20,480 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	100.0%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	88.1%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	8.8	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	27.5%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	98.5%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	88.3%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	100.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	34.4%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	96.9%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	43.0%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	30.7%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	46.0%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	41.5%	26.5%
Summer reading	98.4%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	100.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	74.8%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	45.4%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	57.6%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	63.9%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	34.1%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	14.2%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



WISCONSIN

	WI	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	10,831 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	512 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	102,400 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	98.2%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	66.2%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	11.7	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	25.0%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	96.3%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	98.3%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	99.2%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	48.2%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	98.2%	98.0%
General computer skills	95.3%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	54.9%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	53.0%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	99.2%	99.5%
Basic literacy	32.4%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	22.2%	26.5%
Summer reading	96.3%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	97.3%	99.6%
Applying for job	79.9%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	48.9%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	51.5%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	76.2%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	38.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	23.8%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



WYOMING

	WY	US
Public Access Technology and Infrastructure		
Broadband		
Mean Download speed	9,718 Kbps	58,754 Kbps
Minimum Download Speed	1,024 Kbps	100 Kbps
Maximum Download Speed	51,200 Kbps	3,072,000 Kbps
1024 Kilobits Per Second (Kbps)=1 Megabit Per Second (Mbps)		
WiFi Availability	92.9%	97.5%
Libraries that would like to increase bandwidth	76.5%	66.1%
Mean number of public access computers/laptops	12.4	19.8
Patrons experience wait time for public access computers	24.8%	35.9%
Technology Services for Patron Use		
Databases (e.g., Ebscohost, Gale/CENGAGE)	100.0%	100.0%
E-books	89.3%	89.5%
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	93.2%	96.5%
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	84.0%	95.6%
Library mobile apps	52.1%	42.6%
Digital Literacy/Public Access Technology Training		
Formal Training	100.0%	98.0%
General computer skills	85.3%	91.4%
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., using e-readers, tablets)	36.5%	67.5%
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, FaceBook, YouTube)	36.2%	58.5%
Library Programs, Information Sessions, & Events		
Education	100.0%	99.5%
Basic literacy	36.1%	33.2%
GED or equivalent education	38.3%	26.5%
Summer reading	100.0%	97.5%
Economy and Workforce Development	92.0%	99.6%
Applying for job	78.3%	73.5%
Entrepreneurship and small business development	54.0%	47.3%
Accessing and using online business information resources	61.2%	56.1%
Civic Engagement	44.1%	74.1%
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	28.0%	45.6%
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	70.3%	21.4%
Completing government forms online	100.0%	98.6%



State Data Tables

This next section presents state-based branch (outlet) level findings. The survey received adequate responses from 44 states plus the District of Columbia. The state data analyzed in the report include:

Alabama Missouri
Alaska Nebraska
Arizona Nevada
Arkansas New Hampshire
California New Jersey

Colorado New Mexico
Connecticut New York
Delaware North Carolina

Florida Ohio
Hawaii Oregon
Idaho Pennsylvania
Illinois Rhode Island
Indiana South Carolina
Iowa South Dakota

Kansas Texas
Kentucky Utah
Louisiana Vermont
Maryland Virginia
Massachusetts Washington
Michigan Washington, DC

Minnesota West Virginia
Mississippi Wisconsin
Wyoming

The report does not contain state-based data for Georgia, Maine, Montana, North Dakota, Oklahoma, and Tennessee due to insufficient response rates from public libraries within those states.



Public Access Technology & Infrastructure

Figure 1: Public Library Outlets and Survey Responses, by Locale				
Locale Code	Sampled Responding Outlets as a Proportion of Sampled Survey Respondents	Distribution of Library Outlets as a Proportion of National Population		
City	14.2% (481 of 3392)	16.6% (2778 of 16715)		
Suburb	22.5% (764 of 3392)	23.2% (3881 of 16715)		
Rural	46.4% (1575 of 3392)	40.3% (6742 of 16715)		
Town	16.8% (572 of 3392)	19.8% (3314 of 16715)		
Overall	100.0% (3392 of 3392)	100.0% (16715 of 16715)		
Overall Response Rate = 70.1%				

Figure 2: Number of Public Access Internet Workstations (Including Laptops) by Average Age,
by State

	Average Number of Public	Average Number of Public Access Internet Workstations		
State	4 years old or less	More than 4 years old		
Alabama				
(n=78)	11.8	4.1		
Alaska				
(n=51)	10.2	2.8		
Arizona				
(n=52)	21.2	6.6		
Arkansas				
(n=32)	5.7	4.1		
California				
(n=162)	18.6	7.2		
Colorado				
(n=74)	20.8	5.3		
Connecticut				
(n=61)	20.8	6.2		
Delaware				
(n=12)	22.0	3.3		
Florida				
(n=95)	22.2	8.6		
Hawaii				
(n=50)	10.5	1.7		
Idaho		. –		
(n=44)	10.0	4.5		
Illinois				
(n=159)	13.2	6.7		



ladia.		
Indiana (n=75)	12.2	5.8
lowa	12.2	5.0
(n=64)	6.0	3.2
Kansas	0.0	5.2
(n=100)	7.3	3.5
Kentucky	1.0	5.5
(n=41)	15.5	5.3
Louisiana	10.0	0.0
(n=91)	14.8	4.3
Maryland		
(n=58)	20.9	4.5
Massachusetts		
(n=76)	9.4	5.4
Michigan		-
(n=125)	13.1	5.4
Minnesota		
(n=48)	10.0	4.4
Mississippi		
(n=89)	9.3	4.3
Missouri		
(n=54)	10.5	5.8
Nebraska		
(n=81)	7.7	1.9
Nevada		
(n=19)	10.0	8.1
New Hampshire		
(n=64)	4.0	2.3
New Jersey		
(n=52)	17.2	8.2
New Mexico		
(n=31)	11.0	4.2
New York		
(n=235)	11.2	6.1
North Carolina	45.0	7.7
(n=110)	15.0	7.7
Ohio	44.0	4.5
(n=70)	14.9	4.5
Oregon	42.2	17
(n=49)	13.3	4.7
Pennsylvania	0.4	4.0
(n=150) Rhode Island	9.4	4.0
(n=16)	17.4	4.1
South Carolina	17.4	4.1
(n=37)	19.8	4.7
South Dakota	19.0	7./
(n=80)	5.0	2.7
(11 00)	5.0	L.1



Texas		
(n=219)	19.5	7.0
Utah		
(n=36)	15.3	4.9
Vermont		
(n=58)	3.1	3.5
Virginia		
(n=87)	16.2	5.2
Washington		
(n=66)	15.5	2.9
Washington, DC		
(n=23)	33.1	0.0
West Virginia		
(n=62)	4.6	4.2
Wisconsin		
(n=70)	10.0	2.1
Wyoming		
(n=32)	5.8	6.6
National	40.5	F 0
(n=3,338)	12.5	5.0
Key:		

Figure 3: Public Library Outlets Reporting Daily Wait Times for Public Access Computers, by State			
State	Wait Times		
	Yes	No	Don't Know
Alabama (n=78)	30.9%	62.9%	6.2%
Alaska (n=51)	34.2%	65.8%	0.0%
Arizona			
(n=52) Arkansas	47.2%	50.0%	2.8%
(n=32) California	27.5%	64.8%	7.7%
(n=162) Colorado	63.7%	30.5%	5.8%
(n=74) Connecticut	30.3%	60.5%	9.2%
(n=61) Delaware	22.7%	73.9%	3.4%
(n=12)	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%
Florida (n=95)	38.7%	42.3%	19.0%
Hawaii (n=48)	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%



ldaho (n=44)	23.5%	76.5%	0.0%
Illinois	20.070	7 0.0 70	0.070
(n=159)	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%
Indiana			
(n=75)	27.0%	66.7%	6.3%
lowa			
(n=64)	30.3%	69.7%	0.0%
Kansas	22.42/	07.00/	0.00/
(n=100)	32.4%	67.6%	0.0%
Kentucky	10.70/	77.20/	C 40/
(n=41)	16.7%	77.3%	6.1%
Louisiana	44.00/	E4 E0/	6.9%
(n=91)	41.6%	51.5%	0.9%
Maryland	25.40/	55 O9/	19 69/
(n=58)	25.4%	55.9%	18.6%
Massachusetts (n=76)	17.6%	74.8%	7.6%
\ /	17.0%	74.0%	1.0%
Michigan (n=125)	23.6%	75.2%	1.3%
Minnesota	23.0 /6	13.270	1.5 /6
(n=48)	14.4%	51.4%	34.2%
Mississippi	14.470	31.470	34.2 /6
(n=89)	43.4%	46.5%	10.1%
Missouri	45.470	40.576	10.170
(n=54)	13.3%	74.3%	12.4%
Nebraska	10.070	74.070	12.770
(n=81)	12.0%	88.0%	0.0%
Nevada	12.070	00.070	0.070
(n=19)	25.9%	51.9%	22.2%
New Hampshire	20.0 //	01.070	LL.L /0
(n=64)	26.9%	73.1%	0.0%
New Jersey	20.0 /	, .	0.070
(n=52)	48.9%	51.1%	0.0%
New Mexico			
(n=31)	28.9%	71.1%	0.0%
New York			
(n=235)	40.8%	58.1%	1.2%
North Carolina			
(n=110)	38.1%	51.7%	10.2%
Ohio			
(n=70)	34.6%	55.7%	9.7%
Oregon			
(n=49)	51.5%	42.6%	5.9%
Pennsylvania			
(n=150)	36.1%	60.1%	3.8%
Rhode Island			
(n=16)	31.0%	69.0%	0.0%
South Carolina			
(n=37)	49.1%	38.2%	12.7%



South Dakota			
(n=80)	19.6%	75.3%	5.2%
Texas			
(n=219)	34.8%	62.6%	2.6%
Utah			
(n=36)	25.0%	58.3%	16.7%
Vermont			
(n=58)	24.5%	75.5%	0.0%
Virginia			
(n=87)	51.0%	32.7%	16.3%
Washington			
(n=66)	37.5%	48.9%	13.6%
Washington, DC			
(n=23)	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
West Virginia			
(n=62)	27.5%	62.3%	10.1%
Wisconsin			
(n=70)	25.0%	73.4%	1.6%
Wyoming			
(n=32)	24.4%	64.4%	11.1%
National			
(n=3,336)	33.2%	60.5%	6.2%
Key:			

Figure 4: Public Library Outlets Offering Public Wireless Internet Access (WiFi), by State				
State	Outlets Offering WiFi			
Alabama				
(n=78)	89.7%			
Alaska				
(n=51)	94.9%			
Arizona				
(n=52)	100.0%			
Arkansas				
(n=32)	95.6%			
California				
(n=162)	97.8%			
Colorado				
(n=74)	97.4%			
Connecticut				
(n=61)	96.6%			
Delaware				
(n=12)	100.0%			
Florida				
(n=95)	99.3%			
Hawaii				
(n=50)	98.0%			



Idaho	
(n=44)	97.6%
Illinois	01.070
(n=159)	96.0%
Indiana	
(n=75)	98.4%
lowa	00.170
(n=64)	100.0%
Kansas	100.070
(n=100)	99.0%
Kentucky	00.070
(n=41)	100.0%
Louisiana	100.070
(n=91)	99.0%
Maryland	00.070
(n=58)	100.0%
Massachusetts	
(n=76)	98.5%
Michigan	00.070
(n=125)	100.0%
Minnesota	100.070
(n=48)	99.1%
Mississippi	33.170
(n=89)	95.9%
Missouri	00.070
(n=54)	79.8%
Nebraska	10.070
(n=81)	95.0%
Nevada	00.070
(n=19)	85.7%
New Hampshire	00.170
(n=64)	99.0%
New Jersey	00.070
(n=52)	100.0%
New Mexico	100.070
(n=31)	94.6%
New York	
(n=235)	99.6%
North Carolina	
(n=110)	96.6%
Ohio	55.575
(n=70)	98.4%
Oregon	00.170
(n=49)	97.1%
Pennsylvania	V1.170
(n=150)	100.0%
Rhode Island	100.070
(n=16)	100.0%
South Carolina	100.070
(n=37)	100.0%
(11-01)	100.0 /0



South Dakota			
(n=80)	76.3%		
Texas			
(n=219)	95.2%		
Utah			
(n=36)	100.0%		
Vermont			
(n=58)	100.0%		
Virginia			
(n=87)	97.1%		
Washington			
(n=66)	98.9%		
Washington, DC			
(n=23)	100.0%		
West Virginia			
(n=62)	100.0%		
Wisconsin			
(n=70)	98.4%		
Wyoming			
(n=32)	92.9%		
National			
(n=3,338)	96.6%		
Key: *: insufficient data to report			
Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.			

Figure 5: Public Library Outlets Subscribed Download Speed, by State, in Kilobits Per Second **Download Speeds** Not Provided Median Minimum Maximum Don't Know State Mean Speed Speed Speed Speed by Provider Alabama (n=41)31,124 kbps 10,240 kbps 1,024 kbps 235,520 kbps 37.8% Alaska (n=38)25,600 kbps 14.0% 0.0% 5,944 kbps 2,048 kbps 308 kbps Arizona 0.0% 307,200 kbps 7.9% (n=34)82,249 kbps 20,480 kbps 1,536 kbps Arkansas 12,238 kbps 5,120 kbps 51,200 kbps (n=16)1,536 kbps 9.0% 0.0% California (n=134)1,229 kbps 34,122 kbps 20,480 kbps 1,024,000 kbps 15.5% 1.6% Colorado (n=54)32,949 kbps 18,432 kbps 1,024 kbps 132,608 kbps 24.7% 5.2% Connecticut (n=60)1,203 kbps 2.2% 0.0% 322,452 kbps 6,144 kbps 1,024,000 kbps Delaware (n=12)102,400 kbps 102,400 kbps 102,400 kbps 102,400 kbps 0.0% 0.0% Florida (n=83)40,064 kbps 10,240 kbps 1,536 kbps 1,048,576 kbps 9.4% 2.3% Hawaii 10,015 kbps 1,536 kbps 102,400 kbps 0.0% 0.0% (n=50)11,264 kbps



Idaho (n=36)	13,100 kbps	8,032 kbps	1,536 kbps	102,400 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Illinois	10,100 1.000	0,002 110 pc	1,000 11000	,	0.070	0.070
(n=94)	41,744 kbps	5,632 kbps	1,024 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	20.1%	2.8%
Indiana						
(n=45)	33,453 kbps	16,384 kbps	100 kbps	512,000 kbps	39.7%	6.4%
lowa						
(n=30)	12,848 kbps	6,144 kbps	512 kbps	102,400 kbps	35.1%	1.8%
Kansas	05 500 khma	E 100 libra	750 libra	1 0 40 E7C kb = -	42.00/	0.00/
(n=48) Kentucky	25,580 kbps	5,120 kbps	750 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	13.8%	0.0%
(n=31)	18,684 kbps	12,288 kbps	676 kbps	51,200 kbps	25.8%	0.0%
Louisiana	10,004 kbps	12,200 Kbp3	ото кора	31,200 Kbp3	23.070	0.070
(n=73)	45,726 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,536 kbps	512,000 kbps	17.8%	1.2%
Maryland	10,120 1000	10,210 110,00	1,000 1000	012,000 Kapo	11.070	1.270
(n=40)	195,584 kbps	33,280 kbps	8,192 kbps	2,097,152 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts	, ,	,	, ,	, ,		
(n=36)	23,655 kbps	18,831 kbps	647 kbps	102,400 kbps	51.6%	4.6%
Michigan						
(n=88)	49,925 kbps	10,240 kbps	586 kbps	3,072,000 kbps	19.8%	*
Minnesota						
(n=18)	24,385 kbps	5,868 kbps	687 kbps	409,600 kbps	28.2%	8.1%
Mississippi	7.44511	4 500 11	05011	004 000 11	40.00/	0.00/
(n=62)	7,445 kbps	1,536 kbps	256 kbps	204,800 kbps	12.9%	0.0%
Missouri (n=29)	37,191 kbps	5,120 kbps	1,024 kbps	1,024,000 kbps	49.5%	6.8%
Nebraska	31,131 KDPS	3,120 Kbps	1,024 KDP5	1,024,000 Kbps	43.370	0.076
(n=52)	36,800 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,536 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	35.1%	3.1%
Nevada	00,000 11000	10,210 110,00	1,000 11000	1,010,010100	33.170	0.170
(n=15)	44,568 kbps	13,552 kbps	1,536 kbps	102,400 kbps	11.7%	0.0%
New Hampshire		,		,		
(n=30)	15,820 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,526 kbps	117,043 kbps	17.0%	1.7%
New Jersey						
(n=24)	56,233 kbps	51,200 kbps	3,072 kbps	102,400 kbps	58.7%	0.0%
New Mexico		- 400 11	540. 11	40.000.11	0.00/	0.00/
(n=15)	7,814 kbps	5,120 kbps	512 kbps	12,288 kbps	3.2%	0.0%
New York (n=119)	28,490 kbps	10,240 kbps	768 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	49.0%	2.2%
North Carolina	20,490 KDPS	10,240 kbps	700 KDPS	1,040,570 Kbps	49.0 /0	Z.Z /0
(n=82)	32,545 kbps	11,098 kbps	1,024 kbps	256,000 kbps	25.6%	1.1%
Ohio	02,040 Kbp3	11,000 Kbp0	1,024 1000	200,000 Kbp5	20.070	1.170
(n=70)	34,377 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,536 kbps	512,000 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	, ,	,	, ,	, ,		
(n=30)	142,294 kbps	30,720 kbps	1,536 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	36.9%	6.8%
Pennsylvania						
(n=93)	187,310 kbps	25,600 kbps	768 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	7.2%	*
Rhode Island	40.55444		= 40c · ·	0=045	0.657	0.634
(n=5)	12,504 kbps	8,345 kbps	5,120 kbps	27,648 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
South Carolina	22.000.11	40.040.11	4.500.11	400 400 11	45.00/	0.00/
(n=21)	33,089 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,536 kbps	102,400 kbps	15.3%	0.0%



South Dakota						
(n=31)	11,349 kbps	6,144 kbps	481 kbps	55,296 kbps	21.9%	1.2%
Texas						
(n=129)	40,355 kbps	10,240 kbps	256 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	40.7%	2.9%
Utah						
(n=27)	42,033 kbps	8,499 kbps	800 kbps	437,555 kbps	25.0%	0.0%
Vermont						
(n=25)	20,753 kbps	17,213 kbps	2,437 kbps	102,400 kbps	52.8%	17.6%
Virginia						
(n=52)	51,430 kbps	10,240 kbps	768 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	38.0%	6.1%
Washington						
(n=46)	46,531 kbps	51,200 kbps	100 kbps	102,400 kbps	29.5%	0.0%
Washington, DC						
(n=23)	51,200 kbps	51,200 kbps	51,200 kbps	51,200 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
West Virginia						
(n=62)	2,299 kbps	1,536 kbps	1,536 kbps	20,480 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin						
(n=64)	10,831 kbps	3,072 kbps	512 kbps	102,400 kbps	7.3%	0.9%
Wyoming						
(n=16)	9,718 kbps	4,090 kbps	1,024 kbps	51,200 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
National	E2 061 khno	10 240 kbma	100 kbps	3,072,000 kbps	34.0 %	3.3%
(n=2,183)	53,961 kbps	10,240 kbps	•	,	(n=3,265)	(n=2,225)

Key: *: insufficient data to report

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.

^{*} A large percentage of libraries reported "don't know" or "not provided by provider" to this question, thus responses are not technically missing a survey response. However, download broadband connectivity was not reported for large numbers of libraries (n=5642).

Figure 6: Public Library Outlets Subscribed Upload Speed, by State, in Kilobits Per Second						
	•	Upload Speeds				
State	Mean Speed	Median Speed	Minimum Speed	Maximum Speed	Don't Know	Not Provided by Provider
Alabama (n=41)	27,916 kbps	5,120 kbps	645 kbps	235,520 kbps	37.8%	*
Alaska (n=38)	3,705 kbps	1,536 kbps	308 kbps	25,600 kbps	14.0%	0.0%
Arizona (n=34)	80,960 kbps	20,480 kbps	512 kbps	307,200 kbps	7.9%	0.0%
Arkansas (n=16)	7,454 kbps	4,184 kbps	546 kbps	40,960 kbps	9.0%	0.0%
California (n=134)	31,741 kbps	16,384 kbps	384 kbps	1,024,000 kbps	15.5%	1.6%
Colorado (n=54)	20,952 kbps	10,240 kbps	440 kbps	113,152 kbps	24.7%	5.2%
Connecticut (n=60)	310,264 kbps	3,072 kbps	563 kbps	1,024,000 kbps	2.2%	0.0%
Delaware (n=12)	102,400 kbps	102,400 kbps	102,400 kbps	102,400 kbps	0.0%	0.0%



	1			1		1
Florida (n=83)	32,602 kbps	10,240 kbps	512 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	9.4%	2.3%
Hawaii	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,,		,,		
(n=50)	1,024 kbps	1,024 kbps	1,024 kbps	1,024 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Idaho						
(n=36)	10,803 kbps	3,072	488 kbps	102,400 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Illinois						
(n=94)	30,999 kbps	5,120 kbps	256 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	20.6%	2.8%
Indiana						
(n=45)	30,005 kbps	6,144 kbps	100 kbps	512,000 kbps	39.7%	6.4%
lowa						
(n=30)	8,005 kbps	1,536 kbps	440 kbps	102,400 kbps	35.1%	1.8%
Kansas						
(n=48)	20,768 kbps	3,072 kbps	512 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	13.8%	0.0%
Kentucky						
(n=31)	6,818 kbps	5,120 kbps	379 kbps	51,200 kbps	25.8%	0.0%
Louisiana						
(n=65)	44,294 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,229 kbps	512,000 kbps	26.7%	1.3%
Maryland						
(n=40)	191,311 kbps	15,360 kbps	1,024 kbps	2,097,152 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Massachusetts						
(n=36)	11,206 kbps	4,813 kbps	120 kbps	102,400 kbps	51.6%	4.6%
Michigan						
(n=88)	38,940 kbps	10,072 kbps	205 kbps	3,072,000 kbps	19.8%	*
Minnesota						
(n=18)	23,695 kbps	7,168 kbps	512 kbps	409,600 kbps	28.2%	8.1%
Mississippi						
(n=62)	7,155 kbps	1,536 kbps	256 kbps	204,800 kbps	12.9%	0.0%
Missouri						
(n=29)	34,250 kbps	3,072 kbps	700 kbps	1,024,000 kbps	49.5%	6.8%
Nebraska						
(n=52)	33,318 kbps	5,120 kbps	384 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	35.1%	3.1%
Nevada						
(n=15)	38,697 kbps	5,801 kbps	284 kbps	102,400 kbps	11.7%	0.0%
New Hampshire						
(n=30)	6,740 kbps	6,005 kbps	358 kbps	40,960 kbps	17.0%	1.7%
New Jersey						
(n=24)	34,384 kbps	18,944 kbps	1,024 kbps	102,400 kbps	58.7%	0.0%
New Mexico						
(n=15)	6,931 kbps	5,120 kbps	102 kbps	30,720 kbps	3.2%	0.0%
New York						
(n=119)	22,001 kbps	6,369 kbps	200 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	49.0%	3.0%
North Carolina						
(n=82)	22,109 kbps	9,011 kbps	703 kbps	256,000 kbps	25.6%	1.1%
Ohio						
(n=70)	30,318 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,536 kbps	512,000 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon						
(n=30)	130,043 kbps	10,240 kbps	768 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	36.9%	6.8%
Pennsylvania						
(n=93)	180,233 kbps	10,240 kbps	224 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	7.2%	*



Rhode Island	40.700.11	5.400.11	0.070.11	04.570.11	0.00/	0.00/
(n=5)	10,702 kbps	5,120 kbps	3,072 kbps	24,576 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
South Carolina						
(n=21)	33,089 kbps	10,240 kbps	1,536 kbps	102,400 kbps	15.3%	0.0%
South Dakota						
(n=31)	11,042 kbps	6,073 kbps	481 kbps	55,296 kbps	21.9%	1.2%
Texas						
(n=129)	31,532 kbps	10,240 kbps	128 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	40.7%	2.9%
Útah			•	·		
(n=27)	19,933 kbps	8,294 kbps	410 kbps	102,400 kbps	25.0%	0.0%
Vermont						
(n=25)	13,896 kbps	5,786 kbps	430 kbps	102,400 kbps	52.8%	17.6%
Virginia			•			
(n=52)	44,509 kbps	10,240 kbps	512 kbps	1,048,576 kbps	38.0%	6.1%
Washington			•	·		
(n=46)	36,430 kbps	25,600 kbps	100 kbps	102,400 kbps	29.5%	0.0%
Washington, DC			•			
(n=23)	40,960 kbps	40,960 kbps	40,960 kbps	40,960 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
West Virginia			•			
(n=62)	1,882 kbps	1,536 kbps	1,536 kbps	20,480 kbps	0.0%	0.0%
Wisconsin						
(n=64)	8,132 kbps	3,072 kbps	512 kbps	102,400 kbps	7.3%	0.9%
Wyoming			•			
(n=16)	4,707 kbps	1,536 kbps	1,024 kbps	51,200 kbps	0.0%	2.2%
National	<i>1</i> = 100		100 kbps	3,072,000 kbps	34.2 %	3.4%
(n=2,183)	47,190 kbps	6,144 kbps	100 11000	5,512,000 KSp0	(n=3,265)	(n=2,248)
16 * ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '				1	1 -,/	, , -/

Key: *: insufficient data to report

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.

^{*} A large percentage of libraries reported "don't know" or "not provided by provider" to this question, thus responses are not technically missing a survey response. However, download broadband connectivity was not reported for large numbers of libraries (n=5667).

Figure 7: Public Library Outlets Reporting Fiber Optic Internet Connection, by State				
State	Outlets Reporting Fiber Optic Internet Connection			
Alabama				
(n=78)	19.8%			
Alaska				
(n=51)	24.1%			
Arizona				
(n=52)	45.2%			
Arkansas				
(n=32)	12.1%			
California				
(n=162)	45.0%			
Colorado				
(n=74)	28.9%			
Connecticut				
(n=61)	44.3%			



Delaware	
(n=12)	100.0%
Florida	
(n=95)	39.4%
Hawaii	
(n=50)	0.0%
Idaho	
(n=44)	36.5%
Illinois	
(n=159)	24.6%
Indiana	
(n=75)	42.9%
lowa	
(n=64)	27.3%
Kansas	
(n=100)	35.2%
Kentucky	
(n=41)	18.5%
Louisiana	47.404
(n=91)	47.1%
Maryland	-1.0 0/
(n=58)	54.2%
Massachusetts	45.00/
(n=76)	15.2%
Michigan	40.70/
(n=125)	42.7%
Minnesota	C4 20/
(n=48)	61.3%
Mississippi	17 00/
(n=89)	17.2%
Missouri (n=54)	42.5%
Nebraska	42.370
(n=81)	25.0%
Nevada	ZJ.U /0
(n=19)	18.5%
New Hampshire	10.070
(n=64)	8.7%
New Jersey	V.1 /V
(n=52)	28.3%
New Mexico	20.070
(n=31)	32.4%
New York	VIII.
(n=235)	28.7%
North Carolina	
(n=110)	59.0%
Ohio	
(n=70)	58.9%
Oregon	
(n=49)	54.4%



Pennsylvania (n=150)	40.3%
Rhode Island	
(n=16)	14.3%
South Carolina	
(n=37)	67.3%
South Dakota	
(n=80)	36.5%
Texas	
(n=219)	45.9%
Utah	
(n=36)	38.9%
Vermont	
(n=58)	21.4%
Virginia	
(n=87)	33.0%
Washington	
(n=66)	47.2%
Washington, DC	
(n=23)	100.0%
West Virginia	
(n=62)	14.5%
Wisconsin	
(n=70)	31.5%
Wyoming	
(n=32)	20.0%
National	
(n=3,338)	35.9%
Key: *: insufficient data to report	
Table only displays percentages for affirmative response	onses.

Figure 8: Public Library Outlets Reporting a Desire to Increase Broadband Connectivity, by State				
State	Outlets Reporting Desire to Increase Broadband			
Alabama				
(n=78)	73.2%			
Alaska				
(n=51)	78.5%			
Arizona				
(n=52)	48.6%			
Arkansas				
(n=32)	73.6%			
California				
(n=162)	75.0%			
Colorado				
(n=74)	74.0%			
Connecticut				
(n=61)	64.0%			



Delaware	
(n=12)	50.0%
Florida	
(n=95)	52.1%
Hawaii	
(n=50)	84.0%
Idaho	
(n=44)	68.2%
Illinois	
(n=159)	69.2%
Indiana	
(n=75)	72.8%
lowa	
(n=64)	62.7%
Kansas	
(n=100)	64.8%
Kentucky	
(n=41)	60.0%
Louisiana	
(n=91)	78.4%
Maryland	
(n=58)	86.4%
Massachusetts	
(n=76)	63.6%
Michigan	
(n=125)	58.0%
Minnesota	
(n=48)	46.8%
Mississippi	
(n=89)	82.7%
Missouri	
(n=54)	47.8%
Nebraska	
(n=81)	41.0%
Nevada	
(n=19)	67.9%
New Hampshire	
(n=64)	65.4%
New Jersey	70.70/
(n=52)	70.7%
New Mexico	20.00/
(n=31)	63.2%
New York	20.004
(n=235)	69.0%
North Carolina	70.00/
(n=110)	72.9%
Ohio (70)	FO FO/
(n=70)	53.5%
Oregon	07.00/
(n=49)	67.6%



Pennsylvania (n=150)	53.5%
Rhode Island	••••
(n=16)	60.7%
South Carolina	
(n=37)	54.5%
South Dakota	
(n=80)	41.7%
Texas	
(n=219)	71.3%
Utah	
(n=36)	47.2%
Vermont	
(n=58)	58.8%
Virginia	
(n=87)	75.7%
Washington	
(n=66)	56.8%
Washington, DC	
(n=23)	100.0%
West Virginia	
(n=62)	88.4%
Wisconsin	
(n=70)	66.1%
Wyoming	75.00/
(n=32)	75.6%
National	
(n=3,338)	65.2%
Key: *: insufficient data to report	
Table only displays percentages for affirmative res	ponses.



Figure 9: Factors that affect the ability of Public Library Outlets to Increase Broadband Connectivity, by State (0 = Strongly Disagree, 4 = Strongly Agree)

State		Factors Affecting Broadband					
	This is the maximum speed available to the library branch	The library cannot afford the cost of increasing the branch's bandwidth	City/county/other entities makes decisions regarding the branch's bandwidth	The library does not have the technical knowledge to increase the bandwidth in the branch	Other		
Alabama							
(n=57)	1.8	2.5	1.4	1.2	0.1		
Alaska							
(n=38)	2.2	2.9	1.9	1.1	0.3		
Arizona							
(n=29)	2.4	2.4	1.9	1.0	0.0		
Arkansas							
(n=23)	1.4	2.6	1.2	1.3	0.0		
California							
(n=122)	1.3	2.3	2.1	0.8	0.1		
Colorado							
(n=56)	1.9	2.4	1.1	0.7	0.2		
Connecticut							
(n=37)	2.0	2.2	2.4	1.8	0.3		
Delaware							
(n=6)	1.5	2.4	3.6	2.2	0.0		
Florida							
(n=51)	2.5	2.8	3.4	1.0	0.0		
Hawaii							
(n=42)	2.8	2.7	3.0	2.5	*		
Ìdaho							
(n=28)	2.6	2.6	0.7	0.9	0.0		
Illinois							
(n=106)	1.7	2.6	1.0	0.9	0.2		
Indiana							
(n=55)	1.2	2.8	0.6	0.7	0.1		



lowa					
(n=42)	2.1	2.7	1.6	1.3	0.1
Kansas					
(n=65)	1.4	3.1	0.9	1.0	0.1
Kentucky					
(n=26)	1.8	2.8	0.6	0.8	0.2
Louisiana					
(n=71)	1.2	2.3	0.9	0.9	0.4
Maryland					
(n=50)	0.5	1.1	1.7	0.1	0.2
Massachusetts					
(n=50)	1.8	2.4	1.7	1.2	0.3
Michigan					
(n=70)	1.6	2.8	0.6	0.6	0.1
Minnesota					
(n=20)	1.8	2.3	2.0	0.8	0.1
Mississippi	4.0		4.4	0.0	0.4
(n=73)	1.0	2.9	1.4	0.8	0.1
Missouri	4.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0
(n=27)	1.6	2.3	1.4	0.8	0.2
Nebraska	0.7	0.0	4.7	4.0	0.4
(n=33)	2.7	2.8	1.7	1.3	0.1
Nevada	4.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
(n=12)	1.6	3.0	1.0	0.9	0.3
New Hampshire (n=42)	2.3	3.0	1.2	1.8	0.0
New Jersey	2.3	3.0	1.2	1.0	0.0
(n=37)	1.7	2.5	1.3	1.6	0.1
New Mexico	1.1	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.1
(n=20)	2.2	3.0	2.2	1.3	0.4
New York		0.0	۷.۲	1.0	V. T
(n=162)	1.8	3.0	1.5	1.0	0.2
North Carolina	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	V. <u>L</u>
(n=81)	1.7	2.9	2.0	0.7	0.6
\ \	1.11	2.0	2.0	V.1	0.0



Ohio					
(n=40)	0.8	2.6	1.0	0.6	0.0
Oregon					
(n=33)	1.4	3.1	1.6	1.1	0.0
Pennsylvania					
(n=80)	1.7	2.6	1.5	1.4	0.2
Rhode Island					
(n=11)	2.4	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.0
South Carolina					
(n=20)	2.4	2.3	2.2	1.2	0.0
South Dakota					
(n=33)	2.6	2.4	1.9	1.6	0.1
Texas					
(n=156)	1.9	2.7	2.2	1.1	0.1
Utah					
(n=17)	1.3	2.9	3.0	0.7	0.0
Vermont					
(n=34)	1.6	2.3	1.2	0.9	0.4
Virginia					
(n=66)	1.3	2.7	2.2	1.0	0.1
Washington					
(n=40)	1.5	2.0	0.4	0.3	1.1
Washington, DC					
(n=23)	2.9	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
West Virginia					
(n=55)	2.3	3.0	2.9	1.5	0.1
Wisconsin					
(n=48)	1.9	3.0	1.9	1.1	0.1
Wyoming					
(n=24)	2.7	2.6	1.5	1.3	0.0
National					0.16
(n=2,211)	1.7	2.6	1.6	1.0	(n=2,169)
Var. *. increfficient data to non		ı.	l		

Key: *: insufficient data to report

* Other factors affecting broadband was not reported for less than 1.0% of libraries (n=68).



