The meeting was called to order at 2:13 p.m. by Thomas Kirk, ACRL President.

Kirk announced the open microphone session.

**Discussion:** Katherine Branch, from the Activities Section Council, approached the microphone. She said that she and others she had spoken with felt that the ACRL Leadership Institute Workshop on Friday was very helpful, and that it provided member leaders with some very useful information about the association. She said that she wanted to encourage the Board to continue providing these kinds of learning opportunities for ACRL leaders.

Kirk thanked Ms. Branch and asked if there was anyone else who wanted to address the Board. After receiving no response, Kirk closed the open microphone session.
1.0 Announcements

Discussion: Kirk announced the room change for the ACRL awards reception. The reception had been moved to the Voltaire room in the Fontainbleau Hilton at the same time as originally announced, Monday, 5:30 p.m.

Kirk informed the Board that a revised agenda had been distributed since the conference opened in Miami. He said that the agenda before each Board member should read "Revised Agenda June 24, 1994," and has 21 items listed. He reminded the Board that the agenda item number and the document item number did not always match. Kirk asked for one amendment to agenda item 18.0, the ALA Professional Ethics Revision, the addition of a new item and document prepared by Jacquelyn McCoy, #18.1.

Kirk said that because of the lengthy agenda the Board probably would not be able to cover all of the items. Because they needed to allow time for the closed session to discuss the executive director's performance at 3:30, the meeting needed to end by 4:00 p.m. He said the public meeting would close with the agenda item that was being addressed at 3:30 p.m.

Kirk asked for a motion to approve the agenda.

Motion: Barbara Wittkopf moved and Thomas Peischl seconded the adoption of the agenda.

Action: The motion carried.

2.0 Action on Minutes

2.1 Midwinter Board Meeting

Kirk called for a motion to approve minutes of the February 6 & 8, 1994 Midwinter Board meeting.

Motion: Peischl moved and Rochelle Sager seconded to approve the Midwinter Meeting minutes.

Kirk asked if there were any comments or questions about the minutes.

Action: Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

2.2 Executive Committee Minutes of April 22-23, 1994

Discussion: Kirk asked the Board if there were any questions about the minutes that needed to be addressed by members of the Executive Committee. There were none. Kirk alerted the Board to one item mentioned in the minutes that is included in this meeting's agenda, document 8.0. Kirk commented that the absence of questions and comments were because of Board members' ability to communicate through E-mail about the minutes prior to meetings.
3.0 President’s Report

Discussion: Kirk said he was not giving a verbal report because a written report which highlights some of the things the association has been doing this year is included in the Board documents. He said some of that report was also given in the membership meeting on Saturday.

Kirk said he did wish, however, to point to a couple of activities: the cooperative project between BIS and CNI, the Science and Technology Section Internet workshop during the conference on Monday. He said that even though these projects are being guided by section initiative they have an impact that is association wide.

Kirk asked for questions about his report. There were none.

4.0 Executive Director’s Report

Discussion: Jenkins asked Board members if they had any questions or comments about the written report. She said she tried to bring before the Board a financial and activity report that provided a clear picture of ACRL’s status in the third quarter which officially ended May 31. This report also brings together reports received from ACRL project managers on the program activities of the association.

Jenkins said she believes ACRL has had a very good year thus far. The planning which the Board has engaged the leadership in seems to have paid off for ACRL. She mentioned the numerous complimentary comments from members about the planning session at Midwinter in Los Angeles and about the leadership institute session here in Miami on Friday. She said she had noted an increase in comments and questions about the association from member leaders over the last year.

Martin asked what impact would the failure to meet registration targets by RBMS and other preconferences have on the budget. Jenkins explained that the ALA and ACRL budget policy that requires preconference planning committees to budget revenue at 70% of projected registration revenue, 90% for RBMS, provides some built-in security. The BIS preconference only needed to re-negotiate its speaker agreements in order to have a break-even budget. The RBMS preconference planning committee engaged in a fundraising campaign that added more than $12,000 to its budget surpassing revenue projections. The CNI/ACRL cosponsored preconference will probably not recover all of its costs, but she expected the negative impact to be minimal. Staff had been working with CNI to re-negotiate presenters’ contracts which account for one-third the cost of the preconference.

Borei commented that it was good seeing references to ACRL staff and reports from staff in the reports, but it would be more useful to somehow know who these people were.