Figure 10: Tech	nologies that P	ublic Library C	Outlets Make A	vailable to Pat	rons, by State					
State	Color printer(s)	Wireless Printing	Scanner(s)	Laptop(s)	Tablet computer(s)	E-reader(s)	Cross-platform e-book access platforms	Recreational gaming console(s)	Smart technology object(s)	Digital display(s)
Alabama (n=78)	89.7%	39.2%	45.4%	38.1%	5.2%	11.5%	38.1%	11.3%	9.3%	9.3%
Alaska (n=51)	91.1%	36.7%	70.9%	89.9%	49.4%	54.4%	48.1%	11.4%	20.3%	20.3%
Arizona (n=52)	93.1%	73.6%	88.9%	68.1%	25.0%	27.8%	90.3%	13.9%	19.4%	19.4%
Arkansas (n=32)	81.3%	12.1%	31.9%	31.9%	6.6%	4.4%	39.6%	15.2%	4.4%	4.4%
California (n=162)	87.4%	35.0%	29.0%	26.0%	21.1%	25.6%	84.8%	17.9%	5.4%	31.4%
Colorado (n=74)	93.4%	38.2%	71.1%	67.1%	31.6%	38.2%	80.3%	21.1%	7.9%	32.9%
Connecticut (n=61)	93.2%	36.4%	57.3%	50.0%	26.1%	57.3%	82.0%	9.0%	27.3%	27.3%
Delaware (n=12)	100.0%	6.3%	18.8%	31.3%	6.3%	0.0%	93.8%	18.8%	50.0%	50.0%
Florida (n=95)	86.6%	29.6%	18.3%	44.8%	9.2%	5.6%	71.8%	34.5%	21.8%	21.8%
Hawaii (n=50)	54.0%	2.0%	0.0% (n=49)	62.0%	2.0%	0.0%	96.0%	2.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Idaho (n=44)	89.4%	30.6%	51.2%	49.4%	16.5%	17.6%	69.0%	18.8%	6.0%	6.0%
Illinois (n=159)	96.4%	50.2%	80.9%	44.9%	23.2%	48.4%	77.7%	10.2%	23.2%	23.2%



1 12										
Indiana (n=75)	96.8%	35.2%	77.8%	39.2%	21.6%	12.8%	83.2%	8.7%	22.4%	22.4%
lowa			,.	***	=11070	12.070				==:://
(n=64)	98.2%	47.7%	77.1%	41.3%	9.2%	22.9%	66.1%	15.6%	13.8%	13.8%
Kansas										
(n=100)	98.1%	34.3%	70.5%	42.9%	17.1%	25.7%	61.0%	13.3%	7.6%	7.6%
Kentucky										
(n=41)	90.9%	43.9%	75.4%	84.8%	18.2%	37.9%	77.3%	12.1%	25.8%	25.8%
Louisiana										
(n=91)	95.1%	16.7%	56.4%	75.5%	18.8%	17.6%	70.6%	21.6%	2.0%	2.0%
Maryland										
(n=58)	98.3%	35.6%	16.9%	6.8%	10.2%	18.6%	89.8%	22.0%	15.3%	15.3%
Massachusetts										
(n=76)	98.5%	26.0%	52.7%	33.6%	19.8%	52.7%	80.9%	16.0%	22.7%	22.7%
Michigan										
(n=125)	98.1%	31.2%	67.5%	38.9%	6.4%	14.6%	73.9%	7.0%	21.0%	21.0%
Minnesota					4				4.2.407	10 101
(n=48)	86.6%	19.6%	47.3%	10.7%	15.2%	16.1%	75.0%	0.9%	13.4%	13.4%
Mississippi	0.4.007	40.00/	00.00/	00.00/	0.00/	40.40/	40 =0/	0.40/	00.40/	22.40/
(n=89)	81.8%	12.2%	30.3%	30.3%	2.0%	19.4%	46.5%	3.1%	20.4%	20.4%
Missouri	0.5.00/	0= =0/	0= =0/	00.00/	40.00/	04.00/	40.50/	44.50/	40 =0/	40 70/
(n=54)	95.6%	25.7%	65.5%	28.3%	16.8%	21.2%	42.5%	11.5%	16.7%	16.7%
Nebraska (n=81)	96.0%	53.0%	79.0%	71.0%	13.0%	16.0%	52.0%	13.0%	10.0%	10.0%
Nevada	90.0%	53.0%	79.0%	71.0%	13.0%	10.0%	32.0%	13.0%	10.0%	10.0%
(n=19)	92.9%	53.6%	53.6%	39.3%	3.6%	17.9%	85.7%	14.3%	3.6%	21.4%
New Hampshire	32.370	33.0 //	33.0 //	39.3 /0	3.070	17.970	05.7 /0	14.570	3.070	21.4/0
(n=64)	92.3%	39.4%	57.7%	42.3%	20.2%	42.3%	77.1%	7.7%	0.0%	11.5%
New Jersey	02.070	33.175	5 11170	12.070	20:270	.2.0,0	,0	111 /0	0.070	111070
(n=52)	97.8%	27.2%	48.9%	41.3%	23.9%	35.9%	78.3%	9.8%	5.4%	21.7%
New Mexico										
(n=31)	75.7%	42.1%	57.9%	42.1%	10.5%	48.6%	62.2%	23.7%	2.7%	13.2%
New York										
(n=235)	93.9%	28.2%	51.7%	42.9%	21.8%	30.7%	72.8%	19.5%	10.3%	22.2%



North Carolina										
(n=110)	96.6%	35.0%	63.2%	44.4%	16.2%	32.5%	74.4%	19.7%	13.7%	13.7%
Ohio (n=70)	94.6%	27.0%	65.4%	20.0%	9.2%	9.2%	78.5%	5.9%	26.5%	26.5%
Oregon (n=49)	75.0%	11.8%	42.0%	18.8%	7.4%	16.2%	86.8%	2.9%	7.4%	7.4%
Pennsylvania (n=150)	91.2%	35.4%	47.8%	30.2%	22.0%	32.1%	79.2%	15.1%	12.6%	12.6%
Rhode Island (n=16)	100.0%	53.6%	50.0%	69.0%	10.7%	39.3%	85.7%	28.6%	7.1%	7.1%
South Carolina (n=37)	94.5%	43.6%	29.1%	41.8%	3.6%	12.7%	81.8%	10.9%	29.1%	29.1%
South Dakota										
(n=80) Texas	89.7%	37.5%	51.5%	22.9%	10.4%	14.6%	38.1%	2.1%	1.0%	2.1%
(n=219) Utah	87.8%	32.2%	47.4%	41.3%	14.3%	10.0%	62.2%	12.6%	7.8%	16.5%
(n=36)	97.2%	44.4%	61.1%	41.7%	13.9%	11.1%	83.3%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%
Vermont (n=58)	98.0%	48.0%	70.4%	44.9%	9.2%	37.1%	65.3%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Virginia (n=87)	96.2%	25.0%	43.7%	15.4%	3.8%	8.7%	74.8%	6.8%	29.8%	29.8%
Washington (n=66)	90.9%	35.2%	40.4%	52.3%	10.1%	15.9%	93.2%	21.6%	11.4%	11.4%
Washington, DC (n=23)	100.0%	4.3%	100.0%	100.0%	4.3%	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%
West Virginia (n=62)	84.1%	11.6%	43.5%	13.0%	13.0%	14.5%	56.5%	7.2%	4.3%	4.3%
Wisconsin (n=70)	98.4%	14.5%	68.5%	54.8%	18.5%	50.8%	82.3%	21.0%	11.3%	11.3%
Wyoming (n=32)	100.0%	13.3%	80.0%	53.3%	6.7%	55.6%	84.1%	28.9%	2.2%	2.2%
National (n=3,338)	92.2%	32.4%	55.0%	41.2%	15.6%	25.2%	71.2%	13.5%	13.8%	17.4%



Key: -- = No data to report

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses. * Scanners offered was not reported for less than 1.0% of libraries (n=5).

Figure 11: T	Technology Ser	vices and Reso	ources that Pub	lic Library Out	lets Make Avai	lable to Patron	s, by State			
State	Digital/virtual reference	Licensed databases	E-books	Online Homework Assistance	Online job/employment resources	Online language learning	Digitized special collection(s)	Mobile device- enabled Website	Mobile apps	Work space(s) for mobile workers
Alabama	52.69/	1000/	64.00/	1009/	01.70/	20.20/	24 20/	22.00/	20.00/	E0 E9/
(n=78) Alaska	52.6%	100%	64.9%	100%	91.7%	39.2%	31.3%	22.9%	30.9%	50.5%
(n=51)	94.9%	100%	64.1%	100%	83.5%	64.1%	46.8%	19.0%	14.1%	53.2%
Arizona (n=52)	45.8%	100%	100%	93.2%	93.2%	98.6%	63.9%	15.3%	54.8%	52.8%
Arkansas (n=32)	74.7%	100%	63.7%	61.5%	61.5%	47.3%	13.2%	42.9%	48.4%	65.9%
California (n=162)	100%	100%	96.9%	100%	97.7%	70.4%	61.4%	56.1%	58.7%	49.6%
Colorado (n=74)	100%	100%	82.9%	90.8%	94.7%	61.8%	48.7%	48.7%	53.9%	75.0%
Connecticut (n=61)	100%	100%	93.2%	94.3%	97.7%	47.7%	37.5%	45.5%	48.9%	60.7%
Delaware (n=12)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	43.8%	31.3%	68.8%	75.0%	50.0%
Florida (n=95)	100%	100%	93.7%	100%	95.1%	80.4%	64.1%	38.0%	66.9%	47.9%
Hawaii (n=50)	100%	100%	100% (n=49)	94.0%	100%	100%	12.0%	100%	55.1% (n=49)	2.00%



Idaho										
(n=44)	52.4%	100%	80.0%	100%	96.4%	23.5%	13.1%	15.5%	15.3%	40.0%
Illinois										
(n=159)	97.8%	100%	90.6%	95.5%	92.9%	45.1%	24.9%	40.2%	39.3%	62.5%
Indiana										
(n=75)	100%	100%	94.4%	96.8%	96.8%	48.4%	47.2%	29.4%	26.4%	47.6%
lowa										
(n=64)	100%	100%	83.5%	100%	99.1%	15.6%	36.4%	20.2%	23.9%	52.3%
Kansas										
(n=100)	92.4%	100%	67.6%	96.2%	95.2%	56.2%	32.7%	20.0%	30.5%	61.0%
Kentucky	4000/	4000/	02.00/	4000/	4000/	CO C0/	20.00/	F2 00/	40.00/	40.00/
(n=41)	100%	100%	93.8%	100%	100%	60.6%	30.8%	53.8%	13.6%	46.2%
Louisiana (n=91)	100%	100%	91.2%	97.0%	97.0%	90.1%	53.9%	38.6%	42.6%	58.8%
Maryland	10070	10070	31.270	37.070	31.070	30.170	33.370	30.070	42.070	30.070
(n=58)	100%	100%	96.6%	100%	100%	91.5%	71.2%	81.4%	76.3%	25.4%
Massachusetts										
(n=76)	98.5%	100%	94.7%	94.7%	94.7%	57.3%	64.9%	45.0%	35.1%	56.5%
Michigan										
(n=125)	100%	100%	96.8%	94.3%	94.3%	39.5%	53.2%	18.5%	34.4%	51.9%
Minnesota										
(n=48)	94.6%	100%	99.1%	97.3%	99.1%	52.7%	54.5%	19.8%	43.8%	64.0%
Mississippi										
(n=89)	28.6%	100%	76.5%	93.9%	93.9%	27.6%	15.2%	19.2%	22.4%	41.8%
Missouri	40.70/	4000/	04.40/	07.00/	00.00/	20.00/	47.00/	04.00/	05.40/	50.00/
(n=54)	40.7%	100%	81.4%	97.3%	98.2%	38.9%	47.8%	31.9%	35.4%	52.6%
Nebraska (n=81)	91.0%	100%	63.0%	94.0%	99.0%	9.0%	32.3%	18.0%	18.0%	59.0%
Nevada	91.0%	100%	03.0%	94.0%	99.0%	9.0%	32.3%	10.0%	10.0%	59.0%
(n=19)	100%	100%	89.3%	100%	100%	75.0%	28.6%	32.1%	42.9%	50.0%
New Hampshire	100 /0	100 /0	03.070	100 /0	10070	1 3.0 /0	20.070	JZ. 1 /0	72.370	30.070
(n=64)	95.2%	100%	93.3%	98.1%	96.2%	26.0%	26.9%	35.6%	27.6%	54.3%
New Jersey										
(n=52)	100%	100%	89.1%	94.6%	97.8%	42.4%	40.2%	67.4%	52.2%	50.0%



New Mexico (n=31)	94.6%	100%	75.7%	100%	97.3%	64.9%	16.2%	40.5%	45.9%	43.2%
New York	34.070	10070	10.170	10070	31.370	04.570	10.270	40.070	40.570	TO.2 /0
(n=235)	96.6%	100%	93.8%	99.2%	98.5%	49.4%	46.4%	47.5%	47.9%	47.7%
North Carolina										
(n=110)	98.3%	100%	93.2%	97.4%	98.3%	45.3%	56.8%	38.5%	36.4%	47.5%
Ohio										
(n=70)	100%	100%	95.1%	97.3%	91.9%	84.9%	51.9%	65.8%	38.9%	72.4%
Oregon										
(n=49)	95.6%	100%	91.2%	97.1%	97.1%	26.5%	18.8%	35.3%	53.6%	39.1%
Pennsylvania (n=150)	98.1%	100%	96.9%	98.7%	93.1%	65.4%	41.5%	38.4%	32.7%	64.2%
Rhode Island										
(n=16)	100%	100%	100%	100%	92.9%	100%	60.7%	64.3%	53.6%	28.6%
South Carolina										
(n=37)	100%	100%	98.2%	100%	100%	65.5%	56.4%	52.7%	27.3%	29.1%
South Dakota										
(n=80)	34.4%	100%	68.8%	92.8%	95.8%	37.1%	26.8%	12.4%	16.5%	49.0%
Texas	00.70/	4000/	77.00/	04.00/	00.00/	54.70/	00.00/	07.00/	55.00/	50.00/
(n=219)	98.7%	100%	77.0%	91.3%	92.6%	51.7%	38.3%	37.0%	55.2%	50.0%
Utah (n=36)	94.4%	100%	100%	100%	94.4%	52.8%	58.3%	38.9%	38.9%	44.4%
Vermont	34.470	100 /6	100 /0	100 /0	34.470	J2.0 /0	30.370	30.370	30.976	44.470
(n=58)	100%	100%	83.7%	96.9%	95.9%	66.3%	19.4%	25.5%	17.5%	63.9%
Virginia										
(n=87)	73.8%	100%	99.0%	97.1%	92.3%	51.9%	40.8%	56.3%	64.4%	61.5%
Washington										
(n=66)	100%	100%	96.6%	98.9%	98.9%	86.4%	36.4%	59.1%	56.2%	57.3%
Washington, DC										
(n=23)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	4.3%
West Virginia	00.00/	4000/	00.00/	00.40/	4000/	00.00/	4.4.70/	45.00/	0.4.00/	44.00/
(n=62)	98.6%	100%	98.6%	88.4%	100%	36.8%	14.7%	15.9%	34.8%	44.9%
Wisconsin	1000/	1000/	06.09/	00.40/	00.20/	27 10/	42 40/	40 00/	40.40/	EQ 40/
(n=70)	100%	100%	96.0%	98.4%	99.2%	37.1%	43.1%	48.8%	48.4%	58.4%



Wyoming (n=32)	100%	100%	88.9%	93.3%	84.4%	86.7%	57.8%	46.7%	52.3%	46.7%
National (n=3,338)	89.8%	100%	88.5%	96.1%	95.1%	54.2%	41.9%	39.4%	41.1%	52.8%

Key: -- = No data to report

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.

* Other services and resources offered was not reported for less than 1.0% of libraries (n=62).



Figure 12: Public Lik	orary Outlets Offering Techno	logies and Resour	ces that Comply with	the Americans with	Disabilities Act,	by State
			Techno	logies		
State	The library's Public Access Computers	The library's laptop	The library's mobile devices (e.g., e-book readers, tablets)	The library's printers/scanners/co py machines	The library's Website	The licensed resources used by the library (e.g., Gale Cengage, EBSCO, online services)
Alabama	0.4.40/	40.00/	40.00/	74.00/	F2 C0/	40.00/
(n=78)	84.4%	40.2%	19.6%	74.2%	53.6%	46.9%
Alaska (n=51)	71.8%	59.5%	41.3%	46.8%	45.6%	31.6%
Arizona	1 11676	00.070		10.070	101070	0.11070
(n=52)	84.9%	59.7%	60.3%	77.8%	71.2%	74.0%
Arkansas						
(n=32)	61.5%	11.0%	5.5%	40.7%	38.5%	41.3%
California						
(n=162)	66.8%	12.1%	24.7%	56.3%	73.5%	66.4%
Colorado		00.00/	05.00/	50.00 /	=0.00 <i>/</i>	10.40/
(n=74)	72.4%	36.8%	25.3%	53.3%	52.6%	49.4%
Connecticut (n=61)	51.7%	28.1%	21.6%	33.0%	28.1%	43.8%
Delaware	01.770	20.170	21.070	00.070	20.170	40.070
(n=12)	81.3%	25.0%	0.0%	41.2%	43.8%	31.3%
Florida						
(n=95)	62.0%	11.3%	2.8%	32.9%	42.6%	43.0%
Hawaii	100%	79.6%	18.8%			98.0%
(n=50)	(n=39)	(n=49)	(n=48)	74.0%	66.0%	(n=49)
Idaho						
(n=44)	81.2%	34.1%	9.5%	64.3%	75.6%	38.8%
Illinois						
(n=159)	79.9%	37.9%	39.6%	57.1%	58.2%	38.1%
Indiana						
(n=75)	81.0%	32.8%	24.0%	63.5%	69.6%	51.2%
lowa	85.3%	24.8%	16.5%	74.3%	58.2%	63.3%



(n=64)						
Kansas						
(n=100)	76.2%	37.1%	20.0%	61.9%	52.9%	40.0%
Kentucky						
(n=41)	80.0%	56.3%	26.2%	54.5%	76.9%	51.5%
Louisiana						
(n=91)	77.2%	53.5%	24.8%	56.9%	50.0%	57.8%
Maryland						
(n=58)	44.8%	10.2%	16.9%	37.9%	94.9%	28.8%
Massachusetts						
(n=76)	58.8%	13.7%	15.3%	33.8%	39.7%	37.4%
Michigan						
(n=125)	73.2%	35.0%	13.3%	47.1%	51.6%	31.8%
Minnesota						
(n=48)	59.5%	7.1%	12.5%	42.3%	38.4%	30.4%
Mississippi						
(n=89)	64.6%	24.5%	8.2%	51.0%	51.0%	45.4%
Missouri						
(n=54)	76.1%	30.7%	34.5%	60.2%	47.8%	61.9%
Nebraska						
(n=81)	70.0%	39.6%	15.0%	63.0%	49.5%	32.3%
Nevada						
(n=19)	85.7%	28.6%	25.0%	78.6%	77.8%	46.4%
New Hampshire	2			_, ,,,		22.404
(n=64)	61.5%	19.2%	15.4%	51.4%	29.1%	38.1%
New Jersey					_, ,,,	
(n=52)	72.8%	34.1%	28.3%	58.7%	51.1%	51.1%
New Mexico	00.00/	00.40/	00.00/	00.50/	00.50/	40.00/
(n=31)	89.2%	36.1%	28.9%	60.5%	60.5%	48.6%
New York	70.00/	20.00/	24.00/	04.00/	50.00/	40.00/
(n=235)	78.9%	36.0%	31.0%	64.0%	59.0%	48.3%
North Carolina	77.60/	20.50/	22.20/	CO 20/	EO 00/	C4 F0/
(n=110)	77.6%	32.5%	33.3%	60.2%	59.0%	61.5%
Ohio	E 4 CO/	40.00/	4.4.40/	40.50/	EO 40/	25.70/
(n=70)	54.6%	16.2%	14.1%	46.5%	52.4%	35.7%



Oregon	FO 201/	42.00/	47.00/	22.00/	44.00/	50.70/
(n=49)	52.2%	13.0%	17.6%	33.8%	44.9%	50.7%
Pennsylvania	60.00/	47.00/	05.00/	44.00/	07.70/	07.00/
(n=150)	69.0%	17.6%	25.8%	41.9%	37.7%	37.3%
Rhode Island	20.00/	00 70/	0.00/	20.00/	40/	20.40/
(n=16)	89.3%	60.7%	0.0%	63.0%	57.1%	82.1%
South Carolina						
(n=37)	54.5%	25.5%	18.2%	47.3%	60.0%	40.0%
South Dakota						
(n=80)	76.3%	21.9%	12.4%	67.0%	45.4%	54.6%
Texas						
(n=219)	79.1%	34.3%	21.7%	64.3%	61.9%	65.2%
Utah						
(n=36)	83.3%	36.1%	16.7%	69.4%	61.1%	52.8%
Vermont						
(n=58)	81.4%	37.8%	25.5%	63.3%	52.0%	58.2%
Virginia						
(n=87)	69.2%	14.4%	16.5%	58.1%	67.0%	49.5%
Washington						
(n=66)	48.9%	17.0%	6.8%	10.2%	37.1%	48.3%
Washington, DC						
(n=23)	100.0%	100.0%	4.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
West Virginia						
(n=62)	80.0%	13.0%	15.7%	69.6%	62.3%	65.2%
Wisconsin						
(n=70)	80.6%	37.9%	37.9%	57.3%	52.4%	45.5%
Wyoming						
(n=32)	84.4%	42.2%	63.0%	68.9%	67.4%	69.6%
National						
(n=3,338)	72.1%	29.7%	22.2%	54.9%	54.6%	48.9%
Key: *: insufficient data to report						

Key: *: insufficient data to report

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.

* ADA compliance of public access computers (n=10) and mobile devices (n=4) were not reported for less than 1.0% of libraries.



Figure 13: Public Library Outlets Rep	orting Access to Information Technology Support Staff, by State
State	Outlets Reporting IT Support Staff
Alabama	
(n=78)	80.4%
Alaska	
(n=51)	69.6%
Arizona	
(n=52)	83.6%
Arkansas	
(n=32)	62.6%
California	
(n=162)	95.1%
Colorado	
(n=74)	77.6%
Connecticut	
(n=61)	68.5%
Delaware	
(n=12)	93.8%
Florida	
(n=95)	95.8%
Hawaii	
(n=48)	100.0%
Idaho	100.070
(n=44)	50.0%
Illinois	33.370
(n=159)	63.8%
Indiana	33.376
(n=75)	89.7%
lowa	00.170
(n=64)	31.2%
Kansas	01.Z/0
(n=100)	76.2%
Kentucky	1 U.Z /0
(n=41)	92.4%
Louisiana	J2.4 /0
(n=91)	61.4%
Maryland	01.470
(n=58)	98.3%
Massachusetts	30.3 //
(n=76)	59.8%
Michigan	J3.0 /0
(n=125)	80.8%
Minnesota	OU.U /0
(n=48)	82.1%
Mississippi	UZ.1/0
	77.6%
(n=89)	11.070
Missouri	CC 40/
(n=54)	66.4%



Nebraska	
(n=81)	45.0%
Nevada	101070
(n=19)	82.1%
New Hampshire	02.170
(n=64)	42.3%
New Jersey	42.0 /0
(n=52)	73.9%
New Mexico	10.370
(n=31)	70.3%
New York	10.370
	00 00/
(n=235)	82.8%
North Carolina	07.00/
(n=110)	87.2%
Ohio	77.40/
(n=70)	77.4%
Oregon	
(n=49)	79.4%
Pennsylvania	
(n=150)	81.8%
Rhode Island	
(n=16)	69.0%
South Carolina	
(n=37)	90.9%
South Dakota	
(n=80)	49.5%
Texas	
(n=219)	73.4%
Utah	
(n=36)	72.2%
Vermont	
(n=58)	58.2%
Virginia	
(n=87)	91.3%
Washington	
(n=66)	90.9%
Washington, DC	
(n=23)	100.0%
West Virginia	
(n=62)	72.5%
Wisconsin	
(n=70)	83.1%
Wyoming	00.170
(n=32)	80.0%
	UU.U /0
National	
(n=3,336)	75.1%
Key: *: insufficient data to report	



Figure 14: Adequacy of Public Library Outlet Buildings for Providing Public Access Technology-Related Services to Patrons, by State (0 = Poor, 3 = Excellent)

		Factors Affecting Broadband							
State	Availability of general use space	Availability of public engagement space (e.g., for maker spaces, networking events)	Availability of group work spaces	Availability of electrical outlets	Availability of cabling	Other			
Alabama									
(n=78)	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.2	0.9	0.0			
Alaska									
(n=51)	1.7	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.1	0.0			
Arizona									
(n=52)	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.1	0.0			
Arkansas									
(n=32)	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.0			
California									
(n=162)	1.5	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.2	0.0			
Colorado									
(n=74)	2.1	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.5	0.0			
Connecticut									
(n=61)	1.6	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.2	0.0			
Delaware									
(n=12)	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.3	0.3			
Florida									
(n=95)	1.3	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.6	0.0			
Hawaii	1.7	1.1		0.8	0.6	0.3			
(n=50)	(n=42)	(n=44)	0.9	(n=42)	(n=45)	(n=8)			
Idaho									
(n=44)	2.0	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.0	0.0			
Illinois									
(n=159)	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.1	0.0			
Indiana									
(n=75)	2.0	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.5	0.0			



lowa						
(n=64)	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.0	0.0
Kansas						
(n=100)	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.1	0.0
Kentucky						
(n=41)	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.3	0.0
Louisiana						
(n=91)	1.6	1.0	0.9	1.5	1.4	0.0
Maryland						
(n=58)	2.0	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.3	0.0
Massachusetts						
(n=76)	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.0
Michigan						
(n=125)	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.0	0.0
Minnesota						
(n=48)	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.0
Mississippi						
(n=89)	1.4	0.9	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.0
Missouri						
(n=54)	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.0
Nebraska						
(n=81)	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.4	0.1
Nevada						
(n=19)	1.6	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.1	0.0
New Hampshire						
(n=64)	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.8	0.0
New Jersey						
(n=52)	1.6	0.9	1.0	1.5	1.1	0.1
New Mexico						
(n=31)	1.7	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.3	0.1
New York						
(n=235)	1.9	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.1	0.0
North Carolina						
(n=110)	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.2	0.0



Ohio						
(n=70)	1.8	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.0
Oregon						
(n=49)	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.2	0.7	0.0
Pennsylvania				4.0	4.0	
(n=150)	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.0
Rhode Island				4.0	4.0	
(n=16)	1.7	1.0	0.7	1.2	1.3	0.0
South Carolina				4.0		
(n=37)	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.5	0.0
South Dakota	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	
(n=80)	1.8	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.0	0.0
Texas				4.0		
(n=219)	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.0
Utah	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	0.0
(n=36)	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.0	0.0
Vermont	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.4	0.0	0.0
(n=58)	1.8	1.1	1.1	1.4	0.8	0.0
Virginia	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.4	0.0
(n=87)	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.0
Washington	4.0	4.0	0.0	4.4	4.0	0.0
(n=66)	1.6	1.3	0.9	1.4	1.2	0.2
Washington, DC	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	0.0
(n=23) West Virginia	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
(n=62)	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.4	0.9	0.0
Wisconsin	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	0.9	0.0
(n=70)	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.1	0.0
Wyoming	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.0
(n=32)	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.7	0.0
			1.0	1		
National	1.7	1.2		1.3	1.1	0.0
(n=3,338)	(n=3,330)	(n=3,332)	1.2	(n=3,330)	(n=3,333)	(n=3,296)
Many *. inc. fficient data to						

Key: *: insufficient data to report

* Other factors affecting broadband was not reported for less than 1.0% of libraries (n=68).



Figure 15: Public Library Outlets Reporting Upgrades to Public Access Technology-Related

Infrastructure in the past 24 Months, by State							
State	Outlets Reporting Infrastructure Upgrades						
Alabama							
(n=78)	54.6%						
Alaska							
(n=51)	79.7%						
Arizona							
(n=52)	81.9%						
Arkansas							
(n=32)	50.5%						
California							
(n=162)	81.2%						
Colorado							
(n=74)	75.0%						
Connecticut							
(n=61)	75.0%						
Delaware							
(n=12)	81.3%						
Florida							
(n=95)	40.6%						
Hawaii							
(n=30)	96.7%						
Idaho							
(n=44)	76.7%						
Illinois							
(n=159)	74.1%						
Indiana							
(n=75)	67.2%						
lowa							
(n=64)	48.2%						
Kansas							
(n=100)	77.1%						
Kentucky							
(n=41)	73.8%						
Louisiana							
(n=91)	62.7%						
Maryland							
(n=58)	33.9%						
Massachusetts							
(n=76)	57.3%						
Michigan							
(n=125)	80.3%						
Minnesota							
(n=48)	50.0%						
Mississippi							
(n=89)	58.6%						
Missouri							
(n=54)	66.7%						



Nebraska	
(n=81)	70.0%
Nevada	
(n=19)	55.6%
New Hampshire	
(n=64)	53.8%
New Jersey	
(n=52)	58.1%
New Mexico	
(n=31)	57.9%
New York	****
(n=235)	62.1%
North Carolina	
(n=110)	81.2%
Ohio	· · · · · ·
(n=70)	51.1%
Oregon	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(n=49)	61.8%
Pennsylvania	
(n=150)	58.5%
Rhode Island	33.370
(n=16)	57.1%
South Carolina	
(n=37)	87.3%
South Dakota	
(n=80)	52.1%
Texas	
(n=219)	69.1%
Utah	
(n=36)	66.7%
Vermont	
(n=58)	56.1%
Virginia	
(n=87)	64.4%
Washington	•
(n=66)	80.7%
Washington, DC	•
(n=23)	100.0%
West Virginia	
(n=62)	62.3%
Wisconsin	•
(n=70)	63.7%
Wyoming	
(n=32)	54.3%
National	6E 00/
(n=3,318)	65.0%
Key: *: insufficient data to report	valuaira. Table anti-diantara navantara fi
vviii not totai 100%, as categories are not mutually ex	xclusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.



	Public Access Technology Upgrades								
State	The library increased it's bandwidth	The library added public access computers/laptops/tablets	The library replaced public access computers/laptops/ tablets	The library added public access computer lab space	The library added public engagement space (e.g., maker spaces, networking events)	The library set up a mobile computer lab	The library added videoconferencing capacity	Other	
Alabama	50.00/	47.00/	40.404	44.00/	40.007	= =0/	- -0/	4.00/	
(n=44)	56.6%	47.2%	49.1%	11.3%	18.9%	5.7%	7.5%	1.9%	
Alaska (n=39)	61.9%	79.4%	63.5%	14.3%	9.5%	16.1%	84.1%	3.2%	
Arizona								0%	
(n=42)	81.4%	44.1%	84.7%	6.9%	1.7%	13.8%	0.0%	(n=41)	
Arkansas (n=19)	55.3%	28.3%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	10.9%	
California (n=131)	72.4%	44.8%	79.0%	9.9%	9.4%	5.0%	0.6%	1.2% (n=124)	
Colorado (n=56)	53.4%	54.4%	60.3%	14.0%	15.8%	24.6%	14.0%	3.5%	
Connecticut (n=43)	59.1%	56.1%	90.9%	7.6%	6.1%	6.1%	4.5%	6.1%	
Delaware (n=10)	61.5%	61.5%	92.3%	30.8%	21.4%	21.4%	21.4%	0.0%	
Florida (n=39)	43.1%	60.3%	72.4%	15.8%	10.3%	17.5%	1.8%	0.0%	
Hawaii (n=29)	65.5%	93.1%	65.5%	10.7% (n=28)	3.6% (n=28)	0% (n=28)	13.8%	100% (n=2)	
Idaho (n=32)	53.8%	65.2%	78.5%	3.0%	12.3%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	
Illinois (n=114)	46.4%	62.0%	74.1%	19.9%	10.2%	21.7%	3.6%	3.6%	
Indiana (n=53)	64.7%	60.7%	77.6%	23.5%	11.9%	6.0%	2.4%	1.2%	
lowa (n=32)	34.0%	43.4%	79.2%	3.8%	9.4%	3.8%	3.8%	7.5%	