Jenkins informed the Board that the September issue of C&RL News will carry a photo of each ACRL staff member along with a brief description of area of responsibility.
Linda Phillips complimented Jenkins and the ACRL staff on its work in bringing the ACRL portion of the ALA gopher online.

Jenkins said she had received an inquiry from RBMS about putting its manual on the gopher and was informed by ALA that manuals, minutes, and other documents could be posted by units. She is now informing all other sections about this and thought it was a very good use for the gopher.

Shelley Phipps questioned the drop in ACRL membership.

Jenkins responded that there is an overall trend of declining memberships in associations in general. However, some associations are reporting increases. She attributed ACRL's decline in membership to three things: 1) not an aggressive enough promotion and retention plan, 2) academic librarians dissatisfaction with ALA (70% of members who drop ACRL also drop ALA), and 3) competition from other higher education and information-related organizations. She said that the ACRL staff would spend some time over the summer putting into place a stronger promotion and retention plan.

5.0 Consent Agenda
There were no consent agenda items.

6.0 Budget and Finance Committee
6.1 1994 Budget Report Third Quarter
Discussion: Peischl called the Board's attention to the interchangeable use that had been made of "basic services" and "member services" in budget discussions. He explained that the two are not the same. "Basic services" is the term used to provide funding to units through the allocation process. "Member services" are the activities we fund from the membership dues we collect.

Peischl asked the Board to turn its attention to document #6.1, FY1994 Third Quarter Financial report. He noted that two-thirds into the fiscal year, ACRL had reached 76% of its revenue projections and CHOICE 81%. He said that ACRL budgets to be at 75% at this point. He explained that because many funded activities take place at the annual conference, expenses normally are less than projections. He asked that attention be given to line 97, column B which shows a net ($141,206) deficit at the end of the year. Peischl explained that this deficit is due largely to expenditures to support the 1995 national conference. Peischl asked if there were any questions.

Kirk wanted a clarification for column E: Did it represent the same time period for 1993 as column C for 1994? Peischl said all the figures were as of third quarter of the year.

Martin asked how many nonperiodical publications were expected by the end of the year.

Peischl said that staff thinks 7-9 will be published, but only seven might generate revenue
for this fiscal year.

Martin asked if the FY1994 actual means that the initiative funds have not been included in this report.

Peischl said that only a small amount was allocated for the initiative funds in FY1994, and that he believed those expenses were reflected. Jenkins responded that initiative projects funded for FY1994 were reflected in the budgeted and actual columns.

Kirk asked if there were any more questions on the report. He said at its Tuesday meeting the Board would approve the budget for FY1995.

7.0 Chapters Council Strategic Planning

Discussion: Kirk recognized Rena Fowler from the audience representing the ACRL Chapters Council.

Fowler approached the Board and asked Marian Winner to join her. She said that Marian had provided the leadership for the Chapters planning process which produced the report, Board document #8.0, which she was able to give to the Board. Fowler explained the report as a document that provided background information about how chapters got to this point; information about the planning process; and outcome data. She said the Chapters Council planning process had been facilitated by ACRL member, Grady Morein.

Winner explained what had happened throughout the process. She said that goals set by ACRL for Chapters Council were used to assess current status and chart further direction. Winner identified the Board’s approval of funds to purchase an ACRL banner for each Chapter as an immediate outcome of the process. She also identified as outcomes the establishment of an ad hoc committee within the Council that will prepare and conduct a survey of each chapter, and a meeting at this conference with Board and Budget and Finance Committee members. Winner said the planning will wrap up at this Annual Conference.

Kirk thanked Fowler and Winner for their very detailed report and emphasized that the nature of meetings between Chapters Council and the Board were for discussion purposes. He called the Board’s attention to the Council’s report, particularly page 3.

Ray Metz encouraged the Council to work toward the goals and try to get more of the chapters involved. He emphasized the importance of involving chapters in the membership survey.

Wittkopf said the organization needs to know its constituents and steps taken during this meeting seem to be supporting that. She said a big question is deciding if ACRL local chapters can continue to be part of the organization without a national membership. Peischl said a subcommittee of the Budget and Finance Committee came up with a short facts document of how ACRL relates to chapters. He said the document is on the agenda for discussion at the Budget
and Finance Committee meeting on Tuesday.

Martin said the Board needs to talk with the Chapters Council to understand and agree on a general direction. She said ACRL and chapters should be working toward the same goals, but perhaps at different levels.

Fowler said that on behalf of Chapters Council there was a desire to improve communication, and they would expect the goals to be set by ACRL.

Phillips said a number of the issues brought up by chapters need to be discussed by sections and committees as well.

Kirk said they appreciate the hard work that Chapters Council is doing with the planning and implementation. The Board is committed to look at the relationship between ACRL and chapters in an overall plan.

8.0 Image Task Force Report
Kirk explained that the Executive Committee looked at the recommendations of the Image Task Force at its spring meeting and asked the newly established Image Enhancement Committee to address them and report to the Board at the 1995 Annual Conference.

9.0 Planning: Next Steps
9.2 ACRL Structure Task Force Report
This was discussed during the Tuesday Board meeting.

9.3 1994-95 Priorities
Discussion: Martin explained that the content for priorities comes from a series of discussions that took place at the Midwinter planning session in Los Angeles. She said that she, Tom Kirk, and Althea Jenkins had drafted statements that were discussed at the spring ACRL Executive Committee meeting and revised afterwards. She said that the statements of priorities before the Board for approval were for the 1994-1995 fiscal and program year.

Metz said he had three questions. How will priorities be used? What impact will they have on the association? How will they be distributed?

Martin responded that the priorities would be included in the new edition of the ACRL Guide to Policies and Procedures that will be distributed to over 300 ACRL leaders in October. She said that the current priorities would serve as springboards to planning for future years.

Jenkins said that a statement of priorities might be used in a number of ways. Among them would be to allocate funds in support of programs and to secure funding to support programs. In terms of impact on the association, Jenkins said that through identifying
priorities the members are guided in a very positive way to focus on the work of the association and make judgments about the work they are doing as well as those things they should be doing. Engaging in this activity on an annual basis can only serve to strengthen ACRL.

Patricia Breivik said she was concerned with the wording in the first priority. She said that the way it is worded it seemed ACRL is more interested in getting people to pay attention to library issues or sell our agenda rather than in communicating. Breivik said she wished the wording was slightly different. She said librarians need to be able to tell people in higher education how we can help them solve their problems.

**Motion:** Kirk called for the question.

**Action:** The motion carried.

Kirk adjourned the meeting at 3:35 so the Board could go into closed session to discuss the executive director’s performance.
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The meeting was called to order at 2:05 by Thomas Kirk, ACRL President.

Announcements  
Kirk thanked Paul Dumont for completing a one-year term on the Board to replace Rosemary Henderson who because of illness was unable to serve. He also thanked section and committee leaders, Board members, and staff for their work and support throughout the year.
Adoption of the Agenda

Motion: Peischl moved and Metz seconded to approve the agenda.

Action: The motion carried.

10.0 Consent Agenda

Kirk called for action.

Motion: Peischl moved and Borei seconded that the consent agenda consisting of 10.1-10.27 be approved.

10.1 Research and Statistics Policy Statement
10.2 Establishment of an MLA International Bibliography in Academic Libraries Discussion Group
10.3 EBSS Nomination of Mary Noel Gouke to Section of Social Science Libraries of the IFLA
10.4 ACRL Business Plan for Publications
10.5 Task Force on Membership Communication Report
10.6 RBMS Request to Cosponsor
10.7 Evan I. Farber Resolution
10.8 ALA Standards Manual Revision
10.9 Division National Conference Sites
10.10 Accreditation Advisors
10.11 Expedited Review of ACRL Standards and Guidelines
10.12 Publication of Final Drafts of Standards and Guidelines
10.13 Standards for Community, Junior, and Technical College Learning Resources Programs
10.14 Guidelines Regarding Thefts in Libraries
10.15 Standards for University Libraries
10.16 Request for Recission of "Guidelines on Manuscripts and Archives"
10.17 STS Nomination of Marty Kesselman to the IFLA
10.18 RBMS Resolution to Nora Quinlan and William Brown
10.19 Request to Extend Deadline for Final Report from Preconference Fee Task Force
10.20 Request for a Standards Study Task Force
10.21 Resolution on Copyright Reform Act
10.22 Resolution on LSCA Reauthorization
10.23 Tribute to Patricia E. Sabosik, Former CHOICE Editor
10.24 Disbanding of Performance/Output Measures Discussion Group
10.25 Committee Review: Professional Liaison Committee
10.26 Section Review: Education and Behavioral Science Section
10.27 Section Review: Science and Technology Section

Action: The motion passed unanimously.
11.0 ACRL Structure Task Force Report

Discussion: McCoy asked the Board to look at document 9.2. She said the Executive Committee had discussed the report at the spring meeting, and commented that the report did not address the role of other non-librarian groups working in academic libraries or who might be members of ACRL.