EO 60/	E2 10/	60.10/	F 00/	E 00/	1 20/	4.00/	7.5%
50.6%	55.1%	09.1%	5.0%	5.0%	1.2%	4.9%	7.5%
55.1%	66.7%	85.7%	18.4%	14.3%	26.5%	6.3%	0.0%
47.6%	82.8%	93.7%	6.3%	1.6%	20.6%	0.0%	1.6%
40.0%	60.0%	85.0%	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%
30.7%	50.0%	69.3%	2.6%	14.7%	0.0%	2.6%	19.7%
65.1%	38.1%	76.2%	7.1%	1.6%	8.7%	4.0%	7.1%
71.4%	39.3%	82.1%	8.9%	21.4%	16.4%	16.1%	3.6%
29.8%	54.4%	72.4%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%
		/		• • • •		• • • •	
62.7%	39.5%	56.6%	7.9%	0.0%	13.2%	0.0%	11.8%
			24.204	40.004			
62.9%	84.3%	82.9%	31.9%	12.9%	7.2%	14.3%	1.4%
00.00/	00.00/	50.00/	0.70/	0.70/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/
80.0%	33.3%	53.3%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
00.00/	07.50/	70.00/	0.00/	7.00/	7.00/	5.00/	40.70/
26.3%	37.5%	78.9%	8.9%	7.0%	7.0%	5.3%	10.7%
24 50/	EO 20/	7/ 10/	25.00/	16 70/	0.20/	E 60/	9.3%
31.5%	59.5%	74.1%	25.9%	10.7%	9.5%	3.0%	9.5%
68 2%	54 5%	77 3%	1 50/	1 50/	1 5%	0.0%	4.5%
UU.Z /0	04.0 /0	11.3/0	4.0 /0	4.070	4.0 /0	0.0 /0	4.5 /0
35.6%	53 4%	87.0%	10.4%	11 7%	8.0%	5.6%	0.6%
33.370	00.170	01.070	10.170	11.170	0.070	0.070	4.3%
82.1%	48.4%	65.3%	13.5%	4.2%	14.7%	9.5%	(n=88)
							, ,
40.0%	42.6%	77.9%	3.2%	6.4%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	40.0% 30.7% 65.1% 71.4% 29.8% 62.7% 62.9% 80.0% 26.3% 31.5% 68.2% 35.6% 82.1%	55.1% 66.7% 47.6% 82.8% 40.0% 60.0% 30.7% 50.0% 65.1% 38.1% 71.4% 39.3% 29.8% 54.4% 62.7% 39.5% 62.9% 84.3% 80.0% 33.3% 26.3% 37.5% 31.5% 59.3% 68.2% 54.5% 35.6% 53.4% 82.1% 48.4%	55.1% 66.7% 85.7% 47.6% 82.8% 93.7% 40.0% 60.0% 85.0% 30.7% 50.0% 69.3% 65.1% 38.1% 76.2% 71.4% 39.3% 82.1% 29.8% 54.4% 72.4% 62.7% 39.5% 56.6% 62.9% 84.3% 82.9% 80.0% 33.3% 53.3% 26.3% 37.5% 78.9% 31.5% 59.3% 74.1% 68.2% 54.5% 77.3% 35.6% 53.4% 87.0% 82.1% 48.4% 65.3%	55.1% 66.7% 85.7% 18.4% 47.6% 82.8% 93.7% 6.3% 40.0% 60.0% 85.0% 5.0% 30.7% 50.0% 69.3% 2.6% 65.1% 38.1% 76.2% 7.1% 71.4% 39.3% 82.1% 8.9% 29.8% 54.4% 72.4% 1.7% 62.7% 39.5% 56.6% 7.9% 62.9% 84.3% 82.9% 31.9% 80.0% 33.3% 53.3% 6.7% 26.3% 37.5% 78.9% 8.9% 31.5% 59.3% 74.1% 25.9% 68.2% 54.5% 77.3% 4.5% 35.6% 53.4% 87.0% 10.4% 82.1% 48.4% 65.3% 13.5%	55.1% 66.7% 85.7% 18.4% 14.3% 47.6% 82.8% 93.7% 6.3% 1.6% 40.0% 60.0% 85.0% 5.0% 10.0% 30.7% 50.0% 69.3% 2.6% 14.7% 65.1% 38.1% 76.2% 7.1% 1.6% 71.4% 39.3% 82.1% 8.9% 21.4% 29.8% 54.4% 72.4% 1.7% 1.7% 62.7% 39.5% 56.6% 7.9% 0.0% 62.9% 84.3% 82.9% 31.9% 12.9% 80.0% 33.3% 53.3% 6.7% 6.7% 26.3% 37.5% 78.9% 8.9% 7.0% 31.5% 59.3% 74.1% 25.9% 16.7% 68.2% 54.5% 77.3% 4.5% 4.5% 35.6% 53.4% 87.0% 10.4% 11.7% 82.1% 48.4% 65.3% 13.5% 4.2%	55.1% 66.7% 85.7% 18.4% 14.3% 26.5% 47.6% 82.8% 93.7% 6.3% 1.6% 20.6% 40.0% 60.0% 85.0% 5.0% 10.0% 15.0% 30.7% 50.0% 69.3% 2.6% 14.7% 0.0% 65.1% 38.1% 76.2% 7.1% 1.6% 8.7% 71.4% 39.3% 82.1% 8.9% 21.4% 16.4% 29.8% 54.4% 72.4% 1.7% 1.7% 1.7% 62.7% 39.5% 56.6% 7.9% 0.0% 13.2% 62.9% 84.3% 82.9% 31.9% 12.9% 7.2% 80.0% 33.3% 53.3% 6.7% 6.7% 0.0% 31.5% 59.3% 74.1% 25.9% 16.7% 9.3% 68.2% 54.5% 77.3% 4.5% 4.5% 4.5% 35.6% 53.4% 87.0% 10.4% 11.7% 8.0% 82.1%	55.1% 66.7% 85.7% 18.4% 14.3% 26.5% 6.3% 47.6% 82.8% 93.7% 6.3% 1.6% 20.6% 0.0% 40.0% 60.0% 85.0% 5.0% 10.0% 15.0% 0.0% 30.7% 50.0% 69.3% 2.6% 14.7% 0.0% 2.6% 65.1% 38.1% 76.2% 7.1% 1.6% 8.7% 4.0% 71.4% 39.3% 82.1% 8.9% 21.4% 16.4% 16.1% 29.8% 54.4% 72.4% 1.7% 1.7% 1.7% 0.0% 62.7% 39.5% 56.6% 7.9% 0.0% 13.2% 0.0% 62.9% 84.3% 82.9% 31.9% 12.9% 7.2% 14.3% 80.0% 33.3% 53.3% 6.7% 6.7% 0.0% 0.0% 26.3% 37.5% 78.9% 8.9% 7.0% 7.0% 5.3% 31.5% 59.3% 74.1% 25.9



Oregon (n=29)	47.6%	40.5%	66.7%	14.3%	4.8%	9.5%	0.0%	19.0%
	47.0%	40.5%	00.7 %	14.5%	4.0%	9.5%	0.0%	19.0%
Pennsylvania (n=88)	59.1%	53.8%	69.9%	5.4%	6.5%	4.3%	2.2%	5.4%
Rhode Island								
(n=9)	50.0%	75.0%	87.5%	0.0%	0.0%	18.8%	18.8%	12.5%
South Carolina								
(n=32)	76.6%	75.0%	80.9%	8.3%	8.3%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
South Dakota								
(n=43)	23.5%	42.0%	82.0%	14.0%	14.0%	0.0%	2.0%	12.0%
Texas								
(n=152)	57.9%	49.7%	88.1%	10.7%	5.0%	6.9%	8.2%	1.9%
Utah								
(n=24)	29.2%	54.2%	87.5%	20.8%	20.8%	8.3%	12.5%	4.2%
Vermont								
(n=32)	40.0%	50.9%	64.8%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	18.5%	9.3%
Virginia								
(n=58)	57.6%	43.3%	77.3%	6.1%	9.0%	6.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Washington								
(n=54)	52.8%	55.6%	88.9%	4.2%	1.4%	21.1%	8.5%	1.4%
Washington, DC								
(n=23)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
West Virginia								2.4%
(n=38)	21.4%	51.2%	74.4%	7.0%	9.5%	2.3%	2.3%	(n=37)
Wisconsin								
(n=45)	65.8%	44.3%	79.7%	11.4%	8.9%	6.3%	1.3%	7.6%
Wyoming								
(n=18)	68.0%	41.7%	58.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
National								
(n=2,191)	54.1%	53.3%	76.3%	11.2%	9.1%	9.0%	6.4%	4.4%
Kay: * insufficient	data to report							·

Key: *: insufficient data to report

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive

Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.

* Other upgrades was not reported for less than 1.0% of libraries (n=90).



		Upgrade Impacts									
State	The library was able to decrease wait times for public access computers/laptops/tablets	The library was able to train more patrons in digital literacy skills (e.g., computer use, digital content creation)	The library added videoconferencing capacity to connect patrons remotely (e.g., for training, online classes)	The library was able to create new community partnership opportunities (e.g., for health, job creation/training, immigration programs)	The library was able to offer more community engagement/networking events (e.g., maker spaces, forums)	Other					
Alabama (n=44)	51.9%	56.6%	5.8%	35.2%	13.2%	1.9%					
Alaska (n=39)	65.1%	60.3%	77.8%	58.7%	50.0%	0.0%					
Arizona n=42)	45.8%	44.1%	0.0%	20.3%	1.7%	0.0%					
Arkansas (n=19)	63.0%	61.7%	8.5%	4.3%	4.3%	2.2%					
California n=131)	41.1%	35.6%	0.6%	16.7%	9.4%	13.3%					
Colorado n=56)	59.6%	57.9%	5.3%	37.9%	33.3%	1.8%					
Connecticut n=43)	45.5%	53.0%	4.5%	24.2%	18.2%	1.5%					
Delaware n=10)	53.8%	61.5%	21.4%	78.6%	30.8%	0.0%					
Florida n=39)	56.1%	63.8%	0.0%	31.6%	21.1%	1.8%					
Hawaii n=26)	69.2%	46.2%	3.8%	4.0% (n=25)	0.0%	*					
daho (n=32)	54.5%	54.5%	1.5%	12.3%	16.9%	4.5%					



Illinois						
(n=114)	59.0%	61.4%	3.0%	24.7%	22.3%	4.2%
Indiana						
(n=53)	60.7%	61.9%	3.6%	20.2%	8.2%	9.4%
lowa						
(n=32)	52.8%	32.1%	7.5%	7.5%	7.7%	5.7%
Kansas						
(n=77)	55.0%	49.4%	5.0%	16.3%	15.0%	2.5%
Kentucky			/			
(n=29)	54.2%	65.3%	6.3%	38.8%	24.5%	0.0%
Louisiana	04.00/	75.00/	0.40/	00.70/	00.40/	0.00/
(n=56)	81.3%	75.0%	3.1%	39.7%	23.4%	6.3%
Maryland	70.00/	CE 00/	0.00/	40.00/	05.00/	5 OO/
(n=20)	70.0%	65.0%	0.0%	40.0%	25.0%	5.0%
Massachusetts	24.70/	44.20/	4.00/	24.20/	47.00/	04.20/
(n=44)	34.7%	41.3%	4.0%	21.3%	17.3%	21.3%
Michigan (n=102)	48.4%	56.3%	5.6%	12.7%	10.3%	19.8%
Minnesota	70.770	30.070	J.U /0	12.770	10.570	19.070
(n=20)	42.9%	35.7%	0.0%	30.4%	33.9%	1.8%
Mississippi	.=.070	33.1.70		33.1,0	33.370	,
(n=52)	81.0%	38.6%	0.0%	7.0%	1.8%	0.0%
Missouri						
(n=39)	58.7%	72.0%	0.0%	27.6%	10.5%	2.6%
Nebraska						
(n=57)	87.0%	76.8%	25.7%	44.3%	35.7%	2.9%
Nevada						
(n=10)	46.7%	33.3%	0.0%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%
New Hampshire						
(n=35)	35.1%	48.2%	0.0%	25.0%	21.1%	1.8%
New Jersey						
(n=30)	59.3%	64.8%	1.9%	30.2%	25.9%	3.7%
New Mexico						
(n=18)	61.9%	45.5%	0.0%	17.4%	18.2%	4.5%



New York						
(n=149)	53.1%	59.3%	6.2%	31.9%	16.0%	4.3%
North Carolina						
(n=89)	50.5%	54.7%	9.5%	51.6%	20.0%	7.4%
Ohio			• ••			
(n=36)	33.7%	20.2%	0.0%	15.8%	16.0%	3.2%
Oregon			• ••			
(n=29)	31.0%	54.8%	0.0%	14.3%	19.0%	4.8%
Pennsylvania		4		2.1-2.		
(n=88)	36.6%	45.2%	2.2%	21.5%	18.3%	1.1%
Rhode Island						
(n=9)	87.5%	37.5%	18.8%	31.3%	6.3%	12.5%
South Carolina		20.00		_,_,		
(n=32)	35.4%	33.3%	2.1%	54.2%	10.4%	0.0%
South Dakota						
(n=43)	50.0%	52.0%	6.0%	28.0%	22.0%	4.0%
Texas	50 40/	50.50/	0.00/	00.004	44.00/	2.22/
(n=152)	58.1%	58.5%	3.8%	23.9%	11.9%	3.8%
Utah	00.00/	50.00 /	40.50/	40.50/	40 =0/	4.00/
(n=24)	83.3%	50.0%	12.5%	12.5%	16.7%	4.2%
Vermont	50.00 /	40.40/	04.004	0.4.004	0.4.00/	7.00 /
(n=32)	50.0%	46.4%	21.8%	21.8%	21.8%	7.3%
Virginia	50.00/	44.00/	0.00/	47.00/	0.00/	4.50/
(n=58)	50.0%	41.8%	3.0%	17.9%	9.0%	1.5%
Washington	E4 40/	54.00/	5.00/	22.20/	07.00/	0.00/
(n=54)	51.4%	54.9%	5.6%	33.3%	27.8%	0.0%
Washington, DC	400.00/	100.00/	0.00/	100.00/	400.00/	0.00/
(n=23)	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
West Virginia	CO 00/	CO F0/	0.20/	20.50/	40.20/	0.00/
(n=38)	69.8%	60.5%	9.3%	39.5%	16.3%	0.0%
Wisconsin (n=45)	E7 00/	61.5%	1.3%	31.6%	19.0%	8.9%
	57.0%	01.3%	1.370	31.0%	19.0%	0.9%
Wyoming	64.0%	28.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
(n=18)	04.070	20.0%	U.U7 ₀	4.0%	U.U7 ₀	U.U7 ₀



National	54.0%	F2 90/	C 00/	26.50/	47.00/	E 10/
(n=2,188)	54.0%	52.6%	0.0%	26.5%	17.0%	5.1%
Kev: *· insufficient dat	a to report					

Key: *: insufficient data to report
Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive
Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.
* Other impacts of upgrades was not reported for less than 1.0% of libraries (n=42).



Digital Literacy & Training Related to Public Access Technologies

Figure 18: Public Library Outlets Offering Formal or Informal Technology Training (e.g., General Computer Skills) to Patrons. by State

State Outlets Offering Formal/Informal Tech Training Alabama (n=78) 94.8% Alaska (n=51) 94.9% Arizona (n=52) 100.0% Arkansas (n=32) 95.6% California (n=162) 99.1% Colorado (n=74) 100.0% Connecticut (n=61) 100.0% Delaware (n=12) 100.0% Florida 100.0%	ng
(n=78) 94.8% Alaska 94.9% (n=51) 94.9% Arizona 100.0% Arkansas 95.6% California 99.1% Colorado 99.1% Connecticut 100.0% Connecticut 100.0% Delaware 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
Alaska (n=51) Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut (n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida	
(n=51) 94.9% Arizona 100.0% (n=52) 100.0% Arkansas 95.6% California 99.1% Colorado 100.0% Connecticut 100.0% Delaware 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) 95.6% California (n=162) 99.1% Colorado (n=74) Connecticut (n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida	
(n=52) 100.0% Arkansas 95.6% California 99.1% Colorado 100.0% Connecticut 100.0% Cennecticut 100.0% Delaware 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
Arkansas (n=32) 95.6% California (n=162) 99.1% Colorado (n=74) 100.0% Connecticut (n=61) 100.0% Delaware (n=12) 100.0% Florida	
(n=32) 95.6% California 99.1% (n=162) 99.1% Colorado 100.0% Connecticut 100.0% Delaware 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
California 99.1% Colorado 100.0% Connecticut 100.0% Delaware 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
(n=162) 99.1% Colorado (n=74) 100.0% Connecticut (n=61) 100.0% Delaware (n=12) 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
Colorado (n=74) 100.0% Connecticut 100.0% (n=61) 100.0% Delaware 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
(n=74) 100.0% Connecticut (n=61) 100.0% Delaware (n=12) 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
Connecticut (n=61) 100.0% Delaware (n=12) 100.0% Florida	
(n=61) 100.0% Delaware (n=12) 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
Delaware (n=12) 100.0% Florida 100.0%	
(n=12) 100.0% Florida	
Florida	
(n=95) 100.0%	
Hawaii	
(n=50) 98.0%	
ldaho	
(n=44) 97.6%	
Illinois (n=159) 94.2%	
Indiana 94.2 %	
(n=75) 85.7%	
lowa	
(n=64) 100.0%	
Kansas	
(n=100) 88.6%	
Kentucky	
(n=41) 100.0%	
Louisiana	
(n=91) 97.0%	
Maryland	
(n=58) 100.0%	
Massachusetts	
(n=76) 97.7%	
Michigan	
(n=125) 99.4%	
Minnesota	
(n=48) 97.3%	
Mississippi	
(n=89) 100.0%	



Missouri	
(n=54)	100.0%
Nebraska	
(n=81)	97.0%
Nevada	01.070
(n=19)	100.0%
New Hampshire	100.070
(n=64)	89.5%
New Jersey	00.070
(n=52)	100.0%
New Mexico	100.070
(n=31)	100.0%
New York	100.0 /8
(n=235)	98.5%
	90.5%
North Carolina	00.00/
(n=110)	98.3%
Ohio	400.004
(n=70)	100.0%
Oregon	
(n=49)	91.3%
Pennsylvania	
(n=150)	100.0%
Rhode Island	
(n=16)	100.0%
South Carolina	
(n=37)	96.4%
South Dakota	
(n=80)	99.0%
Texas	
(n=219)	100.0%
Utah	1001070
(n=36)	100.0%
Vermont	100.070
(n=58)	98.0%
Virginia	30.0 /0
virginia (n=87)	96.2%
	JU.Z /0
Washington	100.00/
(n=66)	100.0%
Washington, DC	400.004
(n=23)	100.0%
West Virginia	400.007
(n=62)	100.0%
Wisconsin	
(n=70)	98.4%
Wyoming	
(n=32)	100.0%
National	
(n=3,338)	97.6%
Key: *: insufficient data to report	31.0/0
	aluaiva. Tabla anlu dianlava naraantagas far affirmativa rasaasaa
will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually ext	clusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.



Figure 19: Public Library Outlets Reporting Conducting Any of its Technology---Related Training Sessions In Languages Other than English in the Last Twelve Months, by State

State	Outlets Offering Formal/Informal Tech Training
Alabama	
(n=74)	1.1%
Alaska	
(n=48)	1.4%
Arizona	
(n=52)	13.9%
Arkansas	
(n=31)	1.1%
California	
(n=161)	27.9%
Colorado	
(n=74)	15.8%
Connecticut	
(n=61)	10.2%
Delaware	
(n=12)	18.8%
Florida	
(n=95)	30.3%
Hawaii	
(n=49)	2.0%
Idaho	
(n=43)	8.4%
Illinois	
(n=153)	9.0%
Indiana	
(n=66)	*
lowa	
(n=64)	
Kansas	4.40/
(n=89)	1.1%
Kentucky	4.50/
(n=41)	1.5%
Louisiana	0.00/
(n=88)	2.0%
Maryland	E 40/
(n=58)	5.1%
Massachusetts (n=74)	1.6%
Michigan	1.070
(n=124)	1.3%
Minnesota	1. J /0
(n=47)	
Mississippi	
(n=89)	
Missouri	
(n=54)	
(



Nebraska	
(n=79)	
Nevada	
(n=19)	10.7%
New Hampshire	10.7 /0
(n=58)	
New Jersey	12 00/
(n=52)	13.0%
New Mexico	40.00/
(n=31)	13.2%
New York	0.00/
(n=232)	8.6%
North Carolina	
(n=108)	4.3%
Ohio	
(n=70)	
Oregon	
(n=45)	6.5%
Pennsylvania	
(n=150)	1.9%
Rhode Island	
(n=16)	
South Carolina	
(n=36)	
South Dakota	
(n=79)	
Texas	
(n=219)	20.0%
Utah	
(n=36)	11.1%
Vermont	
(n=57)	
Virginia	
(n=83)	9.0%
Washington	0.070
(n=66)	6.7%
Washington, DC	U.1 /U
(n=23)	
West Virginia	
(n=62)	
Wisconsin	4.10/
(n=69)	4.1%
Wyoming	
(n=32)	
National	
(n=3,269)	6.8%
Key: *: insufficient data to report; = no data to rep	
	xclusive. Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.



Figure 20: Ted	Figure 20: Technology Training Offerings by Topic, by State										
State	General computer skills	General computer software use	General Internet use	Accessing and using online services and databases	Safe online practices	Social media	Digital photography, software, hardware, and online applications	General familiarity with new technologies	Using video conferencing technologies	Cloud computing applications	
Alabama (n=74)	96.7%	90.2%	95.6%	100.0%	70.7%	33.7%	10.9%	33.7%	4.3%	6.6%	
Alaska (n=48)	82.7%	82.7%	85.5%	100.0%	70.7%	41.3%	30.7%	44.0%	37.3%	16.0%	
Arizona (n=52)	97.2%	93.2%	100.0%	98.6%	43.8%	44.4%	18.1%	34.7%	1.4%	2.8%	
Arkansas (n=31)	86.2%	90.8%	86.2%	100.0%	66.7%	9.2%	5.7%	20.7%		17.2%	
California (n=161)	92.8%	84.2%	90.0%	98.2%	52.7%	38.9%	12.7%	54.3%	2.3%	15.8%	
Colorado (n=74)	96.1%	97.4%	98.7%	100.0%	57.9%	52.6%	32.9%	57.9%	18.4%	13.2%	
Connecticut (n=61)	91.0%	95.5%	91.0%	100.0%	81.8%	48.3%	31.5%	60.2%	13.6%	19.3%	
Delaware (n=12)	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%	93.8%	43.8%	43.8%	18.8%	60.0%	18.8%	18.8%	
Florida (n=95)	92.3%	95.1%	95.1%	100.0%	77.5%	62.0%	21.7%	52.1%	2.1%	21.1%	
Hawaii (n=49)	61.2%	69.4%	61.2%	75.5%	42.9%	16.3%	2.0%	40.8%	 (n=48)	4.2% (n=48)	
Idaho (n=43)	94.0%	92.8%	97.6%	95.2%	67.1%	39.8%	26.5%	36.1%	3.6%	6.0%	
Illinois (n=153)	89.1%	89.1%	93.4%	97.6%	64.5%	40.6%	23.2%	59.2%	12.8%	18.9%	



Indiana (n=66)	90.7%	90.7%	91.6%	98.1%	56.5%	70.4%	34.3%	65.7%	2.8%	12.1%
lowa	00.1 70	00.1 70	01.070	00.170	00.070	70.170	01.070	00.1 70	2.070	12.170
(n=64)	89.9%	89.0%	97.2%	97.2%	66.1%	22.0%	6.4%	24.8%	7.3%	6.4%
Kansas										
(n=89)	87.1%	84.9%	91.4%	97.8%	72.0%	49.5%	21.5%	41.9%	11.8%	20.4%
Kentucky										
(n=41)	97.0%	97.0%	95.5%	97.0%	63.6%	78.5%	33.8%	78.5%	6.2%	16.7%
Louisiana										
(n=88)	98.0%	94.9%	98.0%	100.0%	64.6%	41.4%	26.5%	36.4%	1.0%	17.3%
Maryland	89.8%	00.00/	00.00/	400.00/	74.00/	27.00/	40.00/	C4 00/	2.40/	45.00/
(n=58) Massachusetts	89.8%	89.8%	89.8%	100.0%	74.6%	37.9%	13.6%	61.0%	3.4%	15.3%
(n=74)	76.6%	83.5%	96.1%	100.0%	56.3%	35.9%	16.5%	53.9%	5.5%	6.3%
Michigan	70.070	00.070	30.170	100.070	00.070	00.070	10.070	00.070	0.070	0.070
(n=124)	92.3%	91.7%	98.1%	100.0%	64.1%	62.2%	40.6%	63.2%	6.4%	7.1%
Minnesota										
(n=47)	81.7%	74.3%	86.2%	98.2%	59.6%	19.3%	5.6%	38.5%	*	1.8%
Mississippi										
(n=89)	92.9%	90.9%	91.9%	98.0%	76.5%	28.3%	2.0%	21.4%	2.0%	3.1%
Missouri	00.50/	00.00/	00.00/	07.00/	05.00/	44.00/	04.40/	50.00/	7.40/	40.00/
(n=54)	89.5%	92.0%	88.6%	97.3%	85.0%	41.6%	21.1%	52.2%	7.1%	13.3%
Nebraska (n=79)	88.7%	92.8%	96.9%	100.0%	68.0%	42.3%	26.8%	43.3%	12.4%	12.4%
Nevada	00.7 /0	92.0 /0	90.976	100.0 /6	00.076	42.3 /0	20.0 /0	43.3 /0	12.4 /0	12.4 /0
(n=19)	82.1%	67.9%	75.0%	100.0%	46.4%	25.9%	21.4%	42.9%	10.7%	21.4%
New Hampshire	021170	0.1070	1 0.0 70	100.070	10.1.70	20.070	,	12.0 //		,
(n=58)	90.4%	86.2%	93.6%	95.7%	57.4%	43.6%	18.1%	42.6%	6.4%	14.9%
New Jersey										
(n=52)	85.9%	89.1%	93.5%	97.8%	55.4%	45.7%	31.5%	39.1%	6.5%	4.3%
New Mexico										
(n=31)	91.9%	91.9%	91.9%	89.5%	59.5%	32.4%	13.2%	45.9%	7.9%	16.2%
New York	00.00/	0.4.007	00.00/	0= =0/	54.40 /	50 50 /	0.4.007	0.4.00/	40.00/	45.40/
(n=232)	92.2%	91.8%	96.9%	97.7%	54.1%	53.7%	31.9%	64.2%	10.9%	15.1%
North Carolina (n=108)	92.2%	88.7%	92.2%	99.1%	60.0%	46.1%	22.6%	56.5%	14.8%	8.7%
(11 100)	JL.L /U	00.1 /0	J2.2/0	55.170	00.070	TO.170	22.070	00.070	17.070	0.1 /0



Ohio										
(n=70)	94.6%	88.1%	95.7%	98.4%	54.3%	67.0%	34.6%	68.6%	*	7.0%
Oregon										
(n=45)	93.5%	93.5%	95.2%	100.0%	64.5%	35.5%	17.5%	46.0%	4.8%	12.7%
Pennsylvania										
(n=150)	91.2%	87.4%	97.5%	98.7%	57.9%	47.5%	24.1%	61.0%	3.8%	10.1%
Rhode Island								/		
(n=16)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	64.3%	46.4%	10.7%	53.6%		
South Carolina	00.40/	00.00/	00.00/	400.00/	47.00/	07.70/	00.00/	E0 00/	0.40/	40.00/
(n=36)	98.1%	83.0%	96.2%	100.0%	47.2%	37.7%	26.9%	53.8%	9.4%	13.2%
South Dakota (n=79)	87.5%	89.5%	90.5%	98.9%	74.7%	27.1%	3.1%	32.3%	2.1%	4.2%
Texas	07.576	09.5 /0	90.576	30.370	14.1 /0	21.1/0	J. 1 /0	JZ.J /0	2.1/0	4.2 /0
(n=219)	93.0%	90.9%	93.5%	98.7%	60.7%	38.7%	20.0%	40.0%	7.8%	10.9%
Utah	33.0 /0	30.370	33.570	30.1 /0	00.1 /0	30.770	20.070	40.070	7.070	10.570
(n=36)	97.2%	97.2%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	33.3%	13.9%	44.4%	5.6%	11.1%
Vermont										
(n=57)	87.5%	87.6%	92.7%	94.8%	63.5%	44.8%	21.1%	42.7%	17.7%	17.7%
Virginia										
(n=83)	87.9%	88.9%	88.9%	87.0%	45.0%	27.0%	18.2%	55.0%	1.0%	10.0%
Washington										
(n=66)	96.6%	100.0%	97.7%	100.0%	69.3%	30.7%	20.2%	62.9%	14.6%	18.0%
Washington, DC										
(n=23)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
West Virginia	07.40/	05.70/	07.40/	400.00/	CF 00/	20.40/	44.50/	40.50/	4.40/	47.40/
(n=62)	97.1%	95.7%	97.1%	100.0%	65.2%	30.4%	14.5%	43.5%	1.4%	17.4%
Wisconsin (n=69)	95.1%	89.3%	97.5%	98.4%	57.0%	53.3%	23.1%	54.9%	6.6%	14.8%
Wyoming	30.170	03.3 /0	31.5/0	30.4 /0	37.070	55.5 /6	ZJ. 1 /0	04.5/0	0.0 /0	14.0 /0
(n=32)	84.4%	82.2%	84.4%	93.3%	75.6%	35.6%	33.3%	35.6%	31.1%	33.3%
	J, 0	02.270	0 ,0	00.070	. 0.0,0	00.070	00.070	00.070	7.8%	12.8%
National (n=3,269)	90.8%	89.6%	93.5%	97.9%	62.5%	43.2%	22.0%	50.0%	(n=3,268)	(3,268)
Vary *: insufficion				31.370	UZ.J /0	4J.Z /0	ZZ.U /0	JU.U /0	(11-3,200)	(3,200)

Key: *: insufficient data to report; --- = No data to report Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.



Figure 21: Fo	rmal Technolog	gy Training Offe	rings, by State					
State	General computer skills	General computer software use	General Internet use	Safe online practices	Social media	Digital photography, software, hardware, and online applications	General familiarity with new technologies	Digital content creation
Alabama	44.9%	28.6%	33.0%	89.2%	58.1%	80.0%	38.7%	100.0%
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=67)	(n=71)	(n=52)	(n=27)	(n=9)	(n=28)	(n=1)
Alaska	24.2%	22.6%	21.5%	69.8%	32.3%	31.8%	30.3%	72.7%
(n=48)	(n=41)	(n=41)	(n=43)	(n=35)	(n=19)	(n=13)	(n=19)	(n=5)
Arizona	77.1%	74.6%	72.2%	83.9%	87.1%	92.3%	80.8%	100.0%
(n=52)	(n=51)	(n=49)	(n=52)	(n=26)	(n=28)	(n=12)	(n=23)	(n=1)
Arkansas	26.3%	15.2%	16.0%	75.9%	50.0%	100.0%	33.3%	80.0%
(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=29)	(n=28)	(n=20)	(n=5)	(n=2)	(n=8)	(n=2)
California	52.9%	44.1%	49.2%	75.2%	33.7%	46.4%	40.8%	50.0%
(n=161)	(n=149)	(n=134)	(n=145)	(n=82)	(n=66)	(n=22)	(n=91)	(n=2)
Colorado	47.9%	44.6%	45.3%	79.5%	47.5%	68.0%	52.3%	44.4%
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=72)	(n=73)	(n=43)	(n=39)	(n=25)	(n=43)	(n=9)
Connecticut	49.4%	50.0%	45.7%	93.1%	62.8%	67.9%	45.3%	100.0%
(n=61)	(n=55)	(n=58)	(n=55)	(n=49)	(n=28)	(n=19)	(n=36)	(n=1)
Delaware	92.9%	81.3%	81.3%	100.0%	16.7%	50.0%	70.0%	100.0%
(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=2)	(n=7)	(n=1)
Florida	78.5%	71.3%	71.9%	96.4%	74.2%	58.1%	66.2%	14.3%
(n=95)	(n=87)	(n=90)	(n=90)	(n=73)	(n=59)	(n=22)	(n=52)	(n=6)
Hawaii	20.0%	20.6%	20.0%	23.8%	50.0%	100.0%	60.0%	100.0%
(n=49)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=30)	(n=21)	(n=8)	(n=1)	(n=20)	(n=1)
ldaho	26.9%	27.6%	23.5%	56.4%	31.3%	27.3%	30.0%	
(n=43)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=42)	(n=29)	(n=18)	(n=13)	(n=19)	
Illinois	45.2%	41.0%	38.1%	91.2%	55.8%	59.2%	44.8%	46.7%
(n=153)	(n=134)	(n=134)	(n=141)	(n=92)	(n=70)	(n=40)	(n=92)	(n=13)



Indiana	60.8%	58.8%	55.6%	85.2%	48.7%	66.7%	52.9%	50.0%
(n=66)	(n=60)	(n=60)	(n=61)	(n=39)	(n=47)	(n=25)	(n=45)	(n=4)
lowa	29.6%	14.4%	15.1%	88.9%	45.8%	42.9%	51.9%	50.0%
(n=64)	(n=57)	(n=56)	(n=62)	(n=42)	(n=17)	(n=5)	(n=19)	(n=2)
Kansas	23.2%	19.0%	18.8%	86.4%	32.6%	52.6%	41.0%	60.0%
(n=89)	(n=78)	(n=76)	(n=81)	(n=64)	(n=44)	(n=19)	(n=37)	(n=5)
Kentucky	67.2%	67.2%	69.4%	71.4%	75.0%	69.6%	64.7%	50.0%
(n=41)	(n=40)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=25)	(n=32)	(n=16)	(n=33)	(n=2)
Louisiana	36.5%	37.6%	35.4%	81.0%	31.7%	40.7%	63.9%	
(n=88)	(n=86)	(n=84)	(n=86)	(n=57)	(n=36)	(n=23)	(n=31)	(n=1)
Maryland	71.7%	39.6%	39.6%	81.8%	22.7%	12.5%	31.4%	
(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=43)	(n=22)	(n=8)	(n=35)	(n=2)
Massachusetts	39.8%	26.2%	21.1%	84.7%	54.3%	52.4%	52.2%	50.0%
(n=74)	(n=56)	(n=61)	(n=71)	(n=40)	(n=28)	(n=13)	(n=42)	(n=2)
Michigan	47.2%	44.8%	48.4%	81.0%	60.8%	63.5%	58.6%	
(n=124)	(n=115)	(n=114)	(n=121)	(n=82)	(n=79)	(n=53)	(n=81)	(n=1)
Minnesota	34.8%	12.3%	22.6%	90.6%	71.4%		52.4%	100.0%
(n=47)	(n=40)	(n=38)	(n=43)	(n=31)	(n=11)	(n=3)	(n=17)	(n=1)
Mississippi	49.5%	27.8%	37.4%	88.2%	70.4%	100.0%	47.6%	
(n=89)	(n=82)	(n=81)	(n=82)	(n=68)	(n=25)	(n=2)	(n=20)	
Missouri	46.5%	38.5%	40.6%	87.5%	38.3%	29.2%	59.3%	
(n=54)	(n=49)	(n=50)	(n=49)	(n=45)	(n=25)	(n=12)	(n=31)	
Nebraska	41.9%	49.4%	39.4%	84.8%	58.5%	46.2%	47.6%	
(n=79)	(n=70)	(n=73)	(n=76)	(n=54)	(n=34)	(n=21)	(n=35)	(n=1)
Nevada	39.1%	36.8%	23.8%	92.3%	57.1%	60.0%	75.0%	50.0%
(n=19)	(n=16)	(n=14)	(n=15)	(n=9)	(n=6)	(n=5)	(n=10)	(n=2)
New Hampshire	20.0%	18.5%	18.2%	66.7%	29.3%	43.8%	42.5%	100.0%
(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=50)	(n=54)	(n=32)	(n=26)	(n=11)	(n=25)	(n=1)
New Jersey	56.4%	48.8%	47.7%	94.0%	76.2%	80.0%	55.6%	100.0%
(n=52)	(n=45)	(n=47)	(n=49)	(n=29)	(n=24)	(n=15)	(n=21)	(n=3)
New Mexico	29.4%	17.6%	20.6%	81.8%	41.7%	20.0%	41.2%	
(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=28)	(n=28)	(n=18)	(n=10)	(n=4)	(n=14)	(n=1)
New York	62.0%	57.2%	53.4%	89.2%	63.0%	70.4%	48.5%	72.7%
(n=232)	(n=215)	(n=213)	(n=225)	(n=124)	(n=127)	(n=75)	(n=151)	(n=10)
North Carolina	51.4%	48.5%	47.2%	94.2%	59.6%	57.7%	70.8%	50.0%
(n=108)	(n=100)	(n=96)	(n=100)	(n=65)	(n=50)	(n=25)	(n=61)	(n=2)



Ohio	67.4%	68.1%	68.4%	90.1%	53.2%	38.1%	74.0%	
(n=70)	(n=67)	(n=61)	(n=67)	(n=37)	(n=49)	(n=29)	(n=51)	(n=3)
Oregon	28.8%	25.9%	25.0%	87.5%	45.5%	27.3%	58.6%	100.0%
(n=45)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=43)	(n=29)	(n=15)	(n=7)	(n=20)	(n=1)
Pennsylvania	45.5%	42.4%	39.4%	81.5%	48.0%	52.6%	51.5%	100.0%
(n=150)	(n=137)	(n=131)	(n=146)	(n=87)	(n=71)	(n=36)	(n=92)	(n=2)
Rhode Island	64.3%	57.1%	57.1%	100.0%	69.2%	100.0%	43.8%	
(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=10)	(n=7)	(n=2)	(n=8)	
South Carolina	51.0%	55.8%	48.0%	100.0%	78.9%	71.4%	62.1%	100.0%
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=17)	(n=14)	(n=10)	(n=20)	(n=1)
South Dakota	19.0%	15.3%	17.4%	91.5%	36.0%	33.3%	25.8%	
(n=79)	(n=69)	(n=70)	(n=71)	(n=58)	(n=20)	(n=2)	(n=26)	
Texas	58.4%	56.0%	52.6%	90.7%	52.3%	60.9%	56.5%	54.5%
(n=219)	(n=204)	(n=199)	(n=205)	(n=133)	(n=85)	(n=44)	(n=88)	(n=11)
Utah	37.1%	14.3%	13.9%	79.2%	25.0%	80.0%	50.0%	
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=35)	(n=36)	(n=24)	(n=12)	(n=5)	(n=16)	(n=2)
Vermont	21.4%	10.6%	16.9%	86.9%	23.3%	28.6%	36.6%	
(n=57)	(n=50)	(n=50)	(n=53)	(n=36)	(n=25)	(n=12)	(n=24)	(n=1)
Virginia	60.9%	53.4%	39.8%	93.3%	69.2%	88.9%	85.5%	25.0%
(n=83)	(n=73)	(n=74)	(n=74)	(n=37)	(n=22)	(n=15)	(n=46)	(n=3)
Washington	43.5%	35.2%	40.7%	77.4%	22.2%	27.8%	55.4%	7.1%
(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=46)	(n=22)	(n=14)	(n=43)	(n=12)
Washington, DC	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)
West Virginia	23.9%	13.6%	14.9%	79.5%	23.8%	10.0%	20.7%	
(n=62)	(n=60)	(n=59)	(n=60)	(n=40)	(n=19)	(n=9)	(n=27)	
Wisconsin	27.6%	22.9%	22.9%	85.5%	38.5%	42.9%	41.8%	100.0%
(n=69)	(n=66)	(n=63)	(n=67)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=16)	(n=42)	(n=2)
Wyoming	12.8%	5.4%	5.3%	97.0%	12.5%	6.7%	18.8%	
(n=32)	(n=27)	(n=26)	(n=27)	(n=23)	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=9)
National	46.7%	40.7%	40.1%	85.2%	51.9%	55.0%	52.8%	52.9%
(n=3,269)	(n=2,977)	(n=2,937)	(n=3,062)	(n=2,034)	(n=1,450)	(n=750)	(n=1,684)	(n=152)
Very - Ne dete				. , ,			. , ,	

Key: --- = No data to report
Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive
Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.



Figure 22: Indi	ividual Help by	/ Appointment	Technology 1	raining Offerio	ngs, by State					
State	General computer skills	General computer software use	General Internet use	Social media	Digital photography, software, hardware, and online applications	General familiarity with new technologies	Assistive Technology use	Using video conferencing technologies	Web site development	Cloud computing applications
Alabama	21.3%	26.5%	18.4%	25.8%	30.0%	54.8%		50.0%		16.7%
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=67)	(n=71)	(n=27)	(n=9)	(n=28)		(n=4)	(n=1)	(n=6)
Alaska	17.7%	27.4%	12.5%	12.9%	13.0%	46.9%	16.7%	35.7%	16.7%	41.7%
(n=48)	(n=41)	(n=41)	(n=43)	(n=19)	(n=13)	(n=19)	(n=4)	(n=17)	(n=4)	(n=8)
Arizona	34.3%	35.8%	31.9%	28.1%		23.1%				
(n=52)	(n=51)	(n=49)	(n=52)	(n=28)	(n=12)	(n=23)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)
Arkansas	4.0%	5.0%	2.6%	25.0%		5.6%				
(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=29)	(n=28)	(n=5)	(n=2)	(n=8)				(n=5)
California	20.5%	22.6%	19.6%	22.1%	39.3%	45.0%	44.4%	40.0%	50.0%	28.6%
(n=161)	(n=149)	(n=134)	(n=145)	(n=66)	(n=22)	(n=91)	(n=7)	(n=3)	(n=2)	(n=26)
Colorado	45.8%	56.2%	52.0%	47.5%	48.0%	61.4%	66.7%	71.4%	42.9%	70.0%
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=72)	(n=73)	(n=39)	(n=25)	(n=43)	(n=6)	(n=14)	(n=7)	(n=10)
Connecticut	43.2%	42.9%	44.4%	41.9%	42.9%	71.7%	100.0%	75.0%	60.0%	64.7%
(n=61)	(n=55)	(n=58)	(n=55)	(n=28)	(n=19)	(n=36)	(n=4)	(n=7)	(n=3)	(n=13)
Delaware	46.7%	43.8%	43.8%	42.9%	50.0%	88.9%		50.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=5)	(n=2)	(n=7)	(n=1)	(n=2)	(n=1)	(n=2)
Florida	38.9%	34.1%	38.5%	29.2%	48.4%	60.8%		50.0%	40.0%	80.0%
(n=95)	(n=87)	(n=90)	(n=90)	(n=59)	(n=22)	(n=52)	(n=1)	(n=2)	(n=3)	(n=21)
Hawaii	16.7%	11.8%	13.3%			10.0%		, ,	` 	
(n=49)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=30)	(n=8)	(n=1)	(n=20)	(n=11)		(n=1)	(n=2)
Ìdaho	16.7%	15.6%	14.6%	15.2%	8.7%	23.3%	,	66.7%		80.0%
(n=43)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=42)	(n=18)	(n=13)	(n=19)		(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=2)
Illinois	31.9%	29.3%	31.0%	40.7%	26.0%	62.4%	25.0%	15.4%	17.6%	45.0%
(n=153)	(n=134)	(n=134)	(n=141)	(n=70)	(n=40)	(n=92)	(n=7)	(n=17)	(n=9)	(n=27)



Indiana	41.2%	39.2%	40.8%	38.2%	37.8%	40.8%			50.0%	38.5%
(n=66)	(n=60)	(n=60)	(n=61)	(n=47)	(n=25)	(n=45)	(n=1)	(n=2)	(n=7)	(n=10)
lowa	13.3%	12.4%	16.0%	20.8%	57.1%	29.6%		37.5%		14.3%
(n=64)	(n=57)	(n=56)	(n=62)	(n=17)	(n=5)	(n=19)	(n=1)	(n=6)	(n=2)	(n=6)
Kansas	25.9%	26.6%	22.4%	34.8%	25.0%	41.0%	100.0%	45.5%	83.3%	50.0%
(n=89)	(n=78)	(n=76)	(n=81)	(n=44)	(n=19)	(n=37)	(n=2)	(n=11)	(n=6)	(n=18)
Kentucky	48.4%	48.4%	47.6%	47.1%	45.5%	56.9%		40.0%	33.3%	18.2%
(n=41)	(n=40)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=32)	(n=16)	(n=33)	(n=1)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=6)
Louisiana	32.3%	30.9%	29.2%	36.6%		52.8%	94.7%			88.2%
(n=88)	(n=86)	(n=84)	(n=86)	(n=36)	(n=23)	(n=31)	(n=16)	(n=1)		(n=14)
Maryland	18.9%	18.9%	18.9%	31.8%	37.5%	22.9%		50.0%		22.2%
(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=22)	(n=8)	(n=35)	(n=5)	(n=2)	(n=1)	(n=9)
Massachusetts	23.5%	28.0%	29.3%	43.5%	31.8%	50.0%	40.0%			22.2%
(n=74)	(n=56)	(n=61)	(n=71)	(n=28)	(n=13)	(n=42)	(n=3)	(n=4)	(n=2)	(n=5)
Michigan	40.3%	37.1%	33.6%	45.8%	41.3%	50.0%	55.6%	36.4%	25.0%	54.5%
(n=124)	(n=115)	(n=114)	(n=121)	(n=79)	(n=53)	(n=81)	(n=9)	(n=9)	(n=4)	(n=10)
Minnesota	19.1%	18.5%	22.3%	14.3%	28.6%	19.5%				
(n=47)	(n=40)	(n=38)	(n=43)	(n=11)	(n=3)	(n=17)		(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)
Mississippi	4.4%	3.4%	2.2%	7.4%		14.3%				33.3%
(n=89)	(n=82)	(n=81)	(n=82)	(n=25)	(n=2)	(n=20)		(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=3)
Missouri	26.7%	26.0%	26.7%	31.3%	16.7%	45.8%		12.5%	100.0%	46.7%
(n=54)	(n=49)	(n=50)	(n=49)	(n=25)	(n=12)	(n=31)	(n=2)	(n=4)	(n=1)	(n=6)
Nebraska	24.7%	23.3%	23.7%	35.7%	23.1%	51.2%	50.0%	58.3%	20.0%	58.3%
(n=79)	(n=70)	(n=73)	(n=76)	(n=34)	(n=21)	(n=35)	(n=10)	(n=10)	(n=4)	(n=10)
Nevada	27.3%	15.8%	23.8%	71.4%	20.0%	58.3%			100.0%	40.0%
(n=19)	(n=16)	(n=14)	(n=15)	(n=6)	(n=5)	(n=10)	(n=1)	(n=3)	(n=1)	(n=5)
New Hampshire	31.8%	23.8%	27.3%	26.8%	11.8%	57.5%	50.0%	66.7%		35.7%
(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=50)	(n=54)	(n=26)	(n=11)	(n=25)	(n=2)	(n=4)	(n=1)	(n=9)
New Jersey	39.2%	40.2%	39.5%	45.2%	50.0%	61.1%	100.0%	57.1%		
(n=52)	(n=45)	(n=47)	(n=49)	(n=24)	(n=15)	(n=21)	(n=1)	(n=4)	(n=3)	(n=2)
New Mexico	32.4%	23.5%	26.5%	41.7%	20.0%	70.6%	50.0%	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%
(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=28)	(n=28)	(n=10)	(n=4)	(n=14)	(n=2)	(n=3)	(n=2)	(n=5)
New York	41.4%	42.4%	43.0%	42.8%	39.5%	53.6%	63.6%	34.5%	61.5%	60.5%
(n=232)	(n=215)	(n=213)	(n=225)	(n=127)	(n=75)	(n=151)	(n=10)	(n=26)	(n=12)	(n=35)
North Carolina	35.8%	37.3%	33.0%	46.2%	61.5%	57.6%		70.6%		60.0%
(n=108)	(n=100)	(n=96)	(n=100)	(n=50)	(n=25)	(n=61)	(n=3)	(n=16)	(n=3)	(n=9)



Ohio	28.0%	24.5%	19.3%	23.4%	14.1%	37.0%	17.6%	100.0%		33.3%
(n=70)	(n=67)	(n=61)	(n=67)	(n=49)	(n=29)	(n=51)	(n=8)	(n=1)	(n=2)	(n=7)
Oregon	20.7%	20.7%	22.0%	27.3%	27.3%	58.6%	25.0%		50.0%	57.1%
(n=45)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=43)	(n=15)	(n=7)	(n=20)	(n=3)	(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=5)
Pennsylvania	33.1%	34.1%	32.3%	42.7%	15.8%	54.6%	50.0%	33.3%		66.7%
(n=150)	(n=137)	(n=131)	(n=146)	(n=71)	(n=36)	(n=92)	(n=4)	(n=6)	(n=2)	(n=15)
Rhode Island	24.1%	24.1%	24.1%	42.9%		73.3%				
(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=7)	(n=2)	(n=8)				
South Carolina	40.4%	38.6%	25.5%	35.0%	20.0%	50.0%		20.0%		57.1%
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=14)	(n=10)	(n=20)		(n=4)		(n=4)
South Dakota	9.5%	8.2%	9.3%	12.0%		50.0%				25.0%
(n=79)	(n=69)	(n=70)	(n=71)	(n=20)	(n=2)	(n=26)		(n=1)		(n=4)
Texas	17.8%	17.7%	19.5%	22.5%	26.7%	42.4%	50.0%	16.7%	7.1%	25.0%
(n=219)	(n=204)	(n=199)	(n=205)	(n=85)	(n=44)	(n=88)	(n=8)	(n=17)	(n=13)	(n=24)
Utah	17.1%	14.3%	13.9%	16.7%	20.0%	25.0%		50.0%		50.0%
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=35)	(n=36)	(n=12)	(n=5)	(n=16)		(n=2)		(n=4)
Vermont	48.8%	45.2%	48.3%	32.6%	42.9%	53.7%		31.3%	50.0%	52.9%
(n=57)	(n=50)	(n=50)	(n=53)	(n=25)	(n=12)	(n=24)	(n=2)	(n=10)	(n=6)	(n=10)
Virginia	27.6%	23.9%	34.1%	55.6%	61.1%	47.3%	90.0%			50.0%
(n=83)	(n=73)	(n=74)	(n=74)	(n=22)	(n=15)	(n=46)	(n=9)	(n=1)		(n=9)
Washington	24.7%	25.0%	29.1%	25.9%	5.9%	40.4%	12.5%			18.8%
(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=22)	(n=14)	(n=43)	(n=14)	(n=11)	(n=10)	(n=13)
Washington, DC										
(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)
West Virginia	14.9%	13.6%	10.6%	14.3%		24.1%				25.0%
(n=62)	(n=60)	(n=59)	(n=60)	(n=19)	(n=9)	(n=27)		(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=11)
Wisconsin	37.1%	33.9%	32.8%	43.8%	39.3%	59.7%		62.5%		52.9%
(n=69)	(n=66)	(n=63)	(n=67)	(n=39)	(n=16)	(n=42)	(n=2)	(n=5)	(n=2)	(n=12)
Wyoming	39.5%	37.8%	36.8%	87.5%	93.3%	87.5%	100.0%	85.7%	100.0%	93.3%
(n=32)	(n=27)	(n=26)	(n=27)	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=1)	(n=10)	(n=8)	(n=11)
National	28.5%	27.9%	27.5%	33.8%	30.5%	48.1%	37.7%	36.1%	29.3%	44.5%
(n=3,269)	(n=2,977)	(n=2,937)	(n=3,062)	(n=1,450)	(n=750)	(n=1,684)	(n=185)	(n=274)	(n=158)	(n=438)
14		. , , ,	. , , ,	. , , ,	. , ,	, , ,	\ /	\ /		



Figure 23: In	Figure 23: Informal Point of Use Technology Training Offerings, by State										
State	General computer skills	General computer software use	General Internet use	Accessing and using online services and databases	Safe online practices	Social media	General familiarity with new technologies	Assistive Technology use	Cloud computing applications		
Alabama	79.8%	88.0%	83.0%	91.3%	87.5%	71.0%	77.4%		66.7%		
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=67)	(n=71)	(n=74)	(n=52)	(n=27)	(n=28)		(n=6)		
Alaska	85.5%	88.7%	92.3%	94.7%	81.1%	67.7%	81.8%	83.3%	76.9%		
(n=48)	(n=41)	(n=41)	(n=43)	(n=48)	(n=35)	(n=19)	(n=19)	(n=4)	(n=8)		
Arizona	45.7%	54.4%	45.2%	39.4%	46.9%	31.3%	64.0%				
(n=52)	(n=51)	(n=49)	(n=52)	(n=51)	(n=26)	(n=28)	(n=23)	(n=1)	(n=1)		
Arkansas	93.3%	96.2%	93.3%	100.0%	91.4%	75.0%	77.8%		100.0%		
(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=29)	(n=28)	(n=31)	(n=20)	(n=5)	(n=8)		(n=5)		
California	78.2%	78.5%	76.4%	83.9%	71.8%	72.1%	71.1%	77.8%	88.6%		
(n=161)	(n=149)	(n=134)	(n=145)	(n=158)	(n=82)	(n=66)	(n=91)	(n=7)	(n=26)		
Colorado	93.2%	95.9%	96.0%	97.4%	90.9%	87.5%	84.1%	66.7%	90.0%		
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=72)	(n=73)	(n=74)	(n=43)	(n=39)	(n=43)	(n=6)	(n=10)		
Connecticut	74.1%	86.9%	79.0%	82.0%	65.3%	60.5%	68.5%	100.0%	88.2%		
(n=61)	(n=55)	(n=58)	(n=55)	(n=61)	(n=49)	(n=28)	(n=36)	(n=4)	(n=13)		
Delaware	64.3%	75.0%	68.8%	92.9%	83.3%	83.3%	70.0%		50.0%		
(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=5)	(n=5)	(n=7)	(n=1)	(n=2)		
Florida	62.6%	68.9%	64.4%	69.7%	52.3%	58.4%	71.6%	100.0%	82.8%		
(n=95)	(n=87)	(n=90)	(n=90)	(n=95)	(n=73)	(n=59)	(n=52)	(n=1)	(n=21)		
Hawaii	90.0%	94.1%	90.0%	91.9%	90.5%	50.0%	35.0%	36.4%			
(n=49)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=30)	(n=37)	(n=21)	(n=8)	(n=20)	(n=11)	(n=2)		
Idaho	88.5%	82.9%	86.4%	94.9%	89.1%	87.9%	80.0%		20.0%		
(n=43)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=29)	(n=18)	(n=19)		(n=2)		
Illinois	80.3%	85.6%	85.8%	93.2%	74.1%	81.4%	83.9%	75.0%	80.0%		
(n=153)	(n=134)	(n=134)	(n=141)	(n=149)	(n=92)	(n=70)	(n=92)	(n=7)	(n=27)		



Indiana 78.4% 84.5% 78.6% 84.0% 54.1% 69.7% 87.3% 100.0% 78.6% (n=66) (n=60) (n=61) (n=65) (n=39) (n=47) (n=45) (n=1) (n=10) (n=64) (n=57) (n=56) (n=62) (n=62) (n=62) (n=42) (n=17) (n=19) (n=1) (n=6) (n=89) (n=78) (n=78) (n=76) (n=88) (n=78) (n=78) (n=76) (n=61) (n=37) (n=64) (n=44) (n=37) (n=2) (n=18) (n=41) (n=40) (n=40) (n=40) (n=40) (n=40) (n=86) (n=86) (n=86) (n=88) (n=86) (n=57) (n=36) (n=31) (n=16) (n=14) (n=16) (n=14) (n=57) (n=56) (n=51) (n=16) (n=14) (n=57) (n=56) (n=51) (n=16) (n=14) (n=57) (n=56) (n=51) (n=16) (n=14) (n=16) (n=	La dia a	70.40/	04.50/	70.00/	04.00/	E 4 40/	CO 70/	07.00/	400.00/	70.00/
Toward 10										
(n=64) (n=57) (n=65) (n=62) (n=62) (n=42) (n=17) (n=19) (n=1) (n=6) Kansas 84.1% 92.4% 88.2% 91.2% 89.4% 80.4% 74.4% 50.0% 72.2% (n=89) (n=78) (n=76) (n=61) (n=64) (n=44) (n=37) (n=2) (n=18) Kentucky 68.8% 76.6% 74.2% 60.9% 52.4% 71.2% 76.5% 100.0% 81.8% (n=41) (n=40) (n=39) (n=40) (n=25) (n=32) (n=33) (n=1) (n=6) Louisiana 78.1% 78.7% 78.1% 87.9% 98.4% 87.8% 77.1% 89.5% 70.6% (n=88) (n=86) (n=86) (n=88) (n=57) (n=36) (n=31) (n=16) (n=14) Maryland 67.9% 73.6% 73.6% 81.4% 75.0% 81.8% 68.6% 100.0% 100.0% (n=58) (n=52) (n=52)	/				\ /				\ /	
Kansas 84.1% 92.4% 88.2% 91.2% 89.4% 80.4% 74.4% 50.0% 72.2%										
(n=89) (n=78) (n=76) (n=81) (n=87) (n=64) (n=44) (n=37) (n=2) (n=18) Kentucky 68.8% 76.6% 74.2% 60.9% 52.4% 71.2% 76.5% 100.0% 81.8% (n=41) (n=40) (n=40) (n=39) (n=40) (n=25) (n=32) (n=33) (n=1) (n=6) Louisiana 78.1% 78.7% 78.1% 87.9% 98.4% 87.8% 77.1% 89.5% 70.6% (n=88) (n=86) (n=86) (n=88) (n=57) (n=36) (n=31) (n=16) (n=14) Maryland 67.9% 73.6% 73.6% 81.4% 75.0% 81.8% 68.6% 100.0% 100.0% (n=58) (n=52) (n=52) (n=58) (n=43) (n=22) (n=35) (n=5) (n=5) (n=5) (n=6) (n=40) (n=28) (n=40) (n=28) (n=40) (n=28) (n=40) (n=28) (n=41) (n=11) (n=10)	/									
Kentucky 68.8% 76.6% 74.2% 60.9% 52.4% 71.2% 76.5% 100.0% 81.8% (n=41) (n=40) (n=40) (n=33) (n=40) (n=25) (n=32) (n=33) (n=1) (n=6) Louisiana 78.1% 78.7% 78.1% 87.9% 98.4% 87.8% 77.1% 89.5% 70.6% (n=88) (n=86) (n=86) (n=88) (n=67) (n=36) (n=31) (n=16) (n=14) Maryland 67.9% 73.6% 73.6% 81.4% 75.0% 81.8% 68.6% 100.0% 100.0% (n=58) (n=52) (n=52) (n=52) (n=58) (n=43) (n=22) (n=35) (n=5) (n=9) Massachusetts 94.9% 92.5% 91.9% 89.8% 86.1% 82.6% 71.0% 100.0% 22.2% Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% Mins										
(n=41) (n=40) (n=39) (n=40) (n=25) (n=32) (n=33) (n=1) (n=6) Louisiana 78.1% 78.7% 78.1% 87.9% 98.4% 87.8% 77.1% 89.5% 70.6% (n=88) (n=86) (n=86) (n=88) (n=57) (n=36) (n=31) (n=16) (n=14) Maryland 67.9% 73.6% 73.6% 75.0% 81.8% 68.6% 100.0% 100.0% (n=58) (n=52) (n=52) (n=52) (n=58) (n=43) (n=22) (n=35) (n=5) (n=9) Massachusetts 94.9% 92.5% 91.9% 89.8% 86.1% 82.6% 71.0% 100.0% 22.2% (n=74) (n=56) (n=61) (n=71) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=3) (n=5) Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% (n=124) (n=124) <	_ ' _ /									
Louisiana 78.1% 78.7% 78.1% 87.9% 98.4% 87.8% 77.1% 89.5% 70.6% (n=88) (n=86) (n=84) (n=86) (n=88) (n=57) (n=36) (n=31) (n=16) (n=14) Maryland 67.9% 73.6% 73.6% 81.4% 75.0% 81.8% 68.6% 100.0% 100.0% (n=58) (n=52) (n=52) (n=52) (n=58) (n=22) (n=35) (n=5) (n=9) Massachusetts 94.9% 92.5% 91.9% 89.8% 86.1% 82.6% 71.0% 100.0% 22.2% (n=74) (n=56) (n=61) (n=71) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=31) (n=5) Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% (n=124) (n=115) (n=114) (n=121) (n=124) (n=82) (n=79) (n=81) (n=9) (n=10)										
(n=88) (n=86) (n=84) (n=86) (n=88) (n=57) (n=36) (n=31) (n=16) (n=14) Maryland 67.9% 73.6% 73.6% 81.4% 75.0% 81.8% 68.6% 100.0% 100.0% (n=58) (n=52) (n=52) (n=52) (n=58) (n=43) (n=22) (n=35) (n=5) (n=9) Massachusetts 94.9% 92.5% 91.9% 89.8% 86.1% 82.6% 71.0% 100.0% 22.2% (n=74) (n=65) (n=61) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=3) (n=5) (n=5) (n=61) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=3) (n=5) (n=20) (n=20) (n=22) (n=30) (n=22) (n=30) (n=40) (n=32) (n=10) (n=10) (n=10) (n=10) (n=10) (n=10) <td< td=""><td> /</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	/									
Maryland 67.9% 73.6% 73.6% 81.4% 75.0% 81.8% 68.6% 100.0% 100.0% (n=58) (n=52) (n=52) (n=58) (n=43) (n=22) (n=35) (n=5) (n=9) Massachusetts 94.9% 92.5% 91.9% 89.8% 86.1% 82.6% 71.0% 100.0% 22.2% (n=74) (n=56) (n=61) (n=71) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=3) (n=5) Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% (n=124) (n=115) (n=114) (n=121) (n=82) (n=79) (n=81) (n=9) (n=10) Minnesota 92.1% 85.4% 87.2% 90.7% 95.4% 57.1% 53.7% 100.0% (n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=1) Mississispipi 84.6%	Louisiana	78.1%			87.9%	98.4%	87.8%	77.1%	89.5%	70.6%
(n=58) (n=52) (n=52) (n=52) (n=58) (n=43) (n=22) (n=35) (n=5) (n=9) Massachusetts 94.9% 92.5% 91.9% 89.8% 86.1% 82.6% 71.0% 100.0% 22.2% (n=74) (n=56) (n=61) (n=71) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=3) (n=5) Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% (n=124) (n=115) (n=1114) (n=121) (n=24) (n=82) (n=79) (n=81) (n=9) (n=10) Minnesota 92.1% 85.4% 87.2% 90.7% 95.4% 57.1% 53.7% 100.0% (n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=10) Mississippi 84.6% 88.9% 89.0% 93.8% 69.3% 50.0% 76.2% 100.0% 64.3% (n=89)	(n=88)	(n=86)	(n=84)	(n=86)	(n=88)	(n=57)	(n=36)	(n=31)	(n=16)	(n=14)
Massachusetts 94.9% 92.5% 91.9% 89.8% 86.1% 82.6% 71.0% 100.0% 22.2% (n=74) (n=56) (n=61) (n=71) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=3) (n=5) Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% (n=124) (n=115) (n=1114) (n=121) (n=124) (n=82) (n=79) (n=81) (n=9) (n=10) Minnesota 92.1% 85.4% 87.2% 90.7% 95.4% 57.1% 53.7% 100.0% (n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=1) Mississispipi 84.6% 88.9% 89.0% 93.8% 69.3% 50.0% 76.2% 100.0% (n=89) (n=82) (n=81) (n=82) (n=87) (n=68) (n=25) (n=20) (n=3) Missouri	Maryland	67.9%		73.6%	81.4%	75.0%	81.8%		100.0%	100.0%
(n=74) (n=56) (n=61) (n=71) (n=74) (n=40) (n=28) (n=42) (n=3) (n=5) Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% (n=124) (n=115) (n=114) (n=121) (n=124) (n=82) (n=79) (n=81) (n=9) (n=10) Minnesota 92.1% 85.4% 87.2% 90.7% 95.4% 57.1% 53.7% 100.0% (n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=1) Mississippi 84.6% 88.9% 89.0% 93.8% 69.3% 50.0% 76.2% 100.0% (n=89) (n=82) (n=81) (n=82) (n=87) (n=68) (n=25) (n=20) (n=3) Missouri 72.5% 80.8% 78.0% 86.4% 75.8% 55.3% 50.8% 100.0% 64.3% (n=54)	(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=58)	(n=43)	(n=22)	(n=35)	(n=5)	(n=9)
Michigan 84.7% 84.6% 84.3% 88.5% 88.0% 88.5% 86.7% 80.0% 72.7% (n=124) (n=115) (n=114) (n=121) (n=124) (n=82) (n=79) (n=81) (n=9) (n=10) Minnesota 92.1% 85.4% 87.2% 90.7% 95.4% 57.1% 53.7% 100.0% (n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=1) Mississisppi 84.6% 88.9% 89.0% 93.8% 69.3% 50.0% 76.2% 100.0% (n=89) (n=82) (n=81) (n=82) (n=87) (n=68) (n=25) (n=20) (n=3) Missouri 72.5% 80.8% 78.0% 86.4% 75.8% 55.3% 50.8% 100.0% 64.3% (n=54) (n=49) (n=50) (n=49) (n=53) (n=45) (n=25) (n=31) (n=2) (n=6) Nebraska <	Massachusetts	94.9%	92.5%	91.9%	89.8%	86.1%	82.6%	71.0%	100.0%	22.2%
(n=124) (n=115) (n=114) (n=121) (n=124) (n=82) (n=79) (n=81) (n=9) (n=10) Minnesota 92.1% 85.4% 87.2% 90.7% 95.4% 57.1% 53.7% 100.0% (n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=1) Mississippi 84.6% 88.9% 89.0% 93.8% 69.3% 50.0% 76.2% 100.0% (n=89) (n=82) (n=81) (n=87) (n=68) (n=25) (n=20) (n=3) Missouri 72.5% 80.8% 78.0% 86.4% 75.8% 55.3% 50.8% 100.0% 64.3% (n=54) (n=49) (n=50) (n=49) (n=53) (n=45) (n=25) (n=31) (n=2) (n=6) Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70)	(n=74)	(n=56)	(n=61)	(n=71)	(n=74)	(n=40)	(n=28)	(n=42)	(n=3)	(n=5)
Minnesota 92.1% 85.4% 87.2% 90.7% 95.4% 57.1% 53.7% 100.0% (n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=1) Mississispipi 84.6% 88.9% 89.0% 93.8% 69.3% 50.0% 76.2% 100.0% (n=89) (n=82) (n=81) (n=82) (n=87) (n=68) (n=25) (n=20) (n=3) Missouri 72.5% 80.8% 78.0% 86.4% 75.8% 55.3% 50.8% 100.0% 64.3% (n=54) (n=49) (n=50) (n=49) (n=53) (n=45) (n=25) (n=31) (n=2) (n=6) Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70) (n=73) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) New daa 8	Michigan	84.7%	84.6%	84.3%	88.5%	88.0%	88.5%	86.7%	80.0%	72.7%
(n=47) (n=40) (n=38) (n=43) (n=46) (n=31) (n=11) (n=17) (n=1) Mississippi 84.6% 88.9% 89.0% 93.8% 69.3% 50.0% 76.2% 100.0% (n=89) (n=82) (n=81) (n=82) (n=87) (n=68) (n=25) (n=20) (n=3) Missouri 72.5% 80.8% 78.0% 86.4% 75.8% 55.3% 50.8% 100.0% 64.3% (n=54) (n=49) (n=50) (n=49) (n=53) (n=45) (n=25) (n=31) (n=2) (n=6) Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70) (n=73) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) </td <td>(n=124)</td> <td>(n=115)</td> <td>(n=114)</td> <td>(n=121)</td> <td>(n=124)</td> <td>(n=82)</td> <td>(n=79)</td> <td>(n=81)</td> <td>(n=9)</td> <td>(n=10)</td>	(n=124)	(n=115)	(n=114)	(n=121)	(n=124)	(n=82)	(n=79)	(n=81)	(n=9)	(n=10)
Mississippi (n=89) 84.6% (n=82) 88.9% (n=81) 89.0% (n=87) 93.8% (n=68) 50.0% (n=25) 76.2% (n=20) 100.0% (n=3) Missouri 72.5% (n=49) 80.8% (n=50) 78.0% (n=53) 86.4% (n=45) 55.3% (n=25) 50.8% (n=31) 100.0% (n=6) Nebraska 68.2% (n=79) 66.7% (n=79) 69.9% (n=79) 79.4% (n=79) 69.7% (n=34) 50.0% (n=31) 50.0% (n=10) 53.8% (n=10) Nevada 82.6% (n=79) 89.5% (n=79) 89.3% (n=79) 84.6% (n=34) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) (n=10) 80.0% (n=19) New Hampshire 85.9% (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=10) (n=1) 64.3% (n=5) 75.9% (n=3) 72.5% (n=20) (n=3) 100.0% (n=4)	Minnesota	92.1%	85.4%	87.2%	90.7%	95.4%	57.1%	53.7%		100.0%
(n=89) (n=82) (n=81) (n=82) (n=87) (n=68) (n=25) (n=20) (n=3) Missouri 72.5% 80.8% 78.0% 86.4% 75.8% 55.3% 50.8% 100.0% 64.3% (n=54) (n=49) (n=50) (n=49) (n=53) (n=45) (n=25) (n=31) (n=2) (n=6) Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70) (n=70) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) (n=16) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 6	(n=47)	(n=40)	(n=38)	(n=43)	(n=46)	(n=31)	(n=11)	(n=17)		(n=1)
Missouri 72.5% 80.8% 78.0% 86.4% 75.8% 55.3% 50.8% 100.0% 64.3% (n=54) (n=49) (n=50) (n=49) (n=53) (n=45) (n=25) (n=31) (n=2) (n=6) Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70) (n=73) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 64.3%	Mississippi	84.6%	88.9%	89.0%	93.8%	69.3%	50.0%	76.2%		100.0%
(n=54) (n=49) (n=50) (n=49) (n=53) (n=45) (n=25) (n=31) (n=2) (n=6) Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70) (n=73) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 64.3%	(n=89)	(n=82)	(n=81)	(n=82)	(n=87)	(n=68)	(n=25)	(n=20)		(n=3)
Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70) (n=73) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 64.3%	Missouri	72.5%	80.8%	78.0%	86.4%	75.8%	55.3%	50.8%	100.0%	64.3%
Nebraska 68.2% 66.7% 69.9% 79.4% 69.7% 50.0% 59.5% 50.0% 53.8% (n=79) (n=70) (n=73) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 64.3%	(n=54)	(n=49)	(n=50)	(n=49)	(n=53)	(n=45)	(n=25)	(n=31)	(n=2)	(n=6)
(n=79) (n=70) (n=73) (n=76) (n=79) (n=54) (n=34) (n=35) (n=10) (n=10) Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 64.3%	Nebraska	68.2%	66.7%	69.9%	79.4%	69.7%	50.0%	59.5%	50.0%	
Nevada 82.6% 89.5% 90.5% 89.3% 84.6% 57.1% 91.7% 100.0% 80.0% (n=19) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 64.3%	(n=79)	(n=70)	(n=73)	(n=76)	(n=79)	(n=54)	(n=34)	(n=35)	(n=10)	(n=10)
(n=19) (n=16) (n=14) (n=15) (n=19) (n=9) (n=6) (n=10) (n=1) (n=5) New Hampshire 85.9% 88.9% 86.4% 85.6% 75.9% 63.4% 72.5% 100.0% 64.3%	Nevada	82.6%	89.5%	90.5%	89.3%	84.6%	57.1%	91.7%	100.0%	80.0%
		(n=16)	(n=14)		(n=19)	(n=9)	(n=6)	(n=10)	(n=1)	(n=5)
	New Hampshire	85.9%	88.9%	86.4%	85.6%	75.9%	63.4%	72.5%	100.0%	64.3%
, (n=58) (n=52) (n=50) (n=54) (n=56) (n=32) (n=26) (n=25) (n=2) (n=9)	(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=50)	(n=54)	(n=56)	(n=32)	(n=26)	(n=25)	(n=2)	(n=9)
New Jersey 78.2% 79.3% 76.7% 76.9% 68.6% 71.4% 83.3% 100.0% 100.0%	New Jersey	78.2%	79.3%		76.9%	68.6%				100.0%
(n=52) (n=45) (n=47) (n=49) (n=51) (n=29) (n=24) (n=21) (n=1) (n=2)		(n=45)		(n=49)		(n=29)			(n=1)	
New Mexico 73.5% 82.4% 82.4% 72.7% 58.3% 76.5% 50.0% 83.3%	New Mexico	73.5%	82.4%	82.4%	82.4%	72.7%	58.3%		50.0%	83.3%
(n=31) (n=28) (n=28) (n=28) (n=28) (n=18) (n=10) (n=14) (n=2) (n=5)										
New York 64.1% 68.5% 67.1% 76.9% 69.8% 59.4% 65.5% 81.8% 63.2%										
(n=232) (n=215) (n=213) (n=225) (n=227) (n=124) (n=127) (n=151) (n=10) (n=35)										
North Carolina 78.5% 81.4% 83.0% 88.6% 65.7% 76.9% 74.2% 75.0% 60.0%										
(n=108) (n=100) (n=96) (n=100) (n=107) (n=65) (n=50) (n=61) (n=3) (n=9)										