McCoy read the recommendation from the subcommittee that

**Motion:** A survey should be done of chairs, past chairs and others who have held leadership positions in the various ACRL units. The purpose of the survey is to elicit the issues that these members see as possible structural problems. Reaching beyond the members of the task force and gathering data would help determine if a new structure of just tweaking what we have might be appropriate. If this recommendation is approved by the Executive Committee, the task force will develop a "cost effective" plan for a conducting the research. The plan should be submitted to the Board at Annual meeting in Miami, 1994.

**Discussion:** Wittkopf commented that the recommendation is in a time frame that cannot be met. Martin suggested that the recommendation be folded into the planning work that is ongoing here at conference. Kirk said that the issues raised in the report would be carried forward into the planning process.

**Motion:** Wittkopf moved and Martin seconded that the recommendation be approved and passed to the appropriate Planning Committee to be folded into the ACRL planning process.

**Action:** Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

12.0 ACRL Planning Process

Discussion: Kirk asked Board members to turn their attention to document #28. He explained that the document was developed by him in consultation with Sue Martin, Sandy Ready and Althea Jenkins. He said the Planning Committee had not reviewed the document in its entirety, but that he had discussed the elements of a new planning process with them at the Monday meeting.

Kirk summarized the document. He said that the recommendations in the document are: 1) to suspend use of the existing strategic plan, 2) to use the current statement of priorities to guide the Board, Planning, Budget and Finance committees, staff, and other ACRL units in making fiscal, programmatic, and operational decisions during the year, 3) to make the Planning Committee become a subcommittee of the Board with membership from the elected members of the Board and additional appointed members representing the various interests and units of ACRL, 4) to establish an interim Planning Committee that will be co-chaired by the present Planning Committee chair and a Board member to be appointed by the President and consist of
all the members of the existing Planning Committee, ACRL President, President-elect, past President and two at-large members, and 5) to charge the interim Planning Committee to review and recommend a mission statement, goals, objectives and an action plan for 1995-96 and draft a strategic plan for ACRL by Annual Conference 1995.

Motion: Martin moved and Peischl seconded for this to go to discussion.

Discussion: Phillips asked if there was a way to extend the priorities for 1994-95 to encompass 1995-96. She felt taking this step would help sections engaged in program planning for 1996 do a better job. Breivik said that as President for 1996 she would be sending letters in a few weeks to the section vice-chairs outlining the direction she is planning. Phipps agreed with Phillips that the Board needed to take action in a way that the yearly priorities actually drive fiscal, programmatic, and operational decisions of the association. She urged the Board to move toward a planning cycle that would produce priorities or a strategic plan prior to Midwinter that could be distributed to the ACRL leadership.

Metz said the membership survey identified some critical issues that should be considered as the work of the interim Planning Committee gets underway.

Action: Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

Kirk thanked Board members for their interest in the planning process and for their input. He said that the Board would be kept fully informed and involved throughout the process. He said it was his belief that the Board should have ownership of the ACRL plan.

13.0 Planning Committee

13.1 Temporary Suspension of Committee and Section Review

Discussion: Ready said that the Planning Committee had met on Monday with Tom Kirk, Sue Martin, and Althea Jenkins present, and the discussion had centered around the role of the Committee in the new planning process. She said the Committee agreed with the direction the Board is taking in planning for ACRL.

Ready said the Committee spent a major portion of its time during the meeting determining how best to dispense with the review process. She said that there were section and committee reviews scheduled through 1999. She said that there are five reviews due September 1994 and three reviews due March 1995. Ready said the Planning Committee brings to the Board the following recommendation with comments.

Motion: That the ACRL Planning Committee recommends a temporary suspension of committee and section reviews, enabling the Planning Committee to focus more intently on the immediate need for its more active involvement in the strategic planning process.
Explanations:
If this recommendation is endorsed by the ACRL Board, the Planning Committee will complete the reviews scheduled for submission by September 1, 1994, implementing the temporary suspension with those reviews scheduled for submission by March 1, 1995. Any committee or section scheduled for the March, 1995, deadline will be permitted to complete the review process if they wish to do so.

During the suspension period, the Planning Committee will discuss alternative methods of reviewing progress of the various ACRL groups in the hope that streamlining will provide a more meaningful product for both the Committee or Section and ACRL.