Ohio	88.0%	86.5%	91.0%	89.6%	77.2%	80.0%	89.8%	100.0%	84.6%
(n=70)	(n=67)	(n=61)	(n=67)	(n=69)	(n=37)	(n=49)	(n=51)	(n=8)	(n=7)
Oregon	89.7%	93.1%	93.3%	93.5%	92.5%	90.9%	65.5%	100.0%	75.0%
(n=45)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=43)	(n=45)	(n=29)	(n=15)	(n=20)	(n=3)	(n=5)
Pennsylvania	81.4%	82.7%	81.3%	85.4%	76.1%	66.7%	74.2%	50.0%	81.3%
(n=150)	(n=137)	(n=131)	(n=146)	(n=148)	(n=87)	(n=71)	(n=92)	(n=4)	(n=15)
Rhode Island	78.6%	85.7%	78.6%	85.7%	47.4%	61.5%	50.0%		
(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=10)	(n=7)	(n=8)		
South Carolina	82.7%	90.9%	84.3%	96.2%	79.2%	90.0%	67.9%		100.0%
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=36)	(n=17)	(n=14)	(n=20)		(n=4)
South Dakota	86.9%	85.9%	84.9%	85.1%	87.3%	57.7%	76.7%		75.0%
(n=79)	(n=69)	(n=70)	(n=71)	(n=78)	(n=58)	(n=20)	(n=26)		(n=4)
Texas	80.4%	80.4%	81.9%	86.8%	70.7%	74.2%	72.8%	75.0%	62.5%
(n=219)	(n=204)	(n=199)	(n=205)	(n=216)	(n=133)	(n=85)	(n=88)	(n=8)	(n=24)
Utah	91.4%	88.6%	88.9%	83.3%	83.3%	75.0%	62.5%		50.0%
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=35)	(n=36)	(n=36)	(n=24)	(n=12)	(n=16)		(n=4)
Vermont	75.0%	81.2%	74.2%	82.4%	72.1%	52.4%	61.0%		17.6%
(n=57)	(n=50)	(n=50)	(n=53)	(n=54)	(n=36)	(n=25)	(n=24)	(n=2)	(n=10)
Virginia	78.2%	81.8%	81.8%	96.6%	82.2%	96.3%	70.9%	90.0%	100.0%
(n=83)	(n=73)	(n=74)	(n=74)	(n=73)	(n=37)	(n=22)	(n=46)	(n=9)	(n=9)
Washington	94.1%	95.5%	95.3%	96.6%	83.9%	92.9%	94.6%	100.0%	81.3%
(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=66)	(n=46)	(n=22)	(n=43)	(n=14)	(n=13)
Washington, DC									
(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)
West Virginia	88.1%	92.3%	93.9%	92.8%	88.6%	85.7%	86.2%		100.0%
(n=62)	(n=60)	(n=59)	(n=60)	(n=62)	(n=40)	(n=19)	(n=27)		(n=11)
Wisconsin	82.8%	89.9%	87.4%	92.5%	77.1%	75.4%	74.6%	66.7%	88.2%
(n=69)	(n=66)	(n=63)	(n=67)	(n=68)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=42)	(n=2)	(n=12)
Wyoming	65.8%	67.6%	68.4%	69.0%	64.7%	25.0%	12.5%	100.0%	13.3%
(n=32)	(n=27)	(n=26)	(n=27)	(n=30)	(n=23)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=1)	(n=11)
National	79.4%	82.4%	81.2%	85.7%	75.7%	70.5%	73.1%	71.9%	70.2%
(n=3,269)	(n=2,977)	(n=2,937)	(n=3,062)	(n=3,202)	(n=2,034)	(n=1,450)	(n=1,684)	(n=185)	(n=438)
Vav Na data			. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		/				



Figure 24: Online Technology Training Materials Offerings, by State											
State	General computer skills	General computer software use	General Internet use	Accessing and using online services and databases	Safe online practices	Digital photography, software, hardware, and online applications	General familiarity with new technologies	Web site development	Digital content creation	Cloud computing applications	
Alabama	6.8%	8.4%	6.9%	5.5%	4.6%	10.0%	20.0%			33.3%	
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=67)	(n=71)	(n=74)	(n=52)	(n=9)	(n=28)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=6)	
Alaska		1.6%	1.6%	4.0%						8.3%	
(n=48)	(n=41)	(n=41)	(n=43)	(n=48)	(n=35)	(n=13)	(n=19)	(n=4)	(n=5)	(n=8)	
Arizona	28.6%	27.9%	27.8%		21.9%		20.0%				
(n=52)	(n=51)	(n=49)	(n=52)	(n=51)	(n=26)	(n=12)	(n=23)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)	
Arkansas	2.6%	3.8%	2.6%	4.6%		20.0%					
(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=29)	(n=28)	(n=31)	(n=20)	(n=2)	(n=8)		(n=2)	(n=5)	
California	10.2%	10.8%	6.0%	5.1%	2.6%	7.4%	14.2%	50.0%	50.0%	11.4%	
(n=161)	(n=149)	(n=134)	(n=145)	(n=158)	(n=82)	(n=22)	(n=91)	(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=26)	
Colorado	23.3%	24.3%	21.3%	15.8%	15.9%	20.0%	13.6%	14.3%	11.1%		
(n=74)	(n=71)	(n=72)	(n=73)	(n=74)	(n=43)	(n=25)	(n=43)	(n=7)	(n=9)	(n=10)	
Connecticut	9.9%	9.4%	9.9%	8.0%	15.3%	17.9%	20.8%			11.1%	
(n=61)	(n=55)	(n=58)	(n=55)	(n=61)	(n=49)	(n=19)	(n=36)	(n=3)	(n=1)	(n=13)	
Delaware	7.1%	6.3%	6.3%	7.1%	16.7%					50.0%	
(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=5)	(n=2)	(n=7)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=2)	
Florida	6.9%	3.7%	19.3%	9.9%	27.3%	3.3%	41.9%		14.3%	3.4%	
(n=95)	(n=87)	(n=90)	(n=90)	(n=95)	(n=73)	(n=22)	(n=52)	(n=3)	(n=6)	(n=21)	
Hawaii	3.3%	5.9%	3.3%	5.4%			5.0%				
(n=49)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=30)	(n=37)	(n=21)	(n=1)	(n=20)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=2)	
Ìdaho	2.5%	3.9%	2.4%	3.8%	5.5%	8.7%	6.7%	66.7%	, ,	`	
(n=43)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=29)	(n=13)	(n=19)	(n=2)		(n=2)	
Illinois	7.4%	13.3%	8.6%	8.3%	10.3%	14.3%	20.0%	18.8%	28.6%	12.8%	
(n=153)	(n=134)	(n=134)	(n=141)	(n=149)	(n=92)	(n=40)	(n=92)	(n=9)	(n=13)	(n=27)	



Indiana	23.7%	17.3%	12.2%	8.6%	13.1%	18.9%	17.1%	60.0%	16.7%	28.6%
(n=66)	(n=60)	(n=60)	(n=61)	(n=65)	(n=39)	(n=25)	(n=45)	(n=7)	(n=4)	(n=10)
lowa	9.2%	7.2%	7.5%	10.4%	2.8%		11.1%	50.0%	50.0%	14.3%
(n=64)	(n=57)	(n=56)	(n=62)	(n=62)	(n=42)	(n=5)	(n=19)	(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=6)
Kansas	4.9%	5.1%	3.5%	3.3%	10.6%	5.0%	5.1%			
(n=89)	(n=78)	(n=76)	(n=81)	(n=87)	(n=64)	(n=19)	(n=37)	(n=6)	(n=5)	(n=18)
Kentucky	7.8%	9.4%	9.5%	3.1%	4.8%	13.0%	26.9%	33.3%	50.0%	
(n=41)	(n=40)	(n=40)	(n=39)	(n=40)	(n=25)	(n=16)	(n=33)	(n=3)	(n=2)	(n=6)
Louisiana	15.6%	16.0%	15.6%	15.2%	19.0%	3.8%	41.7%			64.7%
(n=88)	(n=86)	(n=84)	(n=86)	(n=88)	(n=57)	(n=23)	(n=31)		(n=1)	(n=14)
Maryland	13.2%	7.5%	3.8%	16.9%	4.5%		20.0%			11.1%
(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=52)	(n=58)	(n=43)	(n=8)	(n=35)	(n=1)	(n=2)	(n=9)
Massachusetts	5.1%	4.7%	2.4%	6.3%	9.7%		16.2%			
(n=74)	(n=56)	(n=61)	(n=71)	(n=74)	(n=40)	(n=13)	(n=42)	(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=5)
Michigan	15.3%	18.2%	13.8%	9.6%	5.1%	1.6%	27.6%	25.0%	100.0%	18.2%
(n=124)	(n=115)	(n=114)	(n=121)	(n=124)	(n=82)	(n=53)	(n=81)	(n=4)	(n=1)	(n=10)
Minnesota	3.4%	7.3%	5.4%	6.5%	4.6%	50.0%	11.9%			
(n=47)	(n=40)	(n=38)	(n=43)	(n=46)	(n=31)	(n=3)	(n=17)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=1)
Mississippi	15.4%	16.7%	17.6%	2.1%	1.3%		36.4%			
(n=89)	(n=82)	(n=81)	(n=82)	(n=87)	(n=68)	(n=2)	(n=20)	(n=2)		(n=3)
Missouri	12.7%	11.4%	9.9%	10.8%	8.4%	25.0%	22.0%			20.0%
(n=54)	(n=49)	(n=50)	(n=49)	(n=53)	(n=45)	(n=12)	(n=31)	(n=1)		(n=6)
Nebraska	1.2%	2.2%	3.2%	5.2%	7.6%	7.7%	14.3%			
(n=79)	(n=70)	(n=73)	(n=76)	(n=79)	(n=54)	(n=21)	(n=35)	(n=4)	(n=1)	(n=10)
Nevada	13.6%	15.8%	14.3%	10.7%	15.4%	40.0%	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	16.7%
(n=19)	(n=16)	(n=14)	(n=15)	(n=19)	(n=9)	(n=5)	(n=10)	(n=1)	(n=2)	(n=5)
New Hampshire	11.8%	6.2%	5.7%	7.8%	3.7%	11.8%	5.0%			14.3%
(n=58)	(n=52)	(n=50)	(n=54)	(n=56)	(n=32)	(n=11)	(n=25)	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=9)
New Jersey	12.7%	15.9%	15.3%	12.1%	5.9%	20.7%	25.0%	33.3%		
(n=52)	(n=45)	(n=47)	(n=49)	(n=51)	(n=29)	(n=15)	(n=21)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=2)
New Mexico	14.7%	20.6%	20.6%	14.7%			23.5%			
(n=31)	(n=28)	(n=28)	(n=28)	(n=28)	(n=18)	(n=4)	(n=14)	(n=2)	(n=1)	(n=5)
New York	14.8%	12.3%	8.5%	6.8%	12.2%	12.2%	14.5%	23.1%		15.8%
(n=232)	(n=215)	(n=213)	(n=225)	(n=227)	(n=124)	(n=75)	(n=151)	(n=12)	(n=10)	(n=35)
North Carolina	15.0%	16.5%	7.5%	6.1%	5.8%	7.7%	21.2%	33.3%	50.0%	20.0%
(n=108)	(n=100)	(n=96)	(n=100)	(n=107)	(n=65)	(n=25)	(n=61)	(n=3)	(n=2)	(n=9)



Ohio	5.2%	6.7%	5.1%	8.8%	6.0%	4.7%	15.9%			
(n=70)	(n=67)	(n=61)	(n=67)	(n=69)	(n=37)	(n=29)	(n=51)	(n=2)	(n=3)	(n=7)
Oregon	8.6%	13.8%	10.2%	3.2%	2.5%	18.2%	31.0%	50.0%		37.5%
(n=45)	(n=42)	(n=42)	(n=43)	(n=45)	(n=29)	(n=7)	(n=20)	(n=2)	(n=1)	(n=5)
Pennsylvania	8.2%	16.7%	12.3%	5.1%	19.6%	42.1%	12.4%	50.0%		
(n=150)	(n=137)	(n=131)	(n=146)	(n=148)	(n=87)	(n=36)	(n=92)	(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=15)
Rhode Island	7.1%						26.7%			
(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=16)	(n=10)	(n=2)	(n=8)			
South Carolina	11.5%	9.1%	11.8%	5.7%	4.0%		7.1%			
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=30)	(n=34)	(n=36)	(n=17)	(n=10)	(n=20)		(n=1)	(n=4)
South Dakota				4.2%	1.4%		6.7%			
(n=79)	(n=69)	(n=70)	(n=71)	(n=78)	(n=58)	(n=2)	(n=26)			(n=4)
Texas	21.0%	19.1%	17.7%	11.0%	15.8%	10.9%	20.7%	7.1%	27.3%	29.2%
(n=219)	(n=204)	(n=199)	(n=205)	(n=216)	(n=133)	(n=44)	(n=88)	(n=13)	(n=11)	(n=24)
Utah	2.9%	5.7%	2.8%	2.8%			12.5%			
(n=36)	(n=35)	(n=35)	(n=36)	(n=36)	(n=24)	(n=5)	(n=16)		(n=2)	(n=4)
Vermont	3.6%	8.2%	5.6%	5.5%	4.9%		7.5%			11.8%
(n=57)	(n=50)	(n=50)	(n=53)	(n=54)	(n=36)	(n=12)	(n=24)	(n=6)	(n=1)	(n=10)
Virginia	14.9%	14.8%	10.2%	11.6%	4.5%		10.9%		25.0%	10.0%
(n=83)	(n=73)	(n=74)	(n=74)	(n=73)	(n=37)	(n=15)	(n=46)		(n=3)	(n=9)
Washington	20.9%	22.7%	22.1%	25.0%	19.4%		26.8%			
(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=66)	(n=64)	(n=66)	(n=46)	(n=14)	(n=43)	(n=10)	(n=12)	(n=13)
Washington, DC										
(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)	(n=23)
West Virginia	3.0%	4.6%	4.5%	4.3%	9.1%		6.7%			8.3%
(n=62)	(n=60)	(n=59)	(n=60)	(n=62)	(n=40)	(n=9)	(n=27)	(n=1)		(n=11)
Wisconsin	12.9%	11.8%	6.8%	10.1%	15.9%	7.1%	16.7%			5.9%
(n=69)	(n=66)	(n=63)	(n=67)	(n=68)	(n=40)	(n=16)	(n=42)	(n=2)	(n=2)	(n=12)
Wyoming	2.6%	2.7%		2.4%						
(n=32)	(n=27)	(n=26)	(n=27)	(n=30)	(n=23)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=8)	(n=9)	(n=11)
National	10.7%	11.3%	9.5%	7.8%	9.0%	10.0%	17.7%	13.2%	9.0%	11.3%
(n=3,269)	(n=2,977)	(n=2,937)	(n=3,062)	(n=3,202)	(n=2,034)	(n=750)	(n=1,684)	(n=158)	(n=152)	(n=438)
17							. , ,	, ,		` '



Library Programs, Information Sessions & Events

State Alabama (n=78) Alaska (n=51) Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut (n=61)	96.9% 98.7% 97.2% 93.4% 100.0%
(n=78) Alaska (n=51) Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	98.7% 97.2% 93.4%
Alaska (n=51) Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	97.2%
(n=51) Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	97.2%
Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	93.4%
(n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	93.4%
Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	
(n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	
California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	100.0%
(n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	100.0%
Colorado (n=74) Connecticut	
(n=74) Connecticut	100.0%
Connecticut	100.0 /0
	100.0%
U=D U	100.0 /0
Delaware	100.0%
(n=12)	100.0 /0
Florida	100.0%
(n=95)	100.076
Hawaii	98.0%
(n=50)	00.070
daho	100.0%
(n=44)	
Ilinois	99.6%
(n=159)	
ndiana	100.0%
(n=75)	
owa	100.0%
(n=64)	
Kansas	100.0%
(n=100)	
Kentucky	100.0%
(n=41)	
Louisiana	100.0%
(n=91)	100.00
Maryland	100.0%
(n=58)	400.007
Massachusetts	100.0%
(n=76)	100.00/
Michigan	100.0%
(n=125)	100.00/
Minnesota	100.0%
(n=48)	100.0%
Mississippi (n=89)	



Missouri	98.2%
(n=54)	90.270
	99.0%
Nebraska	99.0%
(n=81)	400.00/
Nevada	100.0%
(n=19)	100.00
New Hampshire	100.0%
(n=64)	
New Jersey	97.8%
(n=52)	
New Mexico	100.0%
(n=31)	
New York	100.0%
(n=235)	
North Carolina	100.0%
(n=110)	
Ohio	100.0%
(n=70)	
Oregon	100.0%
(n=49)	100.070
Pennsylvania	100.0%
(n=150)	100.070
Rhode Island	100.0%
(n=16)	100.0 /0
South Carolina	100.0%
	100.076
(n=37)	400.00/
South Dakota	100.0%
(n=80)	00.00/
Texas	98.3%
(n=219)	
Utah	100.0%
(n=36)	
Vermont	100.0%
(n=58)	
Virginia	100.0%
(n=87)	
Washington	100.0%
(n=66)	
Washington, DC	100.0%
(n=23)	
West Virginia	100.0%
(n=62)	
Wisconsin	99.2%
(n=70)	
Wyoming	100.0%
(n=32)	100.070
	20.50/
National	99.5%
(n=3,338)	
Key: *: insufficient data to report	
Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses).



Figure 26: Educ	Figure 26: Education and Learning Programs offered to Patrons, by State									
State	Accessing and using formal online education content (e.g., distance education courses, online Advanced Placement courses)	Basic literacy skills (e.g., basic math, basic reading, basic writing)	GED or equivalent education	Summer reading	ESL/ESOL/ELL (e.g., conversational groups, literacy tutoring, citizenship)	Foreign language instruction	Science, Technology, Engineering, Math (STEM) Maker Spaces (e.g., robotics, LittleBits, Arduino)	Other		
Alabama	,	<u>,</u>			.,		,			
(n=76)	11.7%	31.9%	25.5%	100.0%	8.5%	7.5%	16.8%	10.6%		
Alaska (n=50)	49.4%	48.1%	42.9%	92.3%	11.7%	5.1%	32.1%	5.2%		
Arizona (n=50)	5.8%	17.4%	22.9%	97.1%	20.0%	10.0%	1.4%	2.9%		
Arkansas (n=30)	3.5%	22.1%	22.4%	100.0%	3.5%	3.5%	12.8%	1.2%		
California (n=162)	12.2%	42.2%	18.4%	97.8%	22.1%	9.0%	12.2%	16.6%		
Colorado (n=74)	25.0%	36.8%	25.0%	97.4%	18.4%	9.2%	32.9%	6.6%		
Connecticut (n=61)	26.1%	39.8%	19.3%	97.8%	28.4%	6.8%	23.9%	6.8%		
Delaware (n=12)	31.3%	25.0%	43.8%	100.0%	25.0%	18.8%	60.0%	18.8%		
Florida (n=95)	9.2%	46.5%	31.7%	100.0%	23.9%	5.6%	12.0%	2.1%		
Hawaii (n=49)	18.4%	10.2%	14.3%	98.0%	4.1%	2.0%	10.2%	10.2%		
Idaho (n=44)	35.3%	20.0%	8.2%	100.0%	7.1%	2.4%	31.0%	8.3%		
Illinois (n=158)	16.1%	27.5%	29.1%	99.1%	19.3%	4.5%	20.7%	8.1%		
Indiana (n=75)	15.2%	33.6%	25.4%	98.4%	12.8%	6.4%	16.8%	9.6%		



		1		T		T		
lowa (n=64)	11.0%	36.7%	26.6%	100.0%	5.5%	2.8%	12.8%	6.4%
Kansas		000070		,.				511,0
(n=100)	20.0%	44.8%	37.1%	98.1%	10.5%	11.4%	10.5%	4.8%
Kentucky								
(n=41)	33.8%	22.7%	36.4%	100.0%	7.7%	9.2%	4.5%	3.0%
Louisiana								
(n=91)	10.8%	26.7%	19.6%	100.0%	5.9%	1.0%	18.6%	10.8%
Maryland								
(n=58)	10.2%	15.3%	8.5%	100.0%	22.0%	8.5%	62.7%	18.6%
Massachusetts								
(n=76)	11.4%	32.6%	29.8%	100.0%	22.7%	7.6%	13.7%	6.1%
Michigan								
(n=125)	7.0%	21.0%	14.0%	98.1%	9.6%	4.5%	10.8%	8.3%
Minnesota								
(n=48)	25.2%	34.8%	29.5%	99.1%	14.3%	9.0%	3.6%	8.1%
Mississippi								
(n=89)	6.1%	24.5%	30.6%	100.0%	3.0%	2.0%	8.1%	10.1%
Missouri								
(n=53)	6.3%	34.8%	44.6%	100.0%	5.4%	2.7%	2.7%	1.8%
Nebraska								
(n=80)	17.2%	21.4%	31.6%	98.0%	6.1%	1.0%	4.1%	9.2%
Nevada								
(n=19)	10.7%	46.4%	28.6%	92.9%	21.4%	14.3%	35.7%	17.9%
New Hampshire								
(n=64)	5.7%	21.2%	20.2%	95.2%	4.8%	5.7%	1.0%	16.3%
New Jersey								
(n=51)	9.9%	37.8%	22.2%	94.5%	53.3%	8.9%	21.1%	7.7%
New Mexico		40.00			40.004			
(n=31)	23.7%	43.2%	39.5%	100.0%	18.9%	13.5%	10.5%	5.4%
New York	40.404	20.00/	00.40/	00.00/	22 424		45.00/	0.00/
(n=235)	13.1%	36.8%	26.4%	96.9%	26.1%	8.0%	15.3%	9.2%
North Carolina	40.00/	05.00/	05.40/	100.00/	40.70/	0.00/	40.00/	44.007
(n=110)	12.0%	35.0%	25.4%	100.0%	13.7%	6.0%	16.2%	11.9%



Ohio	4.00/	00.70/	40.40/	400.00/	7.00/	0.70/	44.40/	02.00/
(n=70)	4.9%	22.7%	16.1%	100.0%	7.6%	2.7%	11.4%	23.2%
Oregon (n=49)	8.8%	26.5%	23.5%	97.1%	16.2%	11.8%	13.2%	20.6%
Pennsylvania								
(n=150)	20.8%	45.9%	32.1%	99.4%	24.5%	15.8%	28.9%	8.2%
Rhode Island								
(n=16)	7.1%	50.0%	14.3%	100.0%	28.6%		21.4%	14.3%
South Carolina								
(n=37)	10.9%	29.1%	16.4%	98.2%	3.6%	9.1%	16.4%	10.9%
South Dakota								
(n=80)	22.7%	39.2%	33.0%	99.0%	2.1%		1.0%	5.2%
Texas								
(n=215)	17.7%	42.2%	40.7%	97.3%	27.4%	12.8%	16.8%	5.3%
Utah								
(n=36)	13.9%	41.7%	30.6%	100.0%	13.9%		5.6%	2.8%
Vermont								
(n=58)	25.5%	35.7%	27.6%	98.0%	3.1%	11.2%	13.3%	12.2%
Virginia	40.50/	20 70/	00.00/	07.40/	40.00/		47.00/	0 70/
(n=87)	12.5%	33.7%	39.8%	97.1%	16.3%		17.3%	8.7%
Washington	44.00/	0.40/	F 70/	400.00/	00.50/	4.40/	44.00/	40.00/
(n=66)	14.8%	9.1%	5.7%	100.0%	29.5%	1.1%	44.3%	10.2%
Washington, DC		400.00/	400.00/	400.00/	400.00/	400.00/	400.00/	
(n=23) West Virginia		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
(n=62)	18.8%	46.4%	42.0%	98.6%	2.9%		4.3%	1.4%
Wisconsin	10.0 /0	40.4 /0	42.0 /0	30.070	2.370		4.5 /0	1.4 /0
(n=69)	20.3%	32.5%	22.0%	96.0%	13.0%	4.9%	21.1%	5.7%
Wyoming	20.070	JZ.J /0	22.0 /0	30.070	13.070	7.570	Z1.170	J.1 /0
(n=32)	28.9%	35.6%	37.8%	100.0%	26.7%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%
National								
(n=3321)	15.2%	33.2%	27.1%	98.4%	16.2%	6.8%	16.2%	8.9%
Kow - No data to re	an ant							



Figure 27: Public Library Outlets Offering Economy and Workforce Development Programs to Patrons, by State

Alabama (n=78) Alaska (n=51) Alaska (n=51) Arkansas (n=62) Arkansas (n=62) California (n=62) Colorado (n=74) Connectcut (n=61) Delaware (n=95) Hawaii (n=50) Ildaho (n=44) Illinois (n=159) Indiana (n=56) Indiana (n=56) Indiana (n=64) (n=64) (n=64) Arkansas (n=100) Kentucky (n=64) (n=100) Kentucky (n=66) Micsigan (n=56) Micsigan (n=66) Mississippi (n=68) Mississippi (n=68) Mississippi (n0.0%	State	Outlets Offering Education and Learning Programs
(n=78) Alaska (n=51) Arizona (n=52) Arizona (n=62) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut (n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida (n=95) Hawaii (n=50) Idiaho (n=44) Illinois (n=159) Indiana (n=75) Iowa (n=64) Kansas (n=100) Kentucky (n=64) (n=41) Louisiana (n=10) (n=91) Massachusetts (n=76) Michigan (n=168) Michigan (n=169) Mississippi Minosota (n=48) Mississippi Minosota (n=48) Mississippi Minosota (n=48) Mississippi Minosota (n=40) Mississippi Minosota (n=40) Mississippi Minosota (n=40) Michigan (n=48) Mississippi Minosota (n=48) Mississippi Minosota (n=40) Michigan (n=40) M	Alabama	
Alaska (n=51) 84.8% (n=52) 97.2% Arkansas (n=32) 93.4% California (n=162) 91.0% Colorado (n=74) 91.0% Connecticut (n=61) 91.0% Delaware (n=12) 93.8% Florida (n=50) 97.2% Idabo (n=44) 96.4% Illinois (n=159) 94.2% Indiana (n=75) 93.6% Iowa (n=64) 93.6% Kansas (n=100) 90.8% Kentucky (n=41) 84.8% Louisiana (n=10) 96.3% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan (n=75) 96.8% Michigan (n=76) 96.8% Michigan (n=58) 96.8% Mississippi 100.0%		
(n=51) Arizona (n=52) Arkansas (n=32) California (n=162) Colorado (n=74) Connecticut (n=16) Conecticut (n=12) Florida (n=95) Hawaii (n=59) Idaho (n=44) Illinois (n=159) Indiana (n=75) Iowa (n=64) Kansas (n=100) Kansas (n=100) Idaho (n=64) (n=17) Indiana (n=75) Iowa (n=64) (n		84.8%
Arizona (n=52) (n=52) (n=52) Arkansas 93.4% (n=32) California 91.0% (n=162) Colorado 93.4% (n=74) Connecticut 91.0% (n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida 97.2% (n=95) Hawaii 12.0% (n=50) Idaho 96.4% (n=44) Illinois 94.2% (n=159) Inidiana 93.8% (n=75) Iowa (n=75) Iowa (n=64) Kansas 98.1% (n=100) Kansas 98.1% (n=11) Louisiana (n=11) Louisiana (n=11) Louisiana (n=11) Louisiana (n=11) Louisiana (n=11) Louisiana (n=11) Maryland 98.3% (n=58) Mincesta (n=16) Michigian (n=16) Michigian (n=16) Michigian (n=16) Michigian (n=125) Minnesota (n=48) Minsissispi 100.0%		
(n=52) Arkansas 93.4% (n=75) (n=162) California 91.0% (n=162) Colorado 93.4% (n=75) Delaware (n=16) (n=50) Idaho 96.4% (n=59) Ilinois (n=165) Ilinois 94.2% (n=165) Ilinois 93.6% (n=75) Iowa (n=75) Iowa 90.8% (n=100) Kansas (n=100) Kansas 98.1% (n=100) Kansas 98.1% (n=100) Kansas 98.1% (n=100) Kansas 98.1% (n=1100) Kansas 98.1% (n=1100) Kansas 98.3% (n=100) Kansasachusetts 98.3% (n=16) Kansas 98.3% (n=16) Kansas 98.3% (n=16) Kansas 98.3% (n=16) Kansas 98.3% (n=16) Kansasachusetts 98.3% (n=16) Kansasachusetts 98.3% (n=16) Kansasachusetts 99.5% (n=125) Minnesota (n=125) Minnesota (n=148) Minnesota (n=148)		97.2%
Arkansas (n=32) (n=32) (n=162) Colorado (n=162) Connecticut (n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida (n=58) (n=50) Hawaii (n=44) Illinois (n=159) Indiana (n=75) Indiana (n=75) Indiana (n=64) Kansas (n=64) Kansas (n=10) (n=10) Kentucky (n=41) Louisiana (n=10) Kentucky (n=41) Louisiana (n=58) Maryland (n=58) Massachusetts (n=68) Minesota (n=125) Minesota (n=12) Minesota (n=12) 96.8% (n=12) 91.0% (n=100)		
California (n=162) 93.4% Colorado (n=74) 91.0% Connecticut (n=61) 91.0% (n=10) 97.2% Ploida (n=95) 97.2% Hawaii (n=50) 12.0% (n=95) Idaho (n=50) 96.4% Illinois (n=159) 94.2% Indiana (n=75) 93.6% Iowa (n=64) 90.8% Kansas (n=64) 98.1% Kansas (n=100) 98.1% Kentucky (n=41) 100.0% Louisiana (n=91) 100.0% Masyand (n=95) 98.3% (n=58) 96.8% Minnesota (n=125) 96.8% Minnesota (n=48) 95.5% Minnesota (n=48) 95.5% Mississippi 100.0%		93.4%
(n=162) Colorado 93.4% (n=74) Connecticut 91.0% (n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida 97.2% (n=95) Hawaii 12.0% (n=50) Idaho 96.4% (n=44) Illinois 94.2% (n=159) Iowa 99.8% (n=64) Kansas 98.1% (n=100) Kentucky (n=41) Louisiana (n=91) Maryland (n=91) Maryland (n=156) Massachusetts (n=168) Minesota (n=125) Minesota (n=12	(n=32)	
Colorado (n=74) Connecticut (n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida (n=95) Hawaii (n=60) Idaho (n=44) Illinois (n=169) Indiana (n=75) Iowa (n=64) Kansas (n=100) Kentucky (n=41) Louisiana (n=76) Maryland (n=91) Massachusetts (n=76) Massachusetts (n=76) Michigan (n=25) Minnesota (n=125) Minnesota (n=48) Mississippi 100.0%	California	91.0%
(n=74) Connecticut 91.0% (n=61) Delaware 93.8% (n=12) Florida 97.2% (n=55) Hawaii 12.0% (n=50) Hawaii 96.4% (n=44) Illinois 94.2% (n=159) Indiana 93.6% (n=75) Iowa 90.8% (n=75) Iowa 90.8% (n=100) Kentucky (n=100) Kentucky (n=11) Louisiana (n=91) Maryland (n=58) Massachusetts (n=76) Massachusetts (n=76) Michigan 96.8% (n=125) Minnesota (n=125) Minnesota (n=28) Minnesota (n=28) Minnesota (n=128) Minnesota ((n=162)	
Connecticut (n=61) 91.0% Delaware (n=12) 93.8% Florida (n=95) 97.2% Hawaii (n=50) 12.0% Idaho (n=44) 96.4% Illinois (n=159) 94.2% Indiana (n=75) 10wa (n=75) Iowa (n=64) 90.8% Kansas (n=100) 98.1% Kentucky (n=41) 84.8% Louisiana (n=91) 100.0% Maryland (n=58) 98.3% Massachusetts (n=76) 96.8% Michigan (n=125) 96.8% Minnesota (n=48) 95.5% Mississippi 100.0% 100.0%	Colorado	93.4%
(n=61) Delaware (n=12) Florida (n=95) Hawaii (n=50) Idaho (n=44) Illinois (n=159) Iowa (n=75) Iowa (n=64) Kensus (n=100) Kentucky (n=41) Louisiana (n=91) Maryland (n=58) Massachusetts (n=75) Michigan (n=75) Minnesota (n=76) Mississippi Iowa (n=125) Mississippi Iowa (n=68) Massissippi Iowa (n=100) Maryland (n=58) Mississippi Iowa (n=100) Iowa (n=125) Iowa (n=125) Iowa (n=125) Iowa (n=125) Iowa (n=125) Iowa (n=125) Iowa (n=100) Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa Iowa	(n=74)	
Delaware (n=12) 93.8% Florida (n=95) 97.2% Hawaii (n=50) 12.0% Idaho (n=44) 96.4% Illinois (n=159) 94.2% Indiana (n=75) 93.6% Indiana (n=64) 90.8% Kansas (n=64) 98.1% Kentucky (n=41) 84.8% Louisiana (n=91) 100.0% Maryland (n=58) 98.3% Massachusetts (n=76) 87.8% Michigan (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota (n=48) 95.5% Mississippi (n=48) 100.0%	Connecticut	91.0%
(n=12) Florida (n=95) Hawaii 12.0% (n=50) Idaho 96.4% (n=44) Illinois 94.2% (n=159) Indiana 93.6% (n=75) Iowa 90.8% (n=64) Kansas 98.1% (n=100) Kentucky 84.8% (n=100) Kentucky (n=91) Maryland 98.3% (n=58) Massachusetts (n=58) Massachusetts (n=76) Illinois 97.2% (n=100) Maryland 98.3% (n=58) Massachusetts (n=76) Michigan 96.8% (n=125) Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) Mississippi 100.0%		
Florida (n=95) Hawaii (n=50) Idaho (n=44) Illinois (n=159) Indiana (n=75) Iowa (n=64) Kansas (n=100) Kentucky (n=41) Louisiana (n=91) Maryland (n=58) Massachusetts (n=76) Michigan (n=125) Michigan (n=125) Minnesota (n=125) Minnesota (n=125) Minnesota (n=18) Mississippi 100.0%		93.8%
(n=95) Hawaii		
Hawaii (n=50) (n=50) (n=50) (n=60) (n=44) (n=44) (n=159) (n=159) (n=159) (n=75) (n=75) (n=64) (n=64) (n=100) (97.2%
(n=50) Idaho 96.4% (n=44) 94.2% Illinois 94.2% (n=159) 93.6% Indiana 93.6% (n=75) 90.8% (n=64) 98.1% (n=100) (n=100) Kentucky 84.8% (n=41) 100.0% Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) 98.3% (n=58) 98.3% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		
Idaho		12.0%
(n=44) Illinois 94.2% (n=159) 93.6% Indiana 93.6% (n=75) 90.8% (n=64) (n=64) Kansas 98.1% (n=100) 84.8% Kentucky 84.8% (n=41) (n=91) Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) (n=91) Maryland 98.3% (n=58) 87.8% Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) (n=125) Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		
Illinois		96.4%
(n=159) Indiana 93.6% (n=75) 90.8% Iowa 90.8% (n=64) 84.8% (n=100) 84.8% (n=41) 100.0% Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) 98.3% Maryland 98.3% (n=58) 87.8% Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		
Indiana 93.6% (n=75)		94.2%
(n=75) Iowa (n=64) Kansas (n=100) Kentucky (n=41) Louisiana (n=91) Maryland (n=58) Massachusetts (n=76) Michigan (n=125) Minnesota (n=48) Mississippi 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%		
lowa 90.8% (n=64) 98.1% Kansas 98.1% (n=100) 84.8% Kentucky 84.8% (n=41) 100.0% Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) 98.3% Maryland 98.3% (n=58) 87.8% Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		93.6%
(n=64) 98.1% (n=100) 84.8% Kentucky 84.8% (n=41) 100.0% Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) 98.3% Maryland 98.3% (n=58) 87.8% Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%	, ,	
Kansas (n=100) 98.1% Kentucky (n=41) 84.8% Louisiana (n=91) 100.0% Maryland (n=58) 98.3% Massachusetts (n=76) 87.8% Michigan (n=125) 96.8% Minnesota (n=48) 95.5% Mississippi 100.0%		90.8%
(n=100) Kentucky 84.8% (n=41) 100.0% Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) 98.3% Maryland 98.3% (n=58) 87.8% Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		00.40/
Kentucky (n=41) 84.8% Louisiana (n=91) 100.0% Maryland (n=58) 98.3% Massachusetts (n=76) 87.8% Michigan (n=125) 96.8% Minnesota (n=48) 95.5% Mississippi 100.0%		98.1%
(n=41) Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) 98.3% Maryland 98.3% (n=58) 87.8% Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		04 00/
Louisiana 100.0% (n=91) Maryland 98.3% (n=58) Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) Michigan 96.8% (n=125) Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) Mississippi 100.0%		04.0 %
(n=91) Maryland (n=58) Massachusetts (n=76) Michigan (n=125) Minnesota (n=48) Mississippi 100.0%		100.0%
Maryland 98.3% (n=58) 87.8% Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		100.0 /6
(n=58) Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		Q8 30/.
Massachusetts 87.8% (n=76) 96.8% Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%	(n=58)	30.370
(n=76) Michigan 96.8% (n=125) 95.5% Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		87.8%
Michigan 96.8% (n=125) Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) Mississippi 100.0%		01.070
(n=125) Minnesota (n=48) Mississippi 100.0%		96.8%
Minnesota 95.5% (n=48) 100.0%		33.070
(n=48) Mississippi 100.0%		95.5%
Mississippi 100.0%		
		100.0%
(N=89)	(n=89)	1.53.570



Missouri	100.0%
(n=54)	100.0 /6
Nebraska	100.0%
(n=81)	100.076
Nevada	100.0%
	100.0%
(n=19)	02.20/
New Hampshire	93.3%
(n=64)	00.40/
New Jersey	92.4%
(n=52)	00.00/
New Mexico	86.8%
(n=31)	
New York	93.5%
(n=235)	
North Carolina	99.1%
(n=110)	
Ohio	95.7%
(n=70)	
Oregon	94.1%
(n=49)	
Pennsylvania	95.6%
(n=150)	
Rhode Island	100.0%
(n=16)	
South Carolina	100.0%
(n=37)	
South Dakota	100.0%
(n=80)	
Texas	99.1%
(n=219)	
Utah	100.0%
(n=36)	
Vermont	87.8%
(n=58)	5.10 / <i>0</i>
Virginia	96.1%
(n=87)	00.170
Washington	94.3%
(n=66)	J+.U/U
Washington, DC	100.0%
(n=23)	100.0 /0
West Virginia	100.0%
(n=62)	100.0 /0
Wisconsin	97.6%
(n=70)	31.U/0
Wyoming	91.1%
(n=32)	J1.170
National	94.2%
(n=3,338)	
Key: *: insufficient data to report	
Table only displays percentages for affirmative respons	es.



Figure 28: Eco	Figure 28: Economy and Workforce Development Programs offered to Patrons, by State								
State	Accessing and using employment databases and other job opportunity resources (e.g., Federal and state job banks, Monster.com, Indeed.com))	Applying for jobs (e.g., interviewing skills, resume development, completing online job applications)	Applying for unemployment benefits online	Accessing and using online business information resources	Developing business plans	Entrepreneurship and small business development	Co-work spaces/incubators	Other	
Alabama									
(n=73)	67.8%	79.1%	32.2%	51.1%	40.0%	47.8%	8.9%	12.1%	
Alaska (n=42)	65.7%	70.1%	41.8%	59.7%	35.8%	52.2%	11.9%	13.4%	
Arizona	30 /3	, ,	111070	JUL 70	00.070	V=.=/V	111070	101170	
(n=50)	92.8%	87.1%	65.7%	80.0%	15.7%	17.1%	4.3%	2.9%	
Arkansas									
(n=30)	65.9%	72.9%	15.3%	58.8%	62.4%	67.1%	14.0%	11.6%	
California									
(n=148)	75.4%	82.8%	27.7%	61.1%	33.5%	44.3%	4.9%	6.4%	
Colorado									
(n=69)	74.6%	80.3%	25.7%	66.2%	39.4%	46.5%	7.0%	5.6%	
Connecticut (n=56)	68.8%	75.3%	22.2%	58.0%	53.1%	60.5%	19.8%	6.2%	
Delaware (n=11)	92.9%	92.9%	53.3%	92.9%	7.1%	35.7%	7.1%		
Florida	32.370	32.370	00.070	JZ.J 70	7.170	00.170	7.170		
(n=92)	74.6%	89.9%	36.2%	69.6%	53.6%	63.8%	6.5%	8.0%	
Hawaii									
(n=6)	83.3%	83.3%	50.0%	66.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%		
Idaho								_	
(n=42)	74.4%	77.8%	46.3%	61.7%	35.4%	44.4%	12.3%	11.1%	
Illinois									
(n=148)	70.6%	76.4%	20.4%	55.5%	40.8%	55.7%	5.2%	9.0%	
Indiana (n=70)	72.9%	77.8%	34.7%	55.6%	36.8%	44.9%	7.7%	8.5%	



		1		T				
lowa (n=57)	74.7%	75.8%	25.0%	55.6%	46.5%	55.6%	6.1%	9.1%
Kansas	17.170	70.070	20.070	00.070	40.070	00.070	0.170	3.170
(n=98)	67.0%	74.8%	29.1%	57.3%	47.1%	57.3%	9.8%	10.7%
Kentucky								
(n=34)	71.4%	87.5%	38.6%	58.9%	32.1%	37.5%	1.8%	7.0%
Louisiana								
(n=91)	73.5%	80.2%	35.9%	62.7%	41.6%	53.5%	5.0%	8.8%
Maryland								
(n=57)	63.8%	75.9%	17.2%	55.2%	56.9%	65.5%	8.6%	12.1%
Massachusetts								
(n=69)	68.7%	73.0%	29.6%	57.4%	38.3%	51.3%	8.7%	8.7%
Michigan								
(n=121)	72.2%	69.7%	33.8%	61.8%	35.8%	44.1%	6.0%	6.6%
Minnesota								
(n=46)	65.7%	72.9%	8.4%	54.2%	48.6%	60.7%	5.6%	11.2%
Mississippi								
(n=89)	67.3%	74.5%	27.6%	54.5%	43.4%	51.5%	9.2%	9.1%
Missouri								
(n=54)	61.4%	65.5%	26.5%	54.0%	38.6%	55.8%	8.8%	10.5%
Nebraska								
(n=81)	78.0%	78.0%	36.6%	55.0%	35.0%	47.0%	6.0%	8.0%
Nevada								
(n=19)	60.7%	75.0%	7.1%	60.7%	50.0%	60.7%	3.6%	17.9%
New Hampshire								
(n=60)	75.3%	76.3%	35.1%	54.1%	39.8%	52.6%	10.3%	12.4%
New Jersey								
(n=49)	83.3%	88.2%	36.5%	63.1%	39.3%	57.6%	5.9%	3.6%
New Mexico								
(n=27)	54.5%	69.7%	36.4%	48.5%	21.9%	40.6%	6.3%	12.1%
New York								
(n=219)	73.8%	81.5%	30.2%	57.4%	33.2%	44.7%	5.3%	4.5%
North Carolina								
(n=109)	71.6%	75.9%	27.6%	55.2%	35.3%	45.3%	7.8%	8.6%



61.0% 75.0% 75.7% 85.7% 85.5% 79.4% 78.0%	21.3% 34.9% 37.5% 27.6% 49.1% 35.4% 28.5%	42.4% 62.5% 59.6% 69.0% 43.6% 67.7%	38.2% 25.0% 38.4% 57.1% 20.0% 38.1% 36.4%	48.0% 51.6% 51.7% 60.7% 25.5% 51.5% 49.6%	9.6% 6.3% 7.2% 7.1% 12.4% 8.3%	29.9% 10.9% 6.6% 3.6% 5.5% 7.2%
75.0% 75.7% 85.7% 85.5% 79.4% 78.0%	34.9% 37.5% 27.6% 49.1% 35.4% 28.5%	62.5% 59.6% 69.0% 43.6% 67.7%	25.0% 38.4% 57.1% 20.0% 38.1%	51.6% 51.7% 60.7% 25.5% 51.5%	6.3% 7.2% 7.1% 12.4%	10.9% 6.6% 3.6% 5.5% 7.2%
75.7% 85.7% 85.5% 79.4% 78.0%	37.5% 27.6% 49.1% 35.4% 28.5%	59.6% 69.0% 43.6% 67.7%	38.4% 57.1% 20.0% 38.1%	51.7% 60.7% 25.5% 51.5%	7.2% 7.1% 12.4%	6.6% 3.6% 5.5% 7.2%
85.7% 85.5% 79.4% 78.0%	27.6% 49.1% 35.4% 28.5%	69.0% 43.6% 67.7%	57.1% 20.0% 38.1%	60.7% 25.5% 51.5%	7.1%	3.6% 5.5% 7.2%
85.7% 85.5% 79.4% 78.0%	27.6% 49.1% 35.4% 28.5%	69.0% 43.6% 67.7%	57.1% 20.0% 38.1%	60.7% 25.5% 51.5%	7.1%	3.6% 5.5% 7.2%
85.5% 79.4% 78.0%	49.1% 35.4% 28.5%	43.6% 67.7%	20.0%	25.5% 51.5%	12.4%	5.5% 7.2%
79.4% 78.0%	35.4% 28.5%	67.7%	38.1%	51.5%	12.4%	7.2%
78.0%	28.5%					
78.0%	28.5%					
		57.5%	36.4%	49.6%	8.3%	7.0%
		57.5%	36.4%	49.6%	8.3%	7.0%
77 80/	30.00/					
11.0/0	38.9%	69.4%	38.9%	50.0%	8.3%	8.3%
75.9%	37.2%	61.6%	43.0%	58.1%	8.0%	9.3%
81.8%	36.4%	58.0%	30.3%	51.5%	12.1%	7.1%
31.370	30.170	00.070	33.373	31.070	12.170	7.1.75
80.7%	30.1%	68.7%	26.5%	36.9%	6.0%	7.2%
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	
75.4%	31.9%	58.0%	31.9%	44.9%	8.8%	10.1%
80.2%	25.6%	51.7%	41.3%	49.2%	8.3%	7.4%
78.0%	35.7%	61.0%	41.5%	53.7%	7.3%	11.9%
	31 10/	58.5%	39.0%	50.4%	8.2%	9.1%
	80.2% 78.0%	75.4% 31.9% 80.2% 25.6% 78.0% 35.7%	75.4% 31.9% 58.0% 80.2% 25.6% 51.7% 78.0% 35.7% 61.0%	75.4% 31.9% 58.0% 31.9% 80.2% 25.6% 51.7% 41.3%	75.4% 31.9% 58.0% 31.9% 44.9% 80.2% 25.6% 51.7% 41.3% 49.2% 78.0% 35.7% 61.0% 41.5% 53.7%	75.4% 31.9% 58.0% 31.9% 44.9% 8.8% 80.2% 25.6% 51.7% 41.3% 49.2% 8.3% 78.0% 35.7% 61.0% 41.5% 53.7% 7.3%



Figure 29: Public Library Outlets Offering Community, Civic Engagement, and E-Government Programs to Patrons, by State

State	Outlets Offering Education and Learning Programs
Alabama	81.3%
(n=78)	
Alaska	86.1%
(n=51)	
Arizona	91.8%
(n=52)	
Arkansas	79.1%
(n=32)	
California	75.3%
(n=162)	
Colorado	71.1%
(n=74)	
Connecticut	65.2%
(n=61)	
Delaware	93.8%
(n=12)	
Florida	77.3%
(n=95)	
Hawaii	46.0%
(n=50)	50.4 0/
Idaho	52.4%
(n=44)	07.40/
Illinois	67.4%
(n=159) Indiana	68.8%
(n=75)	00.070
lowa	63.3%
(n=64)	03.370
Kansas	74.0%
(n=100)	17.0/0
Kentucky	90.8%
(n=41)	33.370
Louisiana	93.1%
(n=91)	22
Maryland	98.3%
(n=58)	
Massachusetts	72.5%
(n=76)	
Michigan	75.2%
(n=125)	
Minnesota	61.6%
(n=48)	
Mississippi	86.7%
(n=89)	



Missouri	84.1%
(n=54)	04.1%
Nebraska	63.0%
	03.0%
(n=81)	75.00/
Nevada	75.0%
(n=19)	•••
New Hampshire	62.1%
(n=64)	
New Jersey	79.6%
(n=52)	
New Mexico	64.9%
(n=31)	
New York	78.5%
(n=235)	
North Carolina	76.9%
(n=110)	
Ohio	60.0%
(n=70)	
Oregon	58.0%
(n=49)	551677
Pennsylvania	74.8%
(n=150)	14.070
Rhode Island	72.4%
(n=16)	12.470
South Carolina	67.3%
	07.3%
(n=37)	C4 00/
South Dakota	61.9%
(n=80)	70.00/
Texas	70.0%
(n=219)	
Utah	72.2%
(n=36)	
Vermont	48.0%
(n=58)	
Virginia	83.5%
(n=87)	
Washington	84.3%
(n=66)	
Washington, DC	100.0%
(n=23)	
West Virginia	63.8%
(n=62)	
Wisconsin	76.6%
(n=70)	
Wyoming	43.5%
(n=32)	
	70.70/
National	72.7%
(n=3,338)	
Key: *: insufficient data to report	
Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses.	



Figure 30: Co	Figure 30: Community, Civic Engagement, and E-Government Programs offered to Patrons, by State								
State	Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	Hosting social connection events (e.g., manga/anime, gaming, etc.)	Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	Hosting hackathons or other coding/app development events	Creating open data repositories for local government data (e.g., crime, education, transportation, or other local data)	Accessing and using government programs and services (e.g., Medicare, Social Security, InfoPass)	Completing online government forms (e.g., social services, immigration, tax)	Accessing government information resources (e.g., USA.gov, FedSys, state government documents)	Other
Alabama (n=64)	43.0%	34.2%	12.8%	1.3%	7.7%	64.1%	100.0%	56.4%	1.3%
Alaska (n=43)	48.5%	44.1%	22.1%	2.9%	2.9%	70.6%	79.4%	50.0%	
Arizona (n=48)	59.7%	26.9%	3.0%		3.0%	47.8%	89.4%	40.3%	1.5%
Arkansas (n=25)	16.4%	22.2%	13.9%		4.2%	61.1%	100.0%	50.0%	13.9%
California (n=123)	51.5%	53.9%	23.4%	1.2%	2.4%	63.7%	94.6%	52.4%	3.0%
Colorado (n=52)	38.9%	48.1%	25.9%	1.9%	9.3%	61.1%	98.1%	41.5%	3.8%
Connecticut (n=41)	49.1%	44.8%	19.0%	1.8%	5.2%	63.2%	98.2%	62.1%	
Delaware (n=11)	64.3%	53.3%	46.7%		20.0%	64.3%	100.0%	46.7%	
Florida (n=74)	60.6%	67.9%	8.3%		2.8%	66.1%	94.5%	28.4%	*
Hawaii (n=23)	47.8%	13.0%	4.3%		13.0%	47.8%	43.5%	47.8%	
Idaho (n=25)	27.3%	35.6%	13.6%			75.6%	100.0%	68.2%	
Illinois (n=104)	34.4%	52.6%	19.2%	*	6.0%	79.5%	96.1%	44.4%	*
Indiana (n=53)	29.1%	45.3%	17.4%	1.2%	8.1%	83.7%	100.0%	70.9%	2.3%



Γ,						I	I		1
lowa (n=40)	27.1%	31.9%	13.0%	1.4%	4.3%	68.1%	100.0%	57.1%	
Kansas (n=74)	34.6%	40.3%	16.7%		3.9%	79.5%	98.7%	62.3%	
_ ` /	34.0%	40.3%	10.770		3.9%	19.5%	90.776	02.3%	
Kentucky (n=36)	66.1%	79.7%	50.8%		15.3%	61.0%	100.0%	64.4%	
Louisiana									
(n=85)	36.8%	71.6%	27.4%	2.1%	25.5%	82.1%	98.9%	92.6%	
Maryland									
(n=57)	55.2%	79.3%	55.2%	8.6%	19.0%	50.9%	96.6%	32.8%	15.5%
Massachusetts									
(n=58)	81.1%	86.3%	32.6%	10.5%	18.9%	44.8%	97.9%	43.2%	
Michigan									
(n=97)	50.0%	56.4%	19.3%	1.7%	5.9%	64.1%	96.6%	41.9%	3.4%
Minnesota									
(n=31)	44.1%	72.5%	20.3%			23.2%	97.1%	13.0%	
Mississippi	20.00/	CO 40/	00.00/	F 00/	0.40/	CO F0/	400.00/	E 4 70/	
(n=77)	30.6%	62.4%	20.9%	5.9%	8.1%	63.5%	100.0%	54.7%	
Missouri	44.00/	FO 40/	24.70/	C 20/	7.40/	00.00/	400.00/	70.00/	0.40/
(n=46)	44.2%	53.1%	34.7%	6.3%	7.4%	80.0%	100.0%	78.9%	2.1%
Nebraska (n=51)	17 50/	15.00/	4 00/		1 60/	85.7%	98.4%	66 10/	
	17.5%	15.9%	4.8%		1.6%	85.7%	98.4%	66.1%	
Nevada (n=15)	57.1%	61.9%	35.0%		10.0%	85.7%	100.0%	61.9%	
New Hampshire	011170	01.070	33.370		10.070	33.170	100.070	01.070	
(n=40)	40.6%	35.9%	13.8%		3.1%	69.2%	96.9%	58.5%	3.1%
New Jersey									
(n=42)	51.4%	77.0%	31.5%		5.4%	58.1%	100.0%	41.9%	5.4%
New Mexico									
(n=20)	36.0%	36.0%	4.2%		4.2%	56.0%	95.8%	56.0%	
New York	F2 20/	60.00/	24.00/	0.00/	0.00/	CE 00/	05.00/	44.00/	4.40/
(n=186)	53.2%	60.0%	24.9%	2.9%	9.8%	65.9%	95.6%	44.9%	4.4%
North Carolina (n=84)	23.3%	47.8%	17.8%	2.2%	5.5%	71.1%	98.9%	55.6%	
(11-04)	20.070	71.070	17.070	Z.Z /U	J.J /0	1 1.1 /0	30.370	33.070	



Ohio (n=45)	48.2%	60.7%	33.3%	1.8%	1.8%	38.7%	100.0%	19.8%	
Oregon	∀∪.∠ /0	00.170	00.070	1.070	1.070	00.170	100.070	10.070	
(n=28)	46.2%	46.2%	17.5%		7.5%	79.5%	100.0%	57.5%	
Pennsylvania									
(n=112)	59.7%	73.9%	16.8%	1.7%	9.2%	57.1%	99.2%	31.9%	
Rhode Island									
(n=11)	60.0%	80.0%	4.8%		20.0%	57.1%	100.0%	28.6%	5.0%
South Carolina									
(n=26)	29.7%	56.8%	13.5%		2.7%	50.0%	100.0%	32.4%	8.1%
South Dakota									
(n=51)	30.5%	22.0%	8.3%	1.7%	5.1%	78.0%	100.0%	73.3%	
Texas									
(n=154)	35.6%	49.4%	9.9%	*	10.6%	59.6%	98.8%	46.6%	6.2%
Utah	50.0 0/	40.00/	45.40/		4.4. = 0.4	00.00/	400.00/	0.4.00/	
(n=26)	53.8%	42.3%	15.4%		11.5%	69.2%	100.0%	34.6%	7.7%
Vermont	00.00/	57.40/	04.00/		40.00/	50.00/	400.00/	07.70/	
(n=28)	63.8%	57.4%	31.9%		12.8%	53.2%	100.0%	27.7%	
Virginia	44.40/	FO 00/	40.50/		0.20/	E0 C0/	400.00/	24.50/	42.00/
(n=73)	41.4%	52.9%	19.5%		9.3%	58.6%	100.0%	34.5%	13.8%
Washington (n=57)	26.7%	62.7%	14.9%	1.3%	2.7%	42.7%	98.7%	25.3%	
	20.7%	02.1%	14.9%	1.3%	Z.170	42.1%	90.7%	23.3%	
Washington, DC (n=23)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
West Virginia	100.070	100.070	100.070		100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	+
(n=40)	34.1%	18.2%	13.6%	2.3%	6.8%	75.0%	100.0%	75.0%	
Wisconsin									
(n=54)	38.3%	48.9%	24.2%		1.1%	26.3%	100.0%	24.2%	2.1%
Wyoming									
(n=15)	30.0%	100.0%	70.0%			78.9%	100.0%	65.0%	
National									
(n=2,468)	44.1%	53.6%	21.4%	1.7%	7.9%	63.1%	97.4%	48.5%	2.5%
Kev: = No data t	o report					•			



State	Outlets Offering Education and Learning Programs
Alabama	49.0%
n=78)	
Alaska	38.0%
n=51)	
rizona	69.9%
n=52)	
rkansas	51.6%
n=32)	
alifornia	54.9%
n=162)	
olorado	57.9%
n=74)	
onnecticut	75.0%
=61)	
elaware	93.8%
i=12)	55.573
lorida	77.5%
=95)	77.070
awaii	32.0%
=50)	02.070
aho	38.8%
=44)	33.070
inois	54.9%
n=159)	JT.J/0
idiana	62.7%
=75)	VZ.1 /0
wa	37.6%
wa 1=64)	J1.U/0
ansas	48.1%
=100)	40.170
entucky	66.7%
=41)	UU.1 76
,	54.9%
ouisiana n=91)	34.9 70
,	04 70/
aryland -59\	84.7%
=58)	C4 00/
assachusetts	64.9%
=76)	50.00/
ichigan	58.3%
=125)	07.70/
linnesota	27.7%
=48)	F0 20/
ississippi	58.6%
=89)	
ssouri	54.9%
54)	



Nebraska	47.0%
(n=81)	47.0%
Nevada	71.4%
	71.4%
(n=19)	00.40/
New Hampshire	39.4%
(n=64)	
New Jersey	70.7%
(n=52)	
New Mexico	35.1%
(n=31)	
New York	62.8%
(n=235)	
North Carolina	65.0%
(n=110)	33.07.0
Ohio	56.2%
(n=70)	00.2 /u
Oregon	36.2%
(n=40)	30.2%
(n=49)	75 50/
Pennsylvania	75.5%
(n=150)	
Rhode Island	78.6%
(n=16)	
South Carolina	33.9%
(n=37)	
South Dakota	31.6%
(n=80)	
Texas	55.2%
(n=219)	
Utah	63.9%
(n=36)	
Vermont	49.0%
(n=58)	40.070
Virginia	58.7%
(n=87)	30.1 /0
	47.20/
Washington	47.2%
(n=66)	400.00/
Washington, DC	100.0%
(n=23)	70.00 /
West Virginia	58.0%
(n=62)	
Wisconsin	42.7%
(n=70)	
Wyoming	44.4%
(n=32)	
National	55.5%
(n=3,338)	JJ.J /0
Key: *: insufficient data to report	
Table only displays percentages for affirmative responses	S.



Figure 32: Hea	Figure 32: Health and Wellness Programs offered to Patrons, by State								
State	Accessing, assessing, and using online health information	Identifying and articulating health and wellness issues	Finding and assessing health insurance information	Finding and assessing health care providers	Developing healthy lifestyles (e.g., food, nutrition, exercise)	Managing a chronic health condition or a disease (e.g., diabetes, cancer)	Managing a developmental disorder (e.g., autism, Asperger syndrome)	Bringing in healthcare providers to offer limited healthcare screening services at the library (e.g., weighing, blood pressure tests)	Other
Alabama									
(n=40)	44.7%	53.2%	31.9%	14.6%	36.2%	54.2%	8.5%	34.0%	4.3%
Alaska (n=18)	53.3%	60.0%	38.7%	38.7%	61.3%	20.0%	13.3%	43.3%	13.3%
Arizona (n=35)	29.4%	66.0%	25.5%	13.7%	38.0%	64.0%	5.9%	17.6%	
Arkansas (n=18)	6.4%	68.1%	73.9%	2.1%	27.7%	14.9%		14.9%	
California (n=90)	30.1%	36.6%	30.9%	15.4%	72.1%	18.7%	5.7%	13.8%	9.8%
Colorado (n=43)	43.2%	25.0%	61.4%	20.5%	63.6%	23.3%	9.1%	22.7%	2.3%
Connecticut (n=43)	47.0%	60.6%	39.4%	17.9%	71.2%	50.0%	27.3%	24.2%	1.5%
Delaware (n=11)	35.7%	46.7%	46.7%	26.7%	92.9%	46.7%	7.1%	7.1%	
Florida (n=73)	31.8%	30.9%	26.4%	10.0%	36.4%	44.5%	5.5%	16.4%	
Hawaii (n=16)	12.5%	68.8%	18.8%	12.5%	37.5%	31.3%	6.3%		6.3%
Idaho (n=16)	18.2%	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	5.9%	24.2%	
Illinois (n=95)	26.0%	40.2%	53.7%	17.9%	52.8%	37.4%	12.2%	30.1%	*
Indiana (n=49)	10.1%	30.8%	29.1%	10.3%	50.0%	32.1%	9.0%	32.1%	
lowa (n=27)	12.2%	24.4%	26.8%	2.4%	43.9%	19.5%	4.9%	29.3%	2.4%



Kansas									
(n=48)	28.0%	35.3%	33.3%	10.0%	44.0%	31.4%	4.0%	27.5%	2.0%
Kentucky									
(n=29)	27.3%	31.8%	45.5%	6.8%	68.2%	28.9%	13.3%	25.0%	2.3%
Louisiana									
(n=49)	41.8%	54.5%	32.7%	27.3%	54.5%	40.0%	23.6%	41.8%	
Maryland									
(n=49)	22.0%	42.9%	55.1%	10.0%	40.8%	14.0%	16.0%	4.0%	
Massachusetts									
(n=49)	12.9%	45.9%	12.9%	8.1%	55.8%	23.5%	8.1%	18.8%	3.5%
Michigan									
(n=74)	15.4%	39.6%	27.2%	7.6%	59.8%	27.2%	3.3%	12.0%	
Minnesota									
(n=19)	33.3%	40.0%	12.9%	9.7%	16.1%	45.2%	12.9%	12.9%	
Mississippi									
(n=52)	22.4%	32.8%	22.8%	5.2%	20.7%	36.2%	3.5%	31.0%	3.4%
Missouri		22 -24							
(n=31)	37.1%	38.7%	25.8%	3.2%	30.6%	53.2%	14.5%	22.6%	
Nebraska	0.4.007	50 40/	10.10/	40.00/	00.00/	0.4.00/	4.004	4= 00/	0.404
(n=38)	31.9%	52.1%	19.1%	12.8%	38.3%	31.9%	4.3%	17.0%	2.1%
Nevada	00.00/	00.00/	10.10/	00.00/	40.00/	05.00/		20.00/	05.00/
(n=14)	36.8%	20.0%	42.1%	30.0%	40.0%	25.0%		20.0%	25.0%
New Hampshire	00.00/	00.00/	40.00/	47.40/	74.40/	7.40/		44.00/	4.00/
(n=25)	36.6%	36.6%	48.8%	17.1%	71.4%	7.1%		11.9%	4.8%
New Jersey	44.50/	04.50/	F2 00/	22.00/	70 50/	00.40/	20.00/	50.50/	2.40/
(n=37)	41.5%	81.5%	53.8%	33.8%	78.5%	63.1%	36.9%	58.5%	3.1%
New Mexico	F2 00/	20 50/	20.50/	7 70/	40.00/	20 50/		28.69/	
(n=11)	53.8%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	46.2%	38.5%		28.6%	
New York	43.6%	49.4%	42.3%	18.9%	72.6%	44.8%	22.7%	33.7%	4.9%
(n=150)	43.0%	49.4%	42.3%	10.970	12.0%	44.0%	ZZ.17/0	JJ.170	4.9%
North Carolina (n=71)	34.2%	29.3%	46.1%	11.8%	46.1%	26.3%	5.3%	19.7%	2.6%
Ohio	J4.Z 70	29.370	40.170	11.076	40.170	20.370	3.370	13.170	2.070
(n=43)	12.5%	36.5%	23.3%	1.9%	86.4%	14.6%	7.7%	21.2%	3.8%
\II= 1 0)	12.0/0	30.370	20.070	1.070	00.470	17.070	1.1/0	Z1.Z/0	J.U /0



		1				1	1	1	
Oregon (n=17)	20.0%	24.0%	36.0%	8.0%	48.0%	12.5%	8.0%	12.0%	12.0%
Pennsylvania	20.070	24.070	30.070	0.070	40.070	12.570	0.070	12.070	12.070
(n=112)	40.0%	40.0%	37.5%	25.8%	66.7%	37.5%	31.7%	31.1%	3.4%
Rhode Island									
(n=12)	19.0%	71.4%	45.5%	9.1%	76.2%	19.0%	4.8%	36.4%	
South Carolina									
(n=15)	11.1%	47.4%	5.3%	5.6%	63.2%	33.3%	5.3%	15.8%	5.3%
South Dakota									
(n=25)	63.3%	33.3%	25.8%	6.7%	45.2%	45.2%	6.7%	25.8%	
Texas									
(n=121)	27.8%	50.4%	36.2%	13.4%	51.6%	33.9%	9.5%	25.2%	2.4%
Utah									
(n=23)	21.7%	39.1%	30.4%		21.7%	26.1%	8.7%	26.1%	
Vermont									
(n=29)	47.9%	35.4%	47.9%	14.6%	58.3%	27.1%	6.3%	12.8%	10.4%
Virginia									
(n=52)	33.3%	31.1%	26.2%	9.8%	39.3%	32.8%	10.0%	11.5%	
Washington	0.4.407	0.50/	70.00 /	2 40/	40.50/	- 40/	- 40/	- 404	
(n=33)	21.4%	9.5%	73.8%	2.4%	46.5%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	
Washington, DC	100.00/	400.00/	400.00/	400.00/	400.00/	400.00/		400.007	
(n=23)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	
West Virginia	00.00/	20.50/	25.00/	40.50/	50.40/	E4 00/	40.50/	07.5%	
(n=37)	26.8%	32.5%	35.0%	19.5%	56.1%	51.2%	12.5%	27.5%	
Wisconsin	13.2%	28.3%	20.69/	2 00/	66.00/	15 10/	E 70/	E 70/	E 70/
(n=33)	13.2%	28.3%	39.6%	3.8%	66.0%	15.1%	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%
Wyoming	68.4%	85.0%	65.0%		65.0%	15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	
(n=14)	00.470	00.0%	03.076		00.0%	13.0%	10.0%	13.076	
National	00.50/	40.70/	07.40/	44.00/	54.00/	00.00/	44.00/	00.00/	0.00/
(n=1909)	30.5%	42.7%	37.1%	14.0%	54.8%	33.2%	11.2%	23.9%	2.9%
Kev: = No data t	n report								



Challenges and Opportunities

The survey also included an open-ended question that asked respondents about challenges and opportunities that the library faced regarding the library's role in building a digitally inclusive community. This question received 2,800 responses in all.

Responses can be clustered into three dominant issues:

- 1. **Broadband**. Many respondents commented that their Internet connection was insufficient to meet demand and that they were unable to increase capacity (most often due to availability or cost issues). Some libraries mentioned the slowness of WiFi connections in particular.
- 2. Budget/funding. A large number of respondents mentioned the lack of adequate funding and sustained budget cuts over several years. In turn, this has led to the inability to afford public access technology upgrades and replacement; the inability to renovate library space to meet demands of digital services/technologies and engagement; and the inability to staff adequately as well as upgrade the skills of existing staff.
- 3. **Capacity**. Respondents identified four different types of capacity issues:
 - a. Public access technology infrastructure, which included not having enough technology (e.g., computers, tablets, e-readers) and obsolete technology.
 - b. Staffing, which included adequate numbers of staff, staff skills (both related to funding), and time available to adequately help the public.
 - c. Buildings, which included having enough electrical outlets for the increasing number of devices that require power, design (e.g., meeting/engagement space), the total amount of space, and the age of buildings.
 - d. Demand, which included the ability of the library to meet the demand for technology, training, and other community needs.

To a lesser degree, libraries also mentioned two additional issues:

- Availability. Libraries that reported this most often indicated the insufficiency of the number of hours the library was open to the public. This was often in relation to budget and staffing constraints.
- Community. Libraries reported that the public's digital literacy skills, lack of access to/familiarity
 with technology, interest in the library, and the substantial diversity of the community served
 impacted the ability of the library to foster digital inclusion.

Libraries, therefore, identified a number of challenges in reaching the goal of a digitally inclusive community.



Appendix A. Advisory Committee

Stacey Aldrich

Deputy Secretary for Libraries Office of Commonwealth Libraries Pennsylvania Department of Education

Andrea Berstler

Past-President, Association for Rural & Small Libraries
Director, Wicomico Public Library

Diane Carty

Director

Massachusetts Board of Library Commissioners

Mike Crandall

Senior Lecturer University of Washington iSchool

Denise Davis

Deputy Library Director Sacramento Public Library

Jeanne Goodrich Executive Director Las Vegas – Clark County Library District

Chrystie Hill

Director, WebJunction Community Services

Michael Golrick State Library of Louisiana

Susan Mark

Wyoming State Library

Jeremy Paley

Senior Program Officer Global Libraries Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

Charlie Parker

Executive Director, Tampa Bay Library Consortium

Scott Reinhart

Assistant Director for Operations Carroll County Public Library

John Windhausen

President, Telepoly

Liaison

Carlos A. Manjarrez

Director of Planning, Research and Evaluation Institute of Museum and Library Services

Justin M. Grimes

Statistician, Planning, Research and Evaluation Institute of Museum and Library Services



Appendix B. Detailed Weighting and Adjustments for Non-Response

Brady West and Zhe Wang

Survey Methodology Program (SMP) Survey Research Center (SRC) Institute for Social Research (ISR) University of Michigan-Ann Arbor

Purpose

The response rate of the libraries in the sample is about 70%, which is relatively high given recent web surveys; however, non-response is still a threat to the accuracy of the survey estimates. To be more specific, the achieved sample for the survey may not reflect the population it is meant to represent very well. For example, if libraries with higher qualities, such as better service, higher Internet speed, etc., are more likely to participate in the survey, this could lead to over-representation of the high quality groups and cause non-response error. The use of non-response adjustment can reduce this kind of error via weighting.