Discussion: McCoy suggested that the Board discuss the review process with the past chairs of the Planning Committee before making a final decision. She said as a member who had worked with some of the earlier review committees from sections, she had found them to provide invaluable information.

Action: Kirk called for the question. The motion passed.

14.0 Membership Survey
Discussion: Kirk explained that the Board had not had an opportunity to discuss the membership results from the recent survey. He said he had put the survey results on the agenda as an information item but would welcome any comments or thoughts on it from the Board.

Discussion ensued. Phipps said what struck her about the survey was the number of greying members and members over 45 years old. There were no Hispanics on the survey and only 2% of African-Americans returned the survey. She said that ACRL surveys planned in the future should seek to include a more diverse group of participants. Maloy suggested that in planning future surveys we should take advantage of focus groups.

Women’s Studies chair, Betty Glass, was recognized from the audience. She asked if there will be program funding based on the survey results because there is a concern about the uniform sampling of sections. Jenkins responded that the survey sample had been selected on an nth name basis from the total ACRL personal membership. She said that she was sure there were several other techniques for selecting a sample and would be open for suggestions.

15.0 CHOICE Editor Search
15.1 Qualities and Characteristics
15.2 Timeline and Search Committee Composition
Discussion: Jenkins informed the Board that the search for a CHOICE Editor and Publisher will take place this year. She said that comments from the Board on the desired qualities and characteristics for a CHOICE editor would be very much appreciated.
Jenkins asked the Board to review document # 14.2 which is the schedule for the *CHOICE* Editor Search Committee’s work. She said that she was very confident with *CHOICE*'s current leadership under Francine Graf, Interim Editor and did not find the need to conduct a short search. She also said that she did not consider it a simple task to find a suitable leader for *CHOICE* given the visionary and aggressive leadership it had enjoyed under Pat Sabosik.

Jenkins said the search for the *CHOICE* editor was an ALA staff search not a member editor search and therefore needed to be conducted in a way that assured compliance with ALA overall guidelines and particularly personnel guidelines. She said that the document before the Board had been shared with the ALA administration and an agreement was reached on the schedule and committee composition. Jenkins said it was important to her that ACRL members have broad participation in the search process for the *CHOICE* editor. She said that it would be good if the Board went on record endorsing the Search Committee’s composition.

Borei said she didn’t understand why the ACRL Board and staff are not conducting the search and since they are not, why this document was before the Board.

Kirk explained the *CHOICE* editor does not report to the Board. That position is considered an ALA staff member, and that person reports directly to the ACRL Executive Director. The only relationship the Board has with the editor is through the Executive Director. The Board doesn’t conduct a performance evaluation of that position. The Executive Director is the only staff person the Board has.

Jenkins said that her goal was to give this Board and the membership the broadest input possible into decisions about the next *CHOICE* editor.

*Motion:* Peischl moved and McCoy seconded to approve the search committee composition for the *CHOICE* Editor and Publisher.

*Action:* Kirk called for the question. The motion carried. Borei abstained.

16.0 ALA Statement on Professional Ethics Revision
Kirk explained that McCoy had taken a poll of the leadership for reviewing the ALA document and preparing a response for the Board. He said McCoy had canvassed the Board through e-mail for comments and consolidated the input she received.

16.1 ACRL’s Comments on ALA Statement
Kirk asked if there was a motion to accept the comments prepared by McCoy to move the document forward to the ALA Committee.
Motion: Borei moved and Sager seconded that the comments be approved and submitted to the ALA Committee.

Discussion: Kirk asked for questions or further comments. Maloy said she found it interesting that the Self-Study Committee report is filled with all kinds of sanctions such as committee attendance, how meetings can be scheduled, etc, but no sanctions are mentioned in the revision for those who fail to follow the Code.

Barbara Ford was recognized from the audience. She said she found the Code too limiting and that it speaks only to librarians. Ford also said the ALA OLPR group does not like the word "must." They felt the document was too stringent.

Action: Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

Discussion: Following a short break Kirk reported that he had selected a cherry wicker waste paper basket from the Berea gift shop as a gift from the Board to Pat Sabosik former CHOICE Editor and Publisher.

Martin announced that she was preparing a memorial resolution to John McDonald of the University of Connecticut to submit to Council on the behalf of the Board. She shared a draft of the memorial with the Board.

17.0 ACRL Racial and Ethnic Diversity Report
Discussion: Kirk said that at Midwinter in Los Angeles he met with members of the Racial and Ethnic Diversity Committee to resolve issues of concern to them. During that meeting he asked Susana Hinojosa, Committee chair, if a report summarizing the committee's work since its establishment could be prepared and shared with the Board. Kirk said the report before the Board showed that the Committee had done a great deal of work in attempting to accomplish the recommendations laid out in the 1990 report to the Board from the Task Force on Underrepresented Groups in Academic Libraries.

Kirk asked if there were questions or comments from the Board. He thanked Hinojosa for preparing a thorough report.

18.0 Budget and Finance Report
18.1 Recommended FY1995 Budget
Discussion: Peischl asked the Board to approve the FY1995 ACRL budget with total revenues $3,228,102 and expenses totalling $3,147,945. Peischl explained that the expenses did not include any staff salary increases for the year nor had a final decision been made by ALA on whether there would be an increase in benefits percentages. He said these two decisions would change the expense bottom line.

Peischl told the Board that the recommended budget for FY1995 was the same as the version
it had reviewed at Midwinter, but with the following changes made by the Committee at this conference.

**Motion:** **Chapters**
Increase Speakers Bureau by $1,500 to recognize increases in travel expenses and to recognize the importance of ACRL leaders' visibility with Chapters. This changes the total budget expenses for Chapter Speakers Bureau from $3,500 to $5,000.

Initiative Funds distributed to Chapters totalled $6,950. These budgeted funds changed Chapters funding to $58,233.

**Committees**
The allocations to committees is increased by $2,000 from the preliminary budget in recognition of new committee chairs assuming responsibility in the spring and not having the opportunity to request funds through the normal budget process.

Committees received $3,716 in initiative funds. Bringing total expenditures for committees to $45,332.

**Sections**
$7,390 in initiative funds were allocated to sections. Total funding for sections is $65,778.

**Sections Newsletters**
Section Newsletter funding was increased $1,286 to provide English and American Literature Section with the opportunity to publish a newsletter. Total newsletter budget is $26,127.

**Preconferences**
RBMS forwarded a more aggressive budget which includes $18,109.87 in donations.

LPSS' budget was balanced to reflect ACRL policy. The change amounted to $693.

**Discussion:** Peischl asked if there were questions. Wittkopf asked where is assistance given to units developing budgets to support preconferences. Peischl responded that each member of the Budget and Finance Committee had liaison responsibility with a section. He said that support should come from the Committee member working with the section.

**Action:** Kirk called for the question. The FY1995 budget was approved.

**18.2 Gifts and Donations Acknowledgement Policy**
**Discussion:** Peischl told the Board that the Gifts and Donations Acknowledgement Policy was intended to move ACRL practices more in line with ALA policies and practices.
adhering to new guidelines on gifts and donations to non-profits. He said the Budget and Finance Committee recommended that:

**Motion:** All gifts and donations to the CHOICE Endowment Fund will be acknowledged in writing by the CHOICE editor, the ACRL Executive Director and, if the gift is $500 or more, also by the ALA Executive Director.

All gifts and donations to ACRL Endowment will be acknowledged in writing by the ACRL Executive Director and gifts of $500 and more, also by the ALA Executive Director.

**Action:** Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

### 18.3 Chapter Special Programming Funding

**Discussion:** Peischl explained to the Board that in the past two years the Initiative Fund had replaced the Special Programming allocation that was used to support Chapters. He said that Chapters Special Programming had not been funded in three years except on one occasion when Chapters asked that Speakers Bureau funds be reallocated to it. Peischl said that it was the Budget and Finance Committee's observation that Chapters were successful in developing proposals for Initiatives Funds. Therefore, the Committee recommends

**Motion:** that the Chapters Special Programming pool be removed from the fiscal policy and that funding continue to be made available to chapters, on a competitive basis, through the Initiative Fund.

**Action:** Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

### 19.0 ULS Request for Full Accounting of Revenues and Expenses

**Motion:** Peischl moved and Metz seconded that this request be approved.

**Discussion:** Kirk said that he had discussed the ULS request with the section chair, Noreen Alldredge and the Executive Director. He said it is his understanding that what the section is asking for is a listing of all income to sections and expenditure for each of the sections that could be viewed in some form of comparison.

Breivik said the request needed further clarification. She suggested that perhaps what units and the Board needed to know was how much of the expenditures went towards priority areas.

David Lewis, ULS Executive Committee member, said the allocation of funds seems to be unevenly distributed among the priorities. He said the summary budgets do not clarify the specifics of where funding is going.

Jenkins said she had spoken with Noreen Alldredge, ULS Chair, and had a fairly clear idea of
the kind of information they are seeking. She said the information is in the ACRL records in a variety of formats and would take some time to pull together. She said the requests could be responded to positively.

**Action:** Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

20.0 1995 Conference Programs

**Discussion:** Martin said there will be a President’s Program on Monday afternoon followed by the Academic or Research Librarian of the Year Award Reception. She said that her Conference Program Planning Committee had met at this conference and approved twenty (20) programs from committees and sections that are listed in the Board document. Martin said one program failed to get listed ULS "The Human Face of Organizational Change."

**Motion:** Martin moved and Peischl seconded to approve the programs for Annual Conference 1995.

**Action:** Kirk called for the question. The motion carried.

**Discussion:** Kirk thanked the Board members for their cooperation and support throughout the year. He said he felt he had had a good year with several accomplishments. With those comments Kirk passed the gavel to Martin. Martin said this is a great time to be involved in the leadership of ACRL in the planning process and the new directions its going in. She thanked the Board members who are leaving. Martin said it has been good to come back to divisional leadership, and she is looking forward to working with Jenkins and her staff.

21.0 Adjournment

Martin asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

**Motion:** McCoy moved and Sager seconded to adjourn the meeting at 4:35 p.m.

**Action:** The motion carried.
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<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACRL’s Racial and Ethnic Diversity Report</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action on Minutes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjournment</td>
<td>7 &amp; 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of Agenda</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA Statement on Professional Ethics Revision</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcements</td>
<td>2 &amp; 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget and Finance Committee</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget and Finance Report</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter’s Council Strategic Planning</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOICE Editor Search</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent Agenda</td>
<td>4 &amp; 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee Minutes of April 22-23, 1994</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Director’s Report</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts and Donations Acknowledgement Policy</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image Task Force Report</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Survey</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwinter Board Meeting</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning: Next Steps</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President’s Report</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualities and Characteristics</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended FY1995 Budget</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Suspension of Committee and Section Review</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline and Search Committee Committee Composition</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Request for Full Accounting of Revenues and Expenses</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHEREAS, Nora J. Quinlan and William E. Brown, Jr. of the University of Miami, local arrangements co-chairs for the thirty-fifth Rare Book and Manuscripts Section, ACRL/ALA Preconference in Miami Beach, on 21-24 June 1994, went far beyond the usual duties of their assignment; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Quinlan and Mr. Brown showed enormous enterprise in raising supplemental funds and services in kind from thirty-one sponsors and contributors, thereby greatly enhancing the success of the preconference; and

WHEREAS, Nora Quinlan and Bill Brown raised further funds to support the exhibition "The Treasures of Florida Libraries" and an accompanying catalog, curated and compiled by Ms. Quinlan and Mr. Brown; and

WHEREAS, said exhibition and catalog drew together for the first time rare materials from four private collections and twenty-five public libraries throughout the state of Florida, thereby greatly increasing awareness of these collections and cooperation among these collectors and institutions; therefore be it

RESOLVED that the Rare Books and Manuscripts Section of the Association of College and Research Libraries/ALA, extends its profound thanks to Nora J. Quinlan and William E. Brown, Jr. for services to the Section, to the library community, and to the state of Florida, far beyond the established responsibilities of the RBMS Preconference Local Arrangements chair.

Approved by the RBMS Executive Committee on June 24, 1994.

Jackie Dooley, Chair

Endorsed by the ACRL Board of Directors on June 28, 1994.

Thomas Kirk, ACRL President
Association of College and Research Libraries

RESOLUTION

In Appreciation of

Evan Ira Farber

Whereas, Evan Ira Farber is retiring as director of libraries from Earlham College, Richmond, Indiana, and
Whereas Evan Ira Farber served the faculty and students of that institution with distinction for thirty-two years, and
Whereas he is well-known for his many contributions to college librarianship and to the field of bibliographic instruction, and
Whereas he has used his skills in mentoring to attract many outstanding individuals into the profession of academic librarianship and to assist many in advancing in the profession, and
Whereas he is the architect of the course-integrated instruction program—a model widely used in academic libraries around the world, and
Whereas he was a pioneer in exploring easy access to online resources for faculty at college libraries, and
Whereas he has served as a consultant at over sixty institutions, and
Whereas he has been a prolific writer of articles and books on bibliographic instruction, college library issues, library buildings, technology, and quality of services, and
Whereas he has served ALA and ACRL well beginning with his continuous membership since 1958, and
Whereas he served as chair of ACRL’s College Libraries Section, and
Whereas he served as editor and chief author of the fifth edition of the Classified List of Periodicals for the College Library, and
Whereas he subsequently edited the column “Periodicals for the College Library” for ACRL’s Choice magazine for 73 consecutive issues from 1974-1981, and
Whereas he was elected ACRL representative to the ALA Council in 1979, and
Whereas he served as President of ACRL from 1978-1979, on the ACRL Board of Directors from 1977-1980, and again from 1989-1993, and
Whereas he has always exemplified the best in librarianship—service, integrity, commitment to providing library patrons with the information they need in a timely fashion, and respect for and service to the profession, therefore be it

Resolved that the Board of Directors of the Association of College and Research Libraries expresses its deep appreciation to Evan Ira Farber for his strong leadership in the field of academic librarianship and for his long and fruitful years of service to ACRL.

Presented in Miami Beach, Florida on the 25th day of June, 1994

[Signature]

ACRL President
ACRL Board Item

Agenda Item

Submitted by: Patricia A. Wand, Chair

for: Government Relations Committee

(Section, Committee, Chapter, Staff, other)

Background:

See attached.

Recommendation:

Endorse Resolution on Copyright Reform Act (draft 6/25/94)

Motion: □ Above recommendation moved □ No motion made

Other motion (include report date):

Moved by: __________________________________________

Seconded by: _______________________________________

Action Taken: □ Motion Approved □ Motion Defeated □ Other:

Minority Vote (list names):
ACRL Board Item

Agenda Item

Submitted by: Patricia A. Wand, Chair

for: Government Relations Committee

(Section, Committee, Chapter, Staff, other)

Background:

See attached

Recommendation:

Endorse Resolution on LSCA Reauthorization (revised draft 6/27/94)

Motion: □ Above recommendation moved □ No motion made

Other motion (include report date):

Moved by: ________________________________

Seconded by: ________________________________

Action Taken: □ Motion Approved □ Motion Defeated □ Other:

Minority Vote (list names):
RESOLUTION ON LSCA REAUTHORIZATION

WHEREAS, The Library Services and Construction Act, which through its history has stimulated public library innovation, improved public library facilities and services, and interlibrary cooperation and resource sharing, is due for reconsideration by Congress in 1994; and

WHEREAS, A number of factors have come together to provide the momentum to continue the spirit and strengths of LSCA while tailoring the Act to the information needs of people into the next century; and

WHEREAS, These factors include the 1991 White House Conference on Library and Information Services, the National Education Goals, the National Information Infrastructure initiative, and the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993; and

WHEREAS, A broadly representative inter-association Task Force on LSCA Reauthorization led by ALA's Committee on Legislation has been working for two years to develop principles and goals, gather input, and develop a consensus on policy directions for a reinvented LSCA; and

WHEREAS, The consensus developed by the Task Force on LSCA Reauthorization leads ALA to several policy recommendations; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the American Library Association support a timely revision of the Library Services and Construction Act with the following policy directions:

Principles -- to continue a state-based, flexible approach;

Purpose -- to stimulate excellence and enhance equity in the delivery of library services to the public within a framework of accountability;

Title A -- to improve library services and facilities so that all people have convenient and appropriate access to electronic information through library service;

Title B -- to improve library and information services targeted to those of all ages and cultures who have difficulty getting to a library, who need special materials or services, or who would benefit from outreach services for equity of access to library services and information technologies,
TRIBUTE

Whereas, that Patricia E. Sabosik served as the Editor and Publisher of Choice from 1984 until 1994; and

Whereas, that she was dedicated to the mission of Choice as an academic review journal; and

Whereas, that she brought outstanding management skills, organizational abilities, and personal integrity to her position; and

Whereas, that during that time she guided Choice from a manual to an electronic environment; and

Whereas, that she developed new strategies and products to maintain and enhance the publication's viability in a period of great economic uncertainty and technological change; and

Whereas, that she worked tirelessly to meet the challenge of re-shaping Choice to reflect the growing multicultural emphasis in the academic demographic and curriculum:

Resolved, that the Choice Editorial Board commend Patricia E. Sabosik for her decade of outstanding leadership and for her valuable and lasting contributions to Choice and the academic community.

Approved by the Choice Board on June 27, 1994.

W. Lee Hisle, Chair

Approved by the ACRL Board of Directors on June 28, 1994.

ACRL President

ACRL Executive Director