Response propensity weighting

The basic idea of response propensity weighting is that the more likely that a respondent is to participate, the less important (relatively) that respondent's answers are, and the lower their weight should be. As such, we predict the response propensity by using a logistic regression model, given that the indicator of responding can be regarded as a dummy variable, and the auxiliary variables available for the full sample are applied as predictors. The predictive response propensity that we get from the logistic model will distribute from 0 to 1, and the response weight would be the inverse of the predicted response propensity.

Thus, the model of response propensity of library *i* is:

$$Pr\{Y_{i}=1\} = \frac{exp (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{1i} + \beta_{2}X_{2i} + \dots + \beta_{p}X_{pi})}{1 + exp (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{1i} + \beta_{2}X_{2i} + \dots + \beta_{p}X_{pi})}$$

In this study, there are six library-specific auxiliary variables that serve as predictors of response propensity: *region of the library, county population, location, size, MCA type* and *outlet type*. We used stepwise regression to select predictors that are significant at the 95% confidence level from among all six candidates, and built individual logistic regression models for each state.

Nationwide response propensity model

We first build a nationwide response propensity model for all of the libraries in the sample, and all six auxiliary variables are significant in this model at the 95% confidence level. The nationwide nonresponse adjustments are the inverses of the predictive response propensities for each responding library based on this model.

State-specific response propensity models

Since each state has individual response propensity models, the predictors are different among states, and for some states, no significant predictors were found. There are twenty states having more than one significant predictor, and the models for these states can be regarded as valid and usable. Thus we



calculated the response propensity of the libraries in these 20 states and take the inverses of the predictions as state-specific nonresponse adjustments. Also, for these 20 states, the correlation between the nationwide weights and state-specific weights are provided to help comprehend the differences between the two models (see Figure B-1).

For the remaining states, two different approaches were applied. In eight states, where the counts of cases are small and the response rates are low, we did not fit any models, and the nonresponse adjustments for these states are simply the inverses of the response rates for each state, which means that all the libraries in these states will share the same response propensity adjustments.

As for the remaining 23 states, models are also built, using a different method, to predict the response propensity. A couple of key variables from the survey data were identified, and the same auxiliary variables used as predictors in the response propensity models were used to predict these key variables. The auxiliary variables that were predictive of at least one key variable (95% confidence level) are considered as available predictors in the response propensity models. Finally, the response propensity weights for the libraries in these 23 states are the inverses of the predictive response propensities (see Figure B-2).

The above method is also applied on the first 20 states. We build regression models to check if the auxiliary variables, which are found predictive of response propensity, are also predictive of the same key variables. And the auxiliary variables are dropped from the final state-specific models if they are not significant predictors of at least one key variable, in order to reduce both bias and variance in the adjusted survey estimates (see Little and Vartivarian, 2005, *Survey Methodology*).

Expected precision

After obtaining the state-specific response propensity weights for each library, we estimated features of the distributions (means, proportions) of three variables from the survey, *wait, ttypecompind* and *civicformal*, using alternative forms of the weights. We also accounted for the sampling strata (*location*), when estimating the variances of the estimated descriptive parameters:

$$\overline{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} (y_i * W_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} W_i}$$

Here we computed two estimates: one using only unadjusted sampling weights, which are the inverses of the selection probabilities for each state, and one using the combined final weights (Final weight = sampling weight * response propensity adjustment). Also, we calculated the standard errors of the estimated means so that confidence intervals for the means could be computed.

In addition, based on the final weight, we computed "1+L" factors to evaluate the inflation of the variance arising from use of the weights in estimation (see Figure B-3). The formula of 1+L is:

$$1+L=1+\left[\frac{Sd(Final\ weight)}{mean(Final\ weight)}\right]^2$$



The 1+L factor represents by how many percent the estimated variance will increase if the final weights are applied in estimation. For example, for the "wait" variable for KY (Kentucky), the weighted estimate of the mean is 0.27369 with a 1+L value of 1.073555. This estimated variance is 7.4% larger than it would have been without the use of weights in estimation, which is not a substantial weighting effect. We reported these 1+L values for each state to provide a sense of the variance inflation due to weighting, and we did not find any substantial increases in variance across the states due to the weighting.



Figure B-1

State	Significant predictors	Correlation	P value	Pseudo R^2
AK	square feet	-0.4971	0.031	0.0624
AZ	location,mca	-0.0796	0	0.3167
CA	outlet,population,mca	0.6421	0	0.1531
CT	location,population,size	0.1583	0.0022	0.1376
FL ID	outlet,population,mca mca,population	0.1986 -0.4018	0.0001 0.0417	0.1074 0.0796
IL IN MI	outlet,population,location square feet square feet,outlet	0.6396 0.7927 0.5749	0 0.0002 0	0.1754 0.0843 0.2005
MN MT NC NM NV NY OH SC SD TX	location,population,mca population mca,size population square feet location,outlet,mca square feet,location square feet outlet,population size,population	0.6861 0.0836 0.0784 -0.4769 0.8345 0.6761 0.774 0.8198 0.5453 0.1105	0 0.0481 0.0148 0.0328 0.0382 0.0002 0.0001 0.0006 0.0209 0.0152	0.2347 0.0895 0.1609 0.1151 0.1222 0.115 0.0737 0.1715 0.0922 0.0948
WA	outlet,size	0.4675	0.0026	0.1137



Figure B-2

Figure B-2		01.16
State	Variables	Significant predictors
	pactotal	squarefeet
	wait	squarefeet
	kbpsdown	squarefeet, population, outlet, size
	trainformal	squarefeet
	traincomp	
AL	ttypecompform	squarefeet, outlet
	ttypecompind	outlet
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	-:
	civicformal	size
	healthformal	squarefeet, population, outlet, msa
	pactotal	squarefeet, population
	wait	local
	kbpsdown	
	trainformal	
	traincomp	
AR	ttypecompform	
	ttypecompind	
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	any anafort manufation
	healthformal	squarefeet, population
	pactotal wait	squarefeet, mas, outlet local
	kbpsdown	population, outlet
	trainformal	population, outlet
	traincomp	outlet, msa
	ttypecompform	outlet, maa
CO	ttypecompind	squarefeet
	ttypecompinform	oquaroroot
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	
	healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	squarefeet, population, msa
1.4	wait	1 /1 1 /
IA	kbpsdown	squarefeet, population, outlet, msa
	trainformal	1 71 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7



	traincomp ttypecompform ttypecompind ttypecompinform eduformal econformal civicformal healthformal	squarefeet
KS	pactotal wait kbpsdown trainformal traincomp ttypecompform ttypecompind	sqaurefeet outlet, local population population squarefeet, population, outlet
	ttypecompinform eduformal econformal civicformal healthformal	size
	pactotal wait kbpsdown trainformal traincomp	squarefeet, population, outlet squarefeet
KY	ttypecompform ttypecompind ttypecompinform eduformal econformal civicformal healthformal	outlet, msa population, outlet
LA	pactotal wait kbpsdown trainformal traincomp ttypecompform ttypecompind ttypecompinform eduformal econformal	squarefeet, msa, local population, msa, local, size squarefeet, population, local, size population local population, msa, outlet, local, size population msa



	civicformal healthformal	local population, outlet
	pactotal wait kbpsdown	squarefeet
MA	trainformal traincomp ttypecompform ttypecompind ttypecompinform eduformal econformal	squarefeet
	civicformal healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	squarefeet, outlet
	wait	squarefeet, population, msa, local
	kbpsdown	squarefeet, population, msa, local
	trainformal	
	traincomp	msa
MD	ttypecompform	squarefeet
	ttypecompind	squarefeet, population, local
	ttypecompinform eduformal	local
	econformal	
	civicformal	
	healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	squarefeet
	wait	local
	kbpsdown	squrefeet,population
	trainformal	7 1
	traincomp	
MO	ttypecompform	squarefeet, local
IVIO	ttypecompind	msa, local
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	
	healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	population
MS	wait	msa Local
	kbpsdown	msa Local



	trainformal traincomp ttypecompform ttypecompind ttypecompinform eduformal econformal	squarefeet squarefeet, population, size
	civicformal healthformal	population, local size
	pactotal wait kbpsdown trainformal	squarefeet, population, msa, local
	traincomp	population
	ttypecompform	sgaurefeet
NE	ttypecompind	outlet
	ttypecompinform	population, size
	eduformal	p-p
	econformal	
	civicformal	msa, size
	healthformal	
	pactotal	squarefeet, local
	wait	•
	kbpsdown	population
	trainformal	
	traincomp	size
NH	ttypecompform	squarefeet
INII	ttypecompind	
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	population
	healthformal	
	pactotal	squarefeet, outlet, msa
	wait	
	kbpsdown	population
	trainformal	
NJ	traincomp	
	ttypecompform	outlet
	ttypecompind	
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	



	_	
	econformal	
	civicformal	squarefeet, outlet
	healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	squarefeet, outlet, msa
	wait	population, msa
	kbpsdown	population, msa, local, size
	trainformal	
	traincomp	size
OR	ttypecompform	
	ttypecompind	msa
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	local
	healthformal	outlet, msa
	pactotal	squarefeet
	wait	population, outlet
	kbpsdown	squarefeet, population, size
	trainformal	
	traincomp	
PA	ttypecompform	squarefeet
	ttypecompind	squarefeet, population, outlet
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	squarefeet
	healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	population, outlet, local, size
	wait	
	kbpsdown	
	trainformal	
	traincomp	
RI	ttypecompform	
1 (1	ttypecompind	
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	
	healthformal	
_	pactotal	squarefeet
UT	wait	local
	_ kbpsdown	



	 trainformal	local
	traincomp	
	ttypecompform	outlet
	ttypecompind	population
	ttypecompinform	size
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	
	healthformal	size
	pactotal	squarefeet, population, local
	wait	squarefeet, population, outlet
	kbpsdown	outlet
	trainformal	
	traincomp	squarefeet
١/٨	ttypecompform	population, msa, local
VA	ttypecompind	squarefeet, population, local
	ttypecompinform	population, outlet
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	msa
	healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	squarefeet, msa, local
	wait	population, local
	kbpsdown	
	trainformal	
	traincomp	
\/T	ttypecompform	
VT	ttypecompind	
	ttypecompinform	size
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	
	healthformal	squarefeet
	pactotal	squarefeet, population
	wait	outlet, local
	kbpsdown	squarefeet, local
	trainformal	
WI	traincomp	
	ttypecompform	squarefeet
	ttypecompind	
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	



	econformal civicformal healthformal	size
	pactotal	squarefeet, outlet
	wait	msa
	kbpsdown	squarefeet, population, msa
	trainformal	
	traincomp	
WV	ttypecompform	squarefeet
	ttypecompind	outlet, msa
	ttypecompinform	outlet
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	outlet
	healthformal	squarefeet, local
	pactotal	squarefeet, msa
	wait	population, outlet, local
	kbpsdown	
	trainformal	
	traincomp	
WY	ttypecompform	
** 1	ttypecompind	squarefeet
	ttypecompinform	
	eduformal	
	econformal	
	civicformal	squarefeet, population
	healthformal	population



Figure B-3

		,	Sampling w	eight		Final wei	ght	4.1
state	Variable	N	Mean	Std Error of Mean	N	Mean	Std Error of Mean	1+L value
	wait	63	0.327824	0.067086	63	0.244161	0.081199	
AK	ttypecompind	53	0.167832	0.051741	53	0.154802	0.050672	1.239247
	civicformal	57	1	0	57	1	0	
	wait	116	0.406678	0.057252	116	0.386387	0.055248	
AL	ttypecompind	112	0.25742	0.056284	112	0.254852	0.055222	1.060399
	civicformal	119	0.827578	0.04071	119	0.818632	0.042099	
	wait	29	0.408327	0.117131	29	0.357197	0.092263	
AR	ttypecompind	28	0.15555	0.110412	28	0.093878	0.064131	1.130997
	civicformal	32	0.814365	0.070147	32	0.804923	0.068851	
	wait	65	0.437439	0.069834	65	0.495966	0.080908	
ΑZ	ttypecompind	66	0.277225	0.061667	66	0.341961	0.087544	2.121397
	civicformal	67	0.922302	0.03297	67	0.92089	0.034573	
	wait	174	0.69581	0.035845	174	0.688799	0.037761	
CA	ttypecompind	168	0.224061	0.03219	168	0.202258	0.030672	1.160425
	civicformal	175	0.805173	0.031738	175	0.794022	0.03451	
	wait	112	0.431689	0.057151	112	0.427778	0.056793	
CO	ttypecompind	115	0.506403	0.056153	115	0.501867	0.05602	1.007973
	civicformal	116	0.790809	0.043712	116	0.792663	0.043319	
	wait	75	0.243881	0.058501	75	0.250314	0.0591	
CT	ttypecompind	74	0.390332	0.065864	74	0.400193	0.066895	1.161155
	civicformal	77	0.789331	0.051661	77	0.775596	0.053364	
	wait	24	1	0	24	1	0	
DC	ttypecompind	24	0	0	24	0	0	1
	civicformal	24	1	0	24	1	0	
	wait	12	0.224586	0.126315	12	0.221615	0.128159	
DE	ttypecompind	11	0.394608	0.153818	11	0.383271	0.155087	1
	civicformal	12	0.881797	0.109555	12	0.878567	0.112353	
	wait	93	0.489096	0.057432	93	0.483097	0.058284	
FL	ttypecompind	107	0.388189	0.052044	107	0.383114	0.052178	1.362841
	civicformal	111	0.820562	0.044072	111	0.799538	0.047774	
	wait	19	0.433609	0.115965	19	0.341826	0.111508	
GA	ttypecompind	15	0.225579	0.114983	15	0.186192	0.099828	1.089624
	civicformal	17	0.882659	0.078088	17	0.896005	0.07091	



	wait	48	0.661565	0.082661	48	0.661565	0.082661	
HI	ttypecompind	30	0.253503	0.108738	30	0.253503	0.108738	1
	civicformal	50	0.504153	0.087432	50	0.504153	0.087432	
	wait	65	0.287943	0.056452	65	0.291596	0.057042	
IA	ttypecompind	58	0.17533	0.053824	58	0.141897	0.044749	1.058079
	civicformal	57	0.709477	0.06229	57	0.700019	0.062417	
	wait	57	0.349777	0.072991	57	0.26376	0.070713	
ID	ttypecompind	53	0.242129	0.072742	53	0.189606	0.066358	1.207579
	civicformal	55	0.621687	0.076412	55	0.529417	0.10241	
	wait	174	0.286655	0.036556	174	0.260947	0.035416	
IL	ttypecompind	148	0.371218	0.042175	148	0.332108	0.041739	1.571451
	civicformal	161	0.71484	0.03755	161	0.727325	0.037667	
	wait	75	0.33348	0.061544	75	0.321034	0.058978	
IN	ttypecompind	65	0.443693	0.067136	65	0.428515	0.066253	1.040552
	civicformal	75	0.768193	0.05397	75	0.734292	0.057722	
	wait	136	0.371601	0.047588	136	0.367435	0.047534	
KS	ttypecompind	114	0.275707	0.048964	114	0.279531	0.05002	1.001955
	civicformal	127	0.797509	0.040254	127	0.794944	0.040986	
	wait	46	0.27369	0.085031	46	0.240318	0.074237	
KY	ttypecompind	47	0.482681	0.085684	47	0.473492	0.083168	1.073555
	civicformal	44	0.979957	0.019965	44	0.981752	0.018188	
	wait	106	0.542743	0.054906	106	0.553245	0.056426	
LA	ttypecompind	113	0.379189	0.055349	113	0.385209	0.05816	1.024255
	civicformal	118	0.936664	0.02718	118	0.936083	0.02792	
	wait	76	0.177641	0.049333	76	0.172799	0.048096	
MA	ttypecompind	59	0.263186	0.062357	59	0.252011	0.06109	1.001228
	civicformal	73	0.870932	0.038772	73	0.866701	0.039935	
	wait	74	0.30159	0.061188	74	0.301448	0.061274	
MD	ttypecompind	76	0.30532	0.067555	76	0.304796	0.067618	1
	civicformal	87	0.963183	0.023897	87	0.963319	0.023918	
	wait	31	0.329908	0.105171	31	0.297862	0.086263	
ME	ttypecompind	28	0.408883	0.113879	28	0.303163	0.090666	1.041415
	civicformal	31	0.698707	0.094219	31	0.718268	0.083288	
	wait	156	0.273563	0.039802	156	0.26976	0.039088	
MI	ttypecompind	147	0.417969	0.045057	147	0.41404	0.044656	1.053003
	civicformal	153	0.825586	0.031821	153	0.803655	0.034984	
MN	wait	35	0.308738	0.099291	35	0.25483	0.0884	1.325952



	ttypecompind	48	0.276861	0.078397	48	0.238333	0.072668	
	civicformal	46	0.855882	0.051275	46	0.771438	0.079141	
	wait	52	0.277522	0.080534	52	0.230406	0.067037	
MO	ttypecompind	55	0.437147	0.080564	55	0.377144	0.072137	1.111287
	civicformal	55	0.951727	0.025868	55	0.947115	0.028702	
	wait	99	0.449236	0.059161	99	0.456008	0.058356	
MS	ttypecompind	104	0.041733	0.021594	104	0.042386	0.021611	1.088411
	civicformal	114	0.869356	0.035566	114	0.869121	0.035323	
	wait	8	0.318003	0.165122	8	0.263551	0.168625	
MT	ttypecompind	5	0.605	0.218282	5	0.764582	0.175069	1.122775
	civicformal	8	0.688568	0.16291	8	0.56506	0.216614	
	wait	157	0.484869	0.046702	157	0.476809	0.047175	
NC	ttypecompind	163	0.34501	0.043977	163	0.347363	0.044802	1.013751
	civicformal	173	0.803731	0.03365	173	0.807996	0.033194	
	wait	14	0.081209	0.060087	14	0.085977	0.073579	
ND	ttypecompind	8	0.048656	0.051223	8	0.018043	0.019158	1.05454
	civicformal	14	0.612842	0.174559	14	0.62843	0.146141	
	wait	116	0.183946	0.049175	116	0.172752	0.045146	
NE	ttypecompind	103	0.284956	0.054525	103	0.275604	0.051842	1.018707
	civicformal	112	0.665023	0.05269	112	0.662338	0.051371	
	wait	82	0.298246	0.060184	82	0.27925	0.054456	
NH	ttypecompind	68	0.394937	0.072515	68	0.384335	0.066925	1.038898
	civicformal	78	0.72828	0.054828	78	0.691565	0.056812	
	wait	66	0.572042	0.067367	66	0.534801	0.06972	
NJ	ttypecompind	59	0.430553	0.073707	59	0.415398	0.073174	1.077161
	civicformal	65	0.830186	0.050553	65	0.82878	0.051991	
	wait	40	0.351123	0.086082	40	0.358623	0.088624	
NM	ttypecompind	36	0.312994	0.082523	36	0.317065	0.084195	1.67027
	civicformal	34	0.772851	0.07341	34	0.778458	0.073227	
	wait	26	0.348572	0.106081	26	0.322866	0.104578	
NV	ttypecompind	35	0.247271	0.086623	35	0.230502	0.083734	1.054235
	civicformal	40	0.844161	0.070466	40	0.801365	0.08721	
	wait	347	0.463966	0.029398	347	0.449411	0.029774	
NY	ttypecompind	332	0.389876	0.030409	332	0.390475	0.030944	1.016749
	civicformal	361	0.790518	0.023881	361	0.786996	0.02455	
ОН	wait	90	0.522101	0.058287	90	0.433327	0.060204	1.132908
	ttypecompind	92	0.282391	0.049752	92	0.288908	0.055717	



	civicformal	84	0.730493	0.051786	84	0.673496	0.059217	
	wait	10	0.476758	0.202223	10	0.476758	0.202223	
OK	ttypecompind	10	0.224523	0.130907	10	0.224523	0.130907	1
	civicformal	10	0.625151	0.170207	10	0.625151	0.170207	
	wait	63	0.614103	0.073793	63	0.56403	0.074387	
OR	ttypecompind	60	0.268819	0.077317	60	0.262533	0.074316	1.095712
	civicformal	64	0.71339	0.060039	64	0.679861	0.065077	
	wait	307	0.417858	0.034415	307	0.424033	0.035274	
PA	ttypecompind	285	0.316408	0.032807	285	0.311797	0.032939	1.001946
	civicformal	296	0.787089	0.028349	296	0.788309	0.028772	
	wait	16	0.445838	0.134246	16	0.375948	0.121836	
RI	ttypecompind	16	0.302292	0.129551	16	0.257821	0.111719	1.120824
	civicformal	16	0.64029	0.130409	16	0.701655	0.113338	
	wait	44	0.589537	0.083214	44	0.580823	0.082861	
SC	ttypecompind	46	0.365739	0.079498	46	0.38185	0.080119	1.108564
	civicformal	47	0.754743	0.064962	47	0.723692	0.071012	
	wait	94	0.241864	0.059193	94	0.245815	0.059243	
SD	ttypecompind	82	0.175549	0.074181	82	0.169728	0.071933	1.039247
	civicformal	95	0.677791	0.060935	95	0.658963	0.061469	
	wait	18	0.251372	0.130182	18	0.251372	0.130182	
TN	ttypecompind	16	0.414257	0.133187	16	0.414257	0.133187	1
	civicformal	17	0.497995	0.138132	17	0.497995	0.138132	
	wait	292	0.399627	0.031896	292	0.394484	0.03181	
TX	ttypecompind	278	0.173264	0.024232	278	0.172072	0.024165	1.002032
	civicformal	298	0.71082	0.028179	298	0.707514	0.028437	
	wait	46	0.346055	0.083762	46	0.346055	0.083762	
UT	ttypecompind	55 50	0.184615	0.065601	55	0.184615	0.065601	1
	civicformal	56	0.72931	0.067287	56	0.72931	0.067287	
	wait	122	0.658588	0.049048	122	0.647195	0.051465	4 005700
VA	ttypecompind	128	0.298377	0.04905	128	0.293616	0.050384	1.035763
	civicformal	141	0.861467	0.033714	141	0.854467	0.036615	
\ / T	wait	63 55	0.309515	0.073546	63 55	0.305905	0.075665	4.040500
VT	ttypecompind civicformal	55 54	0.548232	0.079585 0.06946	55 54	0.554485	0.080125	1.010509
	civictormai wait	54 75	0.609842 0.412152	0.06946	54 75	0.598292 0.446084	0.0703 0.066713	
\A/ A		75 89	0.412132	0.062973	75 89	0.446064	0.066713	1 007040
WA	ttypecompind civicformal			0.03216			0.034625	1.087948
	civiciormai	90	0.903739	0.03210	90	0.89382	0.030018	



WI	wait ttypecompind civicformal	82 79 76	0.287182 0.450043 0.895874	0.056506 0.065143 0.034337	82 79 76	0.263643 0.407538 0.861786	0.050992 0.059945 0.04309	1.169656
1407	wait	78 07	0.331293	0.068393	78 07	0.330913	0.067198	4 000700
WV	ttypecompind civicformal	87 84	0.170328 0.728221	0.045907 0.053192	87 84	0.165625 0.721042	0.044882 0.05371	1.009722
	wait	37	0.25531	0.080405	37	0.261672	0.078637	
WY	ttypecompind	34	0.412039	0.094012	34	0.396704	0.089975	1.03563
	civicformal	35	0.616804	0.092132	35	0.573701	0.091475	



Appendix C. Copy of 2013 Digital Inclusion Survey

The 2013 Digital Inclusion Survey was entirely Web-based. The following pages include the "print" version of the survey that the study team made available to respondents via the survey Website for their information and use as a worksheet. The "printed" version includes all questions, but the Web-based survey had automatic branching features that guided the respondents through the survey dependent upon answers selected to questions (e.g., often a "yes" response to one question or part of a question would lead to an ensuing questions, whereas a "no" or "don't know" response might lead to skipped questions; glossary items were embedded at the question level, not in a central glossary). In short, it is difficult to recreate a Web-based survey in a print format. However, the questions and responses are provided here for review purposes.

Telephone (312) 944-6780 Fax (312) 440-9374 TDD (312) 944-7298 E-mail: ala@ala.org http://www.ala.org

ALAAmericanLibraryAssociation

Dear Library Director:

Documenting the impact of libraries in the Digital Age is more important than ever as government officials make difficult funding decisions with increasingly tightened public funds. I see this every day at the federal and state level, and *you* know better than anyone the situation at the local level. I am pleased to invite you to participate in a vital new study of the roles public libraries play in building digitally inclusive communities. The survey builds on the strong foundation of the Public Library Funding & Technology Study, but squarely situates libraries in the community context for education, employment, civic engagement, digital literacy, and access to the Internet.

Funded by the Institute of Museum and Library Services – and conducted by the American Library Association (ALA), the Information Policy & Access Center (iPAC) at the University of Maryland, and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA) – this national survey of public libraries explores four key areas of digital inclusion:

- Public access technology infrastructure resources and capacity (e.g., public access workstations; broadband connectivity).
- Digital content, services, and accessibility.
- Digital literacy (including languages in which instruction is offered).
- Domain-specific services and programs (civic engagement, education, health and wellness, and workforce/employment).

The survey will provide national and state estimates, but more importantly will interactively show libraries in context with community-level data (e.g., levels of poverty, graduation rates, and unemployment rates). Your participation in the survey will enable you to identify the impacts of your library's public computer and Internet access on the community; identify gaps in public access technology services based on community needs and demographics; demonstrate library contributions to community digital inclusion efforts; and support your efforts to inform and educate stakeholders – policymakers, foundations, elected officials, trustees, and the media – about the value of libraries in building digitally inclusive communities.

More information regarding the study and survey, including examples of data use, interactive data tools, issue briefs regarding public libraries and aspects of digital inclusion, is available at http://digitalinclusion.umd.edu. We greatly appreciate your participation and look forward to sharing the results of the survey and data tools beginning in 2014.

PLEASE COMPLETE THE SURVEY(S) by November 15, 2013.

Kind Regards,

Keith Michael Fiels



2013 Digital Inclusion Survey of Public Libraries

With funding support from the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS), the American Library Association (ALA), the Information Policy & Access Center (iPAC) at the University of Maryland, and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA), are surveying a national sample of public libraries regarding their role as builders of digitally inclusive communities. You may access the survey at http://digitalinclusion.umd.edu.

The survey Web site provides specific instructions for completing the Web survey. The survey contains questions about the public access technology infrastructure, technology instruction, and programming that public libraries make available to their communities at specific library branches (if applicable, as we realize that not all public libraries have more than one building open to the public). By branch, we mean a building that is open to the public and provides services to the community (e.g., lends books, offers public access to the Internet and computers, other). Branches selected to participate were selected randomly. If you wish to complete the survey for the additional branches (again, if applicable), you will be given the opportunity to do so. IMPORTANT: We have also incorporated a speed test to measure the connectivity experience at the user device level. PLEASE COMPLETE THE SURVEY AND THE SPEED TEST. Also, please note that we do not contact branches directly to solicit survey participation.

Complete the survey, and enter to win one of three Amazon Kindle Fire HD Tablets

To participate in the survey, please go to **http://digitalinclusion.umd.edu** and follow the "Take the Survey" button. You will need to enter your library's survey ID number (located on the back of the postcard form sent to your library). If you cannot remember and/or locate your library's survey ID number, the survey Web site provides a link to locate your library ID by state.

The survey is not timed. You may complete part of it, save your answers, and return to it at a later time. You may also answer part of the survey and have other members of your library staff answer other parts, if appropriate. Please be sure to complete the survey by **NOVEMBER 15, 2013**. Once completed, you will be able to print or save the answers you provided and keep a copy for your own records.

Some questions will appear differently online than on this "print" version of the survey. Also, where you see "please go to question..." phrasing, note that such branching is automatic on the Web survey.

If you have any questions or issues regarding the survey, **please call (301) 405-9445 or e-mail** ipac@umd.edu.







Funded by:



Section A: Public Access Technology and Infrastructure

1.	Is THIS LIBRARY	BRANCH currentl	y open to the p	public? (MARK ONE	E ● ONLY)
----	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------	----------	-----------

0	Yes (please go to question 2)
0	No, temporarily closed to the public
0	No, permanently closed to the public

2. Please indicate the total number and age (4 years old or less; greater than 4 years old) of PUBLIC access computers/laptops available at THIS LIBRARY BRANCH for patron use. If you cannot estimate the ages of the computers, please provide the total number of computers. Note: Include library-provided laptops and multi-purpose computers that allow access to the Internet. Exclude staff access computers/laptops and those that only access the library's Web-based Public Access Catalogs.

Number of Public Access Computers/Laptops (please determine age as of September 1, 2013)
Public access computers/laptops 4 years old or less
Public access computers/laptops more than 4 years old
TOTAL public access computers/laptops

3. During a typical day, do patrons experience wait times to use THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's public access computers or laptops? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

0	Yes
0	No
0	Don't Know

4. Is wireless (Wi-Fi) Internet access available (e.g., for use with patron laptops, PDAs, or other wireless devices) at THIS LIBRARY BRANCH? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

0	Yes
0	No
0	Don't Know

5.	What is the DOWNLOAD speed of THIS LIBRARY BRANCH'S subscribed (e.g., from the
	library's Internet service provider) public access Internet connection? (ENTER SPEED)

Enter subscribed	(we anticipate this as a pull down menu)		
speed:	 Kilobits per second (kbps) 		
	Megabits per second (mbps)		
	O Gigabits per second (gbps)		
Information not provided by	0		
carrier			
Don't know	0		

6. What is the UPLOAD speed of THIS LIBRARY BRANCH'S subscribed (e.g., from the library's Internet service provider) public access Internet connection? (ENTER SPEED)

Enter subscribed	(we anticipate this as a pull down menu)		
speed:	 Kilobits per second (kbps) 		
	 Megabits per second (mbps) 		
	 Gigabits per second (gbps) 		
Information not provided by carrier	0		
Don't know	0		

7. Is THIS LIBRARY BRANCH'S public access Internet connection fiber optic? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

0	Yes
0	No
0	Don't know

8. Would the library like to increase THIS LIBRARY BRANCH'S broadband connectivity? MARK ONE ● ONLY)

0	Yes (please go to question 9)
0	No (please go to question 10)
0	Don't Know (please go to question 10)

9. Please assess the extent to which the below factors affect THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's ability to increase its broadband connectivity: (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Factors Affecting Broadband	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Don't Know
This is the maximum speed available to the library branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
The library cannot afford the cost of increasing the branch's bandwidth	0	0	0	0	0	0
City/county/other entities make decisions regarding the branch's bandwidth	0	0	0	0	0	0
The library does not have the technical knowledge to increase the bandwidth in the branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0	0	0	0

10. Does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH make available the following technologies for use by **patrons?** (MARK ONE ● FOR EACH TECHNOLOGY)

Technologies for Patron Use	Yes	No	Don't Know
Color printer(s)	0	0	0
Large-format printer(s)	0	0	0
3D printer(s)	0	0	0
Wireless printing	0	0	0
Scanner(s)	0	0	0
Laptop(s)	0	0	0
Tablet computer(s) (e.g., iPads, Chromebooks)	0	0	0
E-reader(s) (e.g., Kindle, Nook)	0	0	0
Cross platform e-book access platforms (e.g., 3M Cloud Library, OverDrive)	0	0	0
Recreational gaming console(s) (e.g., Xbox, PlayStation, DS)	0	0	0
Smart technology object(s) (e.g., LittleBits, Arduino)	0	0	0
Digital display(s) (e.g., Christie MicroTiles, digital signage, touch screen displays)	0	0	0
Development technology/ies (e.g., sandbox machines, maker/creator spaces)	0	0	0
Audio/visual editing common(s) (e.g., media production center)	0	0	0
Other technology this library branch offers that is not listed above (please specify):	0	0	0

11. Does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH make available the following technology services or resources for use by patrons? (MARK ● ALL THAT APPLY). Note: Please mark "yes" for services or resources provided through a state library agency, regional consortia, or other arrangements.

Technology Services/Resources for Patron Use	Yes	No	Don't Know
Digital/virtual reference (e.g., by library staff and/or service such as QuestionPoint)	0	0	0
Licensed databases (Note: Please include e-reference resources such as GVRL)	0	0	0
E-books	0	0	0
Online homework assistance (e.g., tutor.com)	0	0	0
Online job/employment resources (e.g., Brainfuse, JobNow)	0	0	0
Online language learning (e.g., Mango Languages, powerSpeak)	0	0	0
Digitized special collection(s) (e.g., postcards, local historical documents)	0	0	0
Free video conferencing service(s) (e.g., Skype, Google Hangout)	0	0	0
Subscribed video conferencing service(s) (e.g., WebEx, GoToMeeting)	0	0	0
Print on Demand (POD) (e.g., Espresso Book Machine, Xerox DocuTech)	0	0	0
Mobile device-enabled website (e.g., designed for use by smartphones, tablets)	0	0	0
Mobile apps (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android) to access library services and resources	0	0	0
Scanned codes (e.g., QR codes or Microsoft Tag codes)	0	0	0
Collaborative and group work software (e.g., TeamSpot, SharePoint)	0	0	0
Work space(s) for mobile workers	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0

12. Do the following public access technologies and resources available for patron use at THIS LIBRARY BRANCH meet the accessibility standards of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Technology	Yes	No	Don't Know	Not available at this branch
The library's public access computers	0	0	0	0
The library's laptops	0	0	0	0
The library's mobile devices (e.g., e-book readers, tablets)	0	0	0	0
The library's printers/scanners/copy machines	0	0	0	0
The library's website	0	0	0	0
The licensed resources used by the library (e.g., Gale Cengage, EBSCO, online services)	0	0	0	0

13.	Does THIS LIBRARY BRANCH have access to information technology support staff (e.g.,
	full-time, assigned, contracted)? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

0	Yes
0	No
0	Don't Know

14. Please assess the adequacy of THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's building to meet the requirements of providing public access technology-related services to its patrons: (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Building Infrastructure	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Don't Know
Availability of general use space	0	0	0	0	0
Availability of public engagement space (e.g., for maker spaces, networking events)	0	0	0	0	0
Availability of group work spaces	0	0	0	0	0
Availability of electrical outlets	0	0	0	0	0
Availability of cabling	0	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0	0	0

15. Within the past 24 months, was the public access technology-related infrastructure (e.g., added computers, increased broadband, space) upgraded at THIS LIBRARY BRANCH? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

0	Yes (please go to question 16)
0	No (please go to question 18)
0	Don't know (please go to question 18)

16. Within the past 24 months, in what ways was THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's public access **technology infrastructure** upgraded? (MARK ● ALL THAT APPLY)

Public Access Technology Upgrades		No	Don't Know
The library increased its bandwidth	0	0	0
The library added public access computers/laptops/tablets	0	0	0
The library replaced public access computers/laptops/tablets	0	0	0
The library added public access computer lab space	0	0	0
The library added public engagement space (e.g., for maker spaces, networking events)	0	0	0
The library set up a mobile computer lab	0	0	0
The library added videoconferencing capacity	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0

17. What were **the impacts of the public access technology infrastructure upgrades** to THIS LIBRARY BRANCH? (MARK ● ALL THAT APPLY)

Upgrade Impacts	Yes	No	Don't Know
The library was able to decrease wait times for public access computers/laptops/tablets	0	0	0
The library was able to train more patrons in digital literacy skills (e.g., computer use, digital content creation)	0	0	0
The library was able to train more patrons in other topics (e.g., job training)	0	0	0
The library added videoconferencing capacity to connect patrons remotely (e.g., for training, online classes)	0	0	0
The library was able to create new community partnership opportunities (e.g., for health, job creation/training, immigration programs)	0	0	0
The library was able to offer more community engagement/networking events (e.g., maker spaces, forums)	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0

Section B: Digital Literacy and Training related to Public Access Technologies

18. In the past 12 months, did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer formal or informal technology-related training (e.g., general computer skills) to its patrons? (MARK ONE ● ONLY)

0	Yes (please go to question 19)
0	No (please go to question 25)
0	Don't know (please go to question 25)

19. Did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH conduct any of its technology-related training sessions in languages other than English in the last 12 months? (MARK ONE ● ONLY

	Training Session Languages			
0	Yes (please go to question 20)			
0	No (please go to question 21)			
0	Don't Know (please go to question 21)			

20. In what language(s) besides English did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH conduct its technology training sessions in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

0	Chinese	0	Spanish
0	French	0	Tagalog
0	German	0	Vietnamese
0	Korean	0	Other (please specify):
0	Russian		

21. Did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer technology training on the following topics to its patrons in the last 12 months? (MARK ONE ● FOR EACH TOPIC)

Training/Instructional Topics		No	Don't Know
General computer skills (e.g., how to use a mouse and keyboard)	0	0	0
General computer software use (e.g., word processing, presentation)	0	0	0
General Internet use (e.g., set up e-mail, Web browsing, Web searching)	0	0	0
Accessing and using online services and databases (e.g., using resources to search and find content)	0	0	0
Safe online practices (e.g., privacy, Internet safety)	0	0	0
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube)	0	0	0
Digital photography, software, hardware, and online applications (e.g., Photoshop, Flickr, Picasa)	0	0	0
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., digital petting zoo, using e-readers, tablet devices)	0	0	0
Assistive Technology use (e.g., JAWS, Fire Vox, Click-n-Type)	0	0	0
Using video conferencing technologies (e.g., Adobe Connect, GoToMeeting, Skype, Google Hangout)	0	0	0
Web site development (e.g., HTML, Drupal, Joomla)	0	0	0
Digital content creation (e.g., Adobe Premiere Pro, GarageBand, mobile app development)	0	0	0
Cloud computing applications (e.g., DropBox, Amazon Kindle Cloud Reader, Evernote)	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0

22. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "yes" in question 21] For each of the following training topics, what type(s) of training did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer to its patrons in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY FOR EACH TOPIC)

Training/Instructional Topics	Formal classes	Individual help by appointment	Informal point of use	Online training materials
General computer skills (e.g., how to use a mouse and keyboard)	0	0	0	0
General computer software use (e.g., word processing, presentation)	0	0	0	0
General Internet use (e.g., set up e-mail, Web browsing, Web searching)	0	0	0	0
Accessing and using online services and databases (e.g., using resources to search and find content)	0	0	0	0
Safe online practices (e.g., privacy, Internet safety)	0	0	0	0
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube)	0	0	0	0
Digital photography, software, hardware, and online applications (e.g., Photoshop, Flickr, Picasa)	0	0	0	0
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., digital petting zoo, using e-readers, tablet devices)	0	0	0	0
Assistive Technology use (e.g., JAWS, Fire Vox, Click-n-Type)	0	0	0	0
Using video conferencing technologies (e.g., Adobe Connect, GoToMeeting, Skype, Google Hangout)	0	0	0	0
Web site development (e.g., HTML, Drupal, Joomla)	0	0	0	0
Digital content creation (e.g., Adobe Premiere Pro, GarageBand, mobile app development)	0	0	0	0
Cloud computing applications (e.g., DropBox, Amazon Kindle Cloud Reader, Evernote)	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0	0

23. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "Formal classes" or "Individual help by Appointment in question 22] Who conducted the **formal or individual by appointment training class(es)** offered **in the last 12 months**? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY FOR EACH OPTION)

Training/Instructional Topics	Library Staff	Volunteer(s)	Partner Organization
General computer skills (e.g., how to use a mouse and keyboard)	0	0	0
General computer software use (e.g., word processing, presentation)	0	0	0
General Internet use (e.g., set up e-mail, Web browsing, Web searching)	0	0	0
Accessing and using online services and databases (e.g., using resources to search and find content)	0	0	0
Safe online practices (e.g., privacy, Internet safety)	0	0	0
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube)	0	0	0
Digital photography, software, hardware, and online applications (e.g., Photoshop, Flickr, Picasa)	0	0	0
General familiarity with new technologies (e.g., digital petting zoo, using e-readers, tablet devices)	0	0	0
Assistive Technology use (e.g., JAWS, Fire Vox, Clickn-Type)	0	0	0
Using video conferencing technologies (e.g., Adobe Connect, GoToMeeting, Skype, Google Hangout)	0	0	0
Web site development (e.g., HTML, Drupal, Joomla)	0	0	0
Digital content creation (e.g., Adobe Premiere Pro, GarageBand, mobile app development)	0	0	0
Cloud computing applications (e.g., DropBox, Amazon Kindle Cloud Reader, Evernote)	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0

24. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "Partner Organization" in question 23] Please identify the partner organizations that participated in THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's training program(s) offered in the last 12 months:

Training/Instructional Topics	Partner Type (MARK ALL	Identify and Describe Partner Organization(s):
General computer skills (e.g., how to	THAT APPLY):	Tarther Organization(s).
use a mouse and keyboard)	O Government agency	
,	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
Con and commutant as forman and (a.e.	O Other	
General computer software use (e.g., word processing, presentation)	O Government agency	
word processing, presentation)	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
General Internet use (e.g., set up e-	O Government agency	
mail, Web browsing, Web searching)	 Non-profit organization 	
	O Civic organization	
	Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Accessing and using online services	O Government agency	
and databases (e.g., using resources to	O Non-profit organization	
search and find content)	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

Cofo online amentices (see series	
Safe online practices (e.g., privacy,	O Government agency
Internet safety)	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Social media (e.g., blogging, Twitter,	O Government agency
Facebook, YouTube)	Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Digital photography, software,	O Government agency
hardware, and online applications (e.g.,	O Non-profit organization
Photoshop, Flickr, Picasa)	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
General familiarity with new	O Government agency
technologies (e.g., digital petting zoo,	O Non-profit organization
using e-readers, tablet devices)	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
4	

Aggistive Technology Use (e.g. IAWC	
Assistive Technology Use (e.g., JAWS, Fire Vox, Click-n-Type)	O Government agency
The vox, chek-n-Type)	Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Using video conferencing technologies	O Government agency
(e.g., Adobe Connect, GoToMeeting,	Non-profit organization
Skype, Google Hangout)	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Web site development (e.g., HTML,	O Government agency
Drupal, Joomla)	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Digital content creation (e.g., Adobe	O Government agency
Premiere Pro, GarageBand, mobile app	O Non-profit organization
development)	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
	1 = = =====

Cloud computing applications (e.g., DropBox, Amazon Kindle Cloud Reader, Evernote)	O Government agency Non-profit organization Civic organization Corporation Community College College/University Schools (K-12) Foundation/Library Friends
Other (please specify):	O Other O Government agency
The state of the s	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other

Section C: Library Programs, Information Sessions, Events

25. Did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer **Education and Learning program(s)**, **information sessions**, **and/or events to its patrons in the last 12 months**? (MARK ONE ● FOR EACH ONLY)

Education and Learning programs, information sessions, and/or events may include summer reading programs; book groups; English as a second language; Accessing and using formal online education content such as Advanced Placement courses; Science, Technology, Engineering, Math (STEM) maker spaces.

0	Yes (please go to question 26)
0	No (please go to question 29)
0	Don't know (please go to question 29)

26. Which of the following Education and Learning programs, information sessions, and/or events did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer to patrons in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Education and Learning	Yes	No	Don't Know
Accessing and using formal online education content (e.g., distance education courses, online Advanced Placement courses)	0	0	0
Basic literacy skills (e.g., basic math, basic reading, basic writing)	0	0	0
GED or equivalent education	0	0	0
Summer reading	0	0	0
ESL/ESOL/ELL (e.g., conversational groups, literacy tutoring, citizenship)	0	0	0
Foreign language instruction	0	0	0
Science, Technology, Engineering, Math (STEM) Maker Spaces (e.g., robotics, LittleBits, Arduino)	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0

27. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "yes" in question 26] Who conducted the Education and Learning programs, information sessions, and/or events that THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offered in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Education and Learning	Library Staff	Volunteer(s)	Partner Organization
Accessing and using formal online education content (e.g., distance education courses, online Advanced Placement courses)	0	0	0
Basic literacy skills (e.g., basic math, basic reading, basic writing)	0	0	0
GED or equivalent education	0	0	0
Summer reading	0	0	0
ESL/ESOL/ELL (e.g., conversational groups, literacy tutoring, citizenship)	0	0	0
Foreign language instruction	0	0	0
Science, Technology, Engineering, Math (STEM) Maker Spaces (e.g., robotics, LittleBits, Arduino)	0	0	0
Other (please specify):	0	0	0

28. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "Partner Organization" in question 27] Please identify the partner organizations that participated in THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's education programing in the last 12 months: (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Education and Learning	Partner Type (MARK ALL ●	Identify and Describe
	THAT APPLY):	Partner Organization(s):
Accessing and using formal online	O Government agency	
education content (e.g., distance	 Non-profit organization 	
education courses, online Advanced Placement courses)	O Civic organization	
The chief courses)	Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Basic literacy skills (e.g., basic math,	O Government agency	
basic reading, basic writing)	 Non-profit organization 	
	O Civic organization	
	Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

CED as assistation to the continue	
GED or equivalent education	O Government agency
	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Summer reading	O Government agency
	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
ESL/ESOL/ELL (e.g., conversational	O Government agency
groups, literacy tutoring, citizenship)	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Foreign language instruction	O Government agency
	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	Foundation/Library FriendsOther
	O Other

Science, Technology, Engineering, Math (STEM) Maker Spaces (e.g., robotics, LittleBits, Arduino)	O Government agency	
	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	○ Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Other (please specify):	O Government agency	
	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	○ Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

29. Did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer Economy and Workforce Development program(s), information sessions, and/or events to its patrons in the last 12 months? (MARK ONE ● FOR EACH ONLY)

Economy and Workforce Development programs, information sessions, and/or events may include accessing and using employment databases and other job opportunity resources; applying for jobs (e.g., interviewing skills, resume development, completing online job applications); applying for unemployment benefits; developing business plans, co-work spaces/incubators.

0	Yes (please go to question 30)
0	No (please go to question 33)
0	Don't know (please go to question 33)

30. Which of the following Economy and Workforce Development program(s), information sessions, and/or events did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Economy and Workforce Development	Yes	No	Don't Know
Accessing and using employment databases and other job opportunity resources (e.g., Federal and state job banks, Monster.com, Indeed.com)	0	0	0
Applying for jobs (e.g., interviewing skills, resume development, completing online job applications)	0	0	0
Applying for unemployment benefits online	0	0	0
Accessing and using online business information resources	0	0	0
Developing business plans	0	0	0
Entrepreneurship and small business development	0	0	0
Co-work spaces/incubators	0	0	0
Other (Please specify):	0	0	0

31. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "yes" in question 30] Who conducted the **Economy and Workforce**Development program(s), information sessions, and/or events that THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offered in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Economy and Workforce Development	Library Staff	Volunteer(s)	Partner Organization
Accessing and using employment databases and other			
job opportunity resources (e.g., Federal and state job	0	0	0
banks, Monster.com, Indeed.com)			
Applying for jobs (e.g., interviewing skills, resume development, completing online job applications)	0	0	0
Applying for unemployment benefits online	0	0	0
Accessing and using online business information resources	0	0	0
Developing business plans	0	0	0
Entrepreneurship and small business development	0	0	0
Co-work spaces/incubators	0	0	0
Other (Please specify):	0	0	0

32. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "Partner Organization" in question 31] lease identify the partner organizations that participated in THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's Economy and Workforce Development program(s), information sessions, and/or events in the last 12 months:

Economy and Workforce	Partner Type (MARK ALL ●	Identify and Describe
Development	THAT APPLY):	Partner Organization(s):
Accessing and using employment	O Government agency	
databases and other job opportunity resources (e.g., Federal and state job	O Non-profit organization	
banks, Monster.com, Indeed.com)	O Civic organization	
ouriks, Wonster.com, macca.com)	Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Applying for jobs (e.g., interviewing	O Government agency	
skills, resume development,	 Non-profit organization 	
completing online job applications)	 Civic organization 	
	Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Applying for unemployment benefits	O Government agency	
online	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	○ Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Accessing and using online business	O Government agency	
information resources	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

Developing business plans	
Developing business plans	O Government agency
	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Entrepreneurship and small business	O Government agency
development	Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	○ Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Co-work spaces/incubators	O Government agency
	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Other (Please specify):	O Government agency
	O Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	Foundation/Library FriendsOther

33. Did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government program(s), information sessions, and/or events to its patrons in the last 12 months? (MARK ONE ● FOR EACH ONLY)

Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government programs, information sessions, and/or events may include hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations); hosting social connection events (e.g., manga/anime, gaming, etc.); hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces); helping patrons access and use government programs and services (e.g., Medicare, Social Security, InfoPass); completing online government forms (e.g., social services, immigration, tax).

0	Yes (please go to question 34)
0	No (please go to question 37)
0	Don't know (please go to question 37)

34. Which of the following formal Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government program(s), information sessions, and/or events did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government	Yes	No	Don't Know
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	0	0	0
Hosting social connection events (e.g., manga/anime, gaming, etc.)	0	0	0
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	0	0	0
Hosting hackathons or other coding/app development events	0	0	0
Creating open data repositories for local government data (e.g., crime, education, transportation, or other local data)	0	0	0
Accessing and using government programs and services (e.g., Medicare, Social Security, InfoPass)	0	0	0
Completing online government forms (e.g., social services, immigration, tax)	0	0	0
Accessing government information resources (e.g., USA.gov, FedSys, state government documents)	0	0	0
Other (Please specify):	0	0	0

35. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "yes" in question 34] Who conducted the Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government program(s), information sessions, and/or events that THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offered in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY) (Consolidate some of these)

Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government	Library Staff	Volunteer(s)	Partner Organization
Hosting community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community conversations)	0	0	0
Hosting social connection events (e.g., manga/anime, gaming, etc.)	0	0	0
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces)	0	0	0
Hosting hackathons or other coding/app development events	0	0	0
Creating open data repositories for local government data (e.g., crime, education, transportation, or other local data)	0	0	0
Accessing and using government programs and services (e.g., Medicare, Social Security, InfoPass)	0	0	0
Completing online government forms (e.g., social services, immigration, tax)	0	0	0
Accessing government information resources (e.g., USA.gov, FedSys, state government documents)	0	0	0
Other (Please specify):	0	0	0

36. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "Partner Organization" in question 35] Please identify the partner organizations that participated in THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government program(s), information sessions, and/or events in the last 12 months:

Community, Civic Engagement, and E-government	Partner Type (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY):	Identify and Describe Partner Organization(s):
Hosting community engagement	O Government agency	1 at their Organization(s).
events (e.g., candidate forums,	O Non-profit organization	
community conversations)	, ,	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
**	O Other	
Hosting social connection events	O Government agency	
(e.g., manga/anime, gaming, etc.)	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Hosting creation events (e.g., maker	O Government agency	
spaces)	 Non-profit organization 	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Hosting hackathons or other	O Government agency	
coding/app development events	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

Creating anon data repositaries Com	
Creating open data repositories for local government data (e.g., crime,	O Government agency
education, transportation, or other local data)	Non-profit organization
	O Civic organization
,	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Accessing and using government	O Government agency
programs and services (e.g.,	Non-profit organization
Medicare, Social Security, InfoPass)	O Civic organization
	○ Corporation
	○ Community College
	○ College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Completing online government forms	O Government agency
(e.g., social services, immigration,	O Non-profit organization
tax)	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	○ College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
Accessing government information	O Government agency
resources (e.g., USA.gov, FedSys,	O Non-profit organization
state government documents)	O Civic organization
	O Corporation
	O Community College
	O College/University
	O Schools (K-12)
	O Foundation/Library Friends
	O Other
l .	1

Other (Please specify):	O Government agency	
	 Non-profit organization 	
	O Civic organization	
	Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

37. Did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer **Health and Wellness program(s)**, **information sessions**, **and/or events to its patrons in the last 12 months**? (MARK ONE ● FOR EACH ONLY

Health and Wellness programs, information sessions, and/or events may include Accessing, assessing, and using online health information; Finding and assessing health insurance information; Managing a chronic health condition or a disease (e.g., diabetes, cancer); Bringing in healthcare providers to offer limited healthcare screening services at the library (e.g., weighing, blood pressure tests);

0	Yes (please go to question 38)	
0	No (please go to question 42)	
0	O Don't know (please go to question 42)	

38. Which of the following of **Health and Wellness program(s), information sessions, and/or events** did THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offer in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Health and Wellness	Yes	No	Don't Know
Accessing, assessing, and using online health information	0	0	0
Identifying and articulating health and wellness issues	0	0	0
Finding and assessing health insurance information	0	0	0
Finding and assessing health care providers	0	0	0
Developing healthy lifestyles (e.g., food, nutrition, exercise)	0	0	0
Managing a chronic health condition or a disease (e.g., diabetes, cancer)	0	0	0
Managing a developmental disorder (e.g., autism, Asperger syndrome)	0	0	0
Bringing in healthcare providers to offer limited healthcare screening services at the library (e.g., weighing, blood pressure tests)	0	0	0
Other (Please specify):	0	0	0

39. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "yes" in question 38] Who conducted the **Health and Wellness program(s), information sessions, and/or events** that THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offered in the last 12 months? (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY)

Health and Wellness	Library Staff	Volunteer(s)	Partner Organization
Accessing, assessing, and using online health information	0	0	0
Identifying and articulating health and wellness issues	0	0	0
Finding and assessing health insurance information	0	0	0
Finding and assessing health care providers	0	0	0
Developing healthy lifestyles (e.g., food, nutrition, exercise)	0	0	0
Managing a chronic health condition or a disease (e.g., diabetes, cancer)	0	0	0
Managing a developmental disorder (e.g., autism, Asperger syndrome)	0	0	0
Bringing in healthcare providers to offer limited healthcare screening services at the library (e.g., weighing, blood pressure tests)	0	0	0
Other (Please specify):	0	0	0

40. [Branch out question; only applicable response options will show in the online version for the training topics marked "Partner Organization" in question 39] Please identify the **partner organizations** that participated in THIS LIBRARY BRANCH's **Health and Wellness program(s)**, information sessions, and/or events in the last 12 months:

Health and Wellness	Partner Type (MARK ALL ● THAT APPLY):	Identify and Describe Partner Organization(s):
Accessing, assessing, and using	O Government agency	
online health information	 Non-profit organization 	
	O Civic organization	
	Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

Identifying and articulating health	- 0	
Identifying and articulating health and wellness issues	O Government agency	
and weimess issues	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	Other	
Finding and assessing health	O Government agency	
insurance information	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Finding and assessing health care	O Government agency	
providers	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Developing healthy lifestyles	O Government agency	
(e.g., food, nutrition, exercise)	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Corporation O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

Managing a shrania haalth		
Managing a chronic health condition or a disease (e.g.,	O Government agency	
diabetes, cancer)	O Non-profit organization	
diabetes, earrest)	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	Other	
Managing a developmental	O Government agency	
disorder (e.g., autism, Asperger	O Non-profit organization	
syndrome)	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Bringing in healthcare providers	O Government agency	
to offer limited healthcare	O Non-profit organization	
screening services at the library	O Civic organization	
(e.g., weighing, blood pressure	O Corporation	
tests)	O Community College	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	
Other (Please specify):	O Government agency	
r	O Non-profit organization	
	O Civic organization	
	O Corporation	
	O College/University	
	O College/University	
	O Schools (K-12)	
	O Foundation/Library Friends	
	O Other	

41. If THIS LIBRARY BRANCH offers program(s) in other topical areas, what are the topical areas

2013 Digital Inclusion Survey of Public Libraries (digitalinclusion.umd.edu)

Section D: General Future-Oriented

42.	What are the biggest challenges or opportunities that your library faces in supporting digital inclusion in your community? Are there any questions you wish we had asked, or anything you would like to tell us? [We value your feedback on this question. Information you provide will help us better understand library roles in building digitally inclusive communities and to strengthen future versions of this survey.]	
	Digital Inclusion Survey Glossary of Key Terms	

GLOSSAR	Y OF SURVEY ABBREVIATIONS/KEY TERMS	
3D Printer	A printer that creates a solid three-dimensional representation of a digital	
	model. The machines allow for rapid prototyping and manufacturing.	
App	Abbreviation for "mobile application." A software application designed to	
• •	run on mobile devices, such as smart phones and tablet computers. Apps are	
	commonly used for information retrieval, communications, and gaming.	
ADA Accessibility Standards	The American Disabilities Act has standards that, according to access-	
•	board.gov, "govern the construction and alteration of places of public	
	accommodation, commercial facilities, and state and local government	
	facilities. The Department of Justice (DOJ) maintains ADA standards that	
	apply to all ADA facilities except transportation facilities, which are subject	
	to similar standards issued by the Department of Transportation (DOT).	
	Federal facilities are covered by standards consistent with those of the ADA	
	issued under a different law, the Architectural Barriers Act (ABA)."	
Assistive Technology	Technologies that help people with disabilities adapt to processes or complete	
	tasks that would otherwise be difficult or impossible. Examples include	
	hearing aids, wheelchairs, speech to text reader software, etc.	
Audio/visual Editing Common(s)	Media production facilities and resources that give people the opportunity to	
	create or learn about audio or visual productions.	
Bandwidth/Connectivity Speed	The speed or capacity of a data transmission rate, usually measured in bits per	
	second (i.e., Kbit/s or MBit/s).	
Broadband	A term used to describe high-speed Internet access.	
Civic organization	A group or institution that promotes awareness and action surrounding issues	
	of public concern, such as local political, social or environmental issues.	
Cloud computing applications	Software application programs that allow data and information to be stored	
	remotely on hardware or software that is accessible via a network, or "cloud,"	
	which is frequently the Internet. The software are generally offered as a	
	service from a central host or provider and they can often be run without	
	requiring a web browser, like a desktop application program that stores and	
	transfers information online. Examples include Evernote, DropBox, or Mozy.	
Color printer	A peripheral machine that creates a physical representation, in color or black	
	and-white, of an electronic record. For example, it allows people to recreate a	
	Microsoft Word document on a physical sheet of paper.	
Community, Civic Engagement,	A program available in or through the library that promotes awareness and	
and E-government Programs	action surrounding issues of public concern, community building, and/or	
	promotion of social interactions. Engagement programs may include hosting	
	community engagement events (e.g., candidate forums, community	
	conversations); hosting social connection events (e.g., manga/anime, gaming,	
	etc.); hosting creation events (e.g., maker spaces); helping patrons access and	
	use government programs and services (e.g., Medicare, Social Security,	
	InfoPass); completing online government forms (e.g., social services,	
Community partnership	immigration, tax). A joint venture between multiple people or organizations in a community to	
Community partnership	work together on one or a series of initiatives for a common cause. For the	
	purposes of this study, community partnerships will generally be ventures	
	between outside organizations and the library.	
Computer software	The programs that are run on a computer.	
Creation events	Similar to hackathons or incubators; an event or program in which people	
Creation Creates	come together to collaborate on an intensive project that leads to an	
	innovative outcome or product.	
Cross platform e-book access	Software that displays e-book collections and allows library patrons to	
platforms	browse, check-out, and read e-books from different providers and on multiple	
placioi ins	device types (e.g., mobile, computer, e-reader). Examples include 3M Cloud	
	Library and OverDrive.	
	Liotary and OverDrive.	

GLOSSARY OF SURVEY ABBREVIATIONS/KEY TERMS		
Development technology	Technologies that facilitate the design, development, and/or programming of	
	other new and innovative technologies, like new applications and	
	software. For example, a virtual machine is a self-contained guest computing	
	environment that can run on a properly configured host system, while a	
	sandbox is generally a computer application that separates programs in order	
	to trial-run untested code.	
Digital display	An interactive digital sign or display that allows patrons to visualize or	
	interact with information on a large, mounted touchscreen.	
Digital literacy	The ability to effectively and critically identify, locate, evaluate, manage,	
	interpret, integrate, and create information using digital technology, or media	
	that is presented in digital formats.	
Digital Reference/Virtual	The provision of interactive reference services for patrons via email, chat, or	
Reference	other electronic means.	
E-books	Digital documents, licensed or not, where searchable text is prevalent, and	
	which can be seen as analogous to a printed text.	
Economy and Workforce	A program available in or through the library that promotes professional	
Development Programs	advancement and the growth of businesses, such as classes on how to apply	
	for jobs; applying for jobs (e.g., interviewing skills, resume development,	
	completing online job applications); career fairs, business start-up incubators;	
Education and Lorunina Ducamana	information on how to form an LLC, etc.	
Education and Learning Programs	A program available in or through the library that promotes learning and	
	instruction, such as providing resources for homeschooling families; after-	
	school tutoring programs; summer reading programs; English as a second	
	language, test preparation classes; Science, Technology, Engineering, Math (STEM) maker spaces; continuing education resources; etc.	
E-government	The use of digital technologies (e.g., Web, mobile apps, devices) to provide	
E-government	government information, services, and/or resources (e.g., applying for social	
	services, filing taxes).	
ESL/ESOL/ELL	Term used here to indicate programming that is targeted towards a person	
BOE/BOOL/EEE	who is in the process of acquiring English language skills and whose native	
	language is not English. (ESL-English as a Second Language; ESOL-English	
	for Speakers of Other Languages; ELL-English Language Learners)	
Espresso Book Machine	A print on demand (POD) machine that prints an entire single book, including	
1	printing, collating, trimming covering, and binding, in minutes. It allows	
	patrons to print out-of-print or self-published books in the library.	
Event	A planned function open to the public, such as a workshop, presentation,	
	speaker's series.	
Fiber Optic (7)	A high-speed data transmission medium that uses pulses of light.	
Formal Class/Program (18, 22-24)	Class or program with pre-planned, structured content and design offered at a	
_ , , ,	specified time. The class or program may occur in the library or in another	
	facility, and the instructor or program lead may or may not be a member of	
	the library staff.	
Gigabits per second (Gbps or Gb/s)	·	
	bits per second; 125,000,000 bytes per second; 1,000,000 kilobits per second;	
	or 1,000 megabits per second.	
Hackathons	An event that takes place either in-person or remotely in which people-	
	usually computer programmers, developers, and designerscollaborate on an	
	intensive technology-related project.	

GLOSSARY OF SURVEY ABBREVIATIONS/KEY TERMS	
Health and Wellness Programs	A program available in or through the library that promotes good physical and mental health as well as wellness. May include accessing, assessing, and using online health information; finding and assessing health insurance information; managing a chronic health condition or a disease (e.g., diabetes, cancer); bringing in healthcare providers to offer limited healthcare screening services at the library (e.g., weighing, blood pressure tests).
Incubators	A program or space that encourages the rapid development of entrepreneurial companies or projects.
Individual Help by Appointment	Technology training sessions offered or sponsored by the library for individuals by appointment. The class may occur in the library or in another facility, and the instructor may or may not be a member of the library staff.
Informal Point-of-use Training	One-on-one technology help (e.g., Web browsing, using library databases, etc.) upon patron request. Assistance may or may not be a member of the library staff (e.g., a volunteer).
Information Session	A planned meeting designed to disseminate information by library or other subject matter experts. An example might include a hosting sessions to provide information about education resources; the GED process; foreign language resources; etc.
Information Technology Support Staff	Staff dedicated to the responsibility of maintaining the information technology services and resources available at the library, and assisting library patrons with using these products. May include staff who are contracted through the city/county, or assigned to the whole library system if the library is part of a multi-branch set up.
Information Technology Training	Formal or informal training sessions that cover specific topics related to acquiring, representing, storing, transmitting, and using information via computer-based hardware and software systems, and communication systems (e.g., Web browser basics, Internet searching, basic computing skills).
Kilobits per second (Kbps or Kb/s)	A unit of measure describing the rate of data transfer equal to 1,000 bits per second or 125 bytes per second.
Large-format Printer	A printer with a print width between 17" and 100". It can be used to print banners, posters, or signage.
Library Branch	A library facility. In the case of some public libraries, there is only one facility. Other public libraries have several facilities, which are sometimes referred to as branches of a library system. A branch has at least all of the following: 1. Separate quarters; 2. An organized collection of library materials; 3. Paid staff; and 4. Regularly scheduled hours for being open to the public.
Library Staff	Employees or contractors of the library
Licensed Databases/ Resources	Collection of electronically stored data or unit records (facts, bibliographic data, and texts) with a common user interface and software for the retrieval and manipulation of the data or online learning. Licensed databases are those typically contracted through a vendor by the library for patron access (e.g., Gale, Cengage, EBSCO, ProQuest).
Maker spaces	A space and set of resources that encourage creation, experimentation, and discovery. They are oftentimes associated with STEM-related activities, but are not confined to only STEM experiments.
Megabits per second (Mbps or Mb/s)	A unit of measure describing the rate of data transfer equal to 1,000,000 bits per second; 125,000 bytes per second; or 1,000 kilobits per second.
Mobile Device-Enabled Website	A website designed primarily with the limitations of mobile devices, such as less computing power, slower internet connectivity, and smaller screens, in mind.
Mobile Devices	Handheld devices such as smartphones, PDAs, tablets, or other handheld devices with internet connectivity.

GLOSSARY OF SURVEY ABBREVIATIONS/KEY TERMS	
Online Homework Assistance	Tutoring and homework/job-help online resources designed to help students
	complete their homework, schoolwork, and job-hunting assistance.
Online Training Materials	Online technology training materials offered or sponsored by the library
8	(e.g., Web-based tutorials, Web-based presentations, online technology
	services such as ElementK, etc.
Open data repositories	An archive or database in which all of the data stored there is completely
	accessible to anyone who wants to download, use, or manipulate it. There
	are no legal restrictions on re-usage of the data.
Partner Organization	Library partner, or an entity or institution separate and distinct from the
	library that collaborates with the library on programs, training, or
	initiatives. May include government agencies, non-profit organizations, or
	private company.
Print on Demand (POD) Machine	A technology that prints entire books or documents at one time. By
	allowing people to pay for a fixed price per copy, POD machines have
	fostered a new category of publishing companies that print books for self-
	publishing authors.
Program(s)	An event, series of events, project, or system designed by the library to
	foster community participation, discovery, or growth outside of the
	traditional functions of a library (i.e. acquiring, organizing, preserving, and
	providing access to information). Includes but not limited to exhibitions,
	reading and discussion, civic engagement and public deliberation. Programs
	may include non-technology enabled events such as candidate forums,
D. L.P. A Community of the second	summer reading programs, creation events.
Public Access Computers/ Laptops	A public access computer or laptop that provides public access to the
	Internet, including those that provide access to a limited set of Internet-
	based services such as online databases. This includes circulating laptops
	and excludes computers or laptops that only access the library's web-based public access catalogs.
Recreational gaming consoles	Recreational gaming includes modern consoles like Xbox, Playstation, or
Recreational gaining consoles	Wii; retro consoles like Atari, NES/SNES, or Sega Genesis; and software
	like The Sims; or Web sites like Runescape. It does not refer to gambling.
Scanned Codes	Bar codes that can be read by an imaging device, such as cameras on smart
Seamed Codes	phones or tablets, that represent encoded information. These usually link to
	website URLs when scanned by a code-reader, such as smart phone
	applications that read QR codes.
Scanner	A peripheral machine that converts physical printed documents, images, or
-	other two-dimensional objects into a digital image that can be viewed on a
	machine, such as a computer.
Tablet computers	A flat computer that is controlled by a touchscreen with varying degrees of
•	computing functionality. Tablets are differentiated from smart phones by
	their larger screen size. Common varieties include Apple's iPad, the Kindle
	Fire, the Barnes & Noble Nook, and Chromebooks.
Training	A class, workshop, or resource available in or through the library that
	provides participants with instruction on a particular skill (i.e. using a
	computer, creating a resume, filing taxes, etc.). Can be conducted in-person,
	one-on-one, in a group setting, or remotely.
Video Conferencing Services	Computer-mediated telecommunications technologies that let people in two
	different locations talk to and see each other on computers or comparable
	technologies.
Volunteer	Unpaid person under the supervision of library staff

GLOSSARY OF SURVEY ABBREVIATIONS/KEY TERMS	
Wait time	Any period of time in which library patrons are required to wait to use
	library public access computers or laptops because all of the available
	machines are in use.
Wireless (Wi-Fi) Internet Access	Internet access that does not require a direct connection (typically Ethernet)
	for access. Most typically, wireless access adheres to the IEEE 802.11
	standard (typically b, g, n) for interoperability and compatibility.
Wireless Printing	The ability to print that does not require a direct connection to a computer
	via wires and cables. Through a wireless system, it allows for people to
	print from any computer connected to the system, including laptops.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

For questions concerning the survey, please contact:

Information Policy & Access Center

College of Information Studies University of Maryland 4105 Hornbake Building, South Wing College Park, MD 20742 (301) 405-9445 phone (301) 314-8620 fax <ipac@umd.edu> e-mail



The Information Policy & Access Center (iPAC) is a response to the pressing need for research on the processes, practices, policies, and social issues that govern access to information in our increasingly digital information society. We at iPAC are committed to studying what policies and/or technologies lead to equitable and inclusive information access, a digitally-ready population, an informed and engaged public, access to Internet-enabled resources and technologies, or preservation of the cultural record, among key examples.

iPAC aspires to be an innovative and forward looking research and education facility that explores social, policy, and technology aspects of information access and use across cultural institutions, government agencies, and other information-based organizations; communities; and populations.

iPAC focuses on four major areas of research and education:

- Libraries, Cultural, and Public Institutions Research on institutions, such as public libraries, school library media centers, archives, museums, and government agencies that are the sources of information, resources, services, and unifying space within their communities.
- Policy Analysis of the policies that shape the ways in which these institutions can serve their
 communities, as well as the roles of these institutions as access points for and providers of
 government and other information and services in society.
- Diverse Populations Advocacy and emphasis on the ways in which institutions and policies can
 promote inclusive information access and services for individuals and communities, including the
 underserved, underrepresented, and disadvantaged by embracing innovative approaches to
 diversity.
- **Preservation** Research and best practices on the preservation of the cultural record, cultural objects, and the assessment and conservation of materials particularly in digital formats.

Through these core aspects of cultural institutions, iPAC seeks to contribute to scholarship and the information professions at the international and national levels, while also serving the local needs of libraries and cultural institutions in the Washington, DC metropolitan area and the state of Maryland